

## 12.4 The rank of $R_K(G)$

We return now to the case of an arbitrary field  $K$  of characteristic zero. We shall determine the rank of  $R_K(G)$ , or equivalently, *the number of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$* .

Choose an integer  $m$  which is a multiple of the orders of the elements of  $G$  (for example, their least common multiple or the order  $g$  of  $G$ ), and let  $L$  be the field obtained by adjoining to  $K$  the  $m$ th roots of unity. We know (cf. for example Bourbaki, *Alg.* V, §11) that the extension  $L/K$  is Galois and that its Galois group  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is a subgroup of the multiplicative group  $(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^*$  of invertible elements of  $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ . More precisely, if  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , there exists a unique element  $t \in (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^*$  such that

$$\sigma(\omega) = \omega^t \quad \text{if } \omega^m = 1.$$

We denote by  $\Gamma_K$  the image of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^*$ , and if  $t \in \Gamma_K$ , we let  $\sigma_t$  denote the corresponding element of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ . The case considered in the preceding section was that where  $\Gamma_K = \{1\}$ .

Let  $s \in G$ , and let  $n$  be an integer. Then the element  $s^n$  of  $G$  depends only on the class of  $n$  modulo the order of  $s$ , and so *a fortiori* modulo  $m$ ; in particular  $s^t$  is defined for each  $t \in \Gamma_K$ . The group  $\Gamma_K$  acts as a *permutation group* on the underlying set of  $G$ . We will say that two elements  $s, s'$  of  $G$  are  $\Gamma_K$ -conjugate if there exists  $t \in \Gamma_K$  such that  $s'$  and  $s^t$  are conjugate by an element of  $G$ . The relation thus defined is an equivalence relation and does not depend upon the choice of  $m$ ; its classes are called the  $\Gamma_K$ -classes (or the  $K$ -classes) of  $G$ .

**Theorem 25.** *In order that a class function  $f$  on  $G$ , with values in  $L$ , belong to  $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R(G)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(*) \quad \sigma_t(f(s)) = f(s^t) \quad \text{for all } s \in G \text{ and all } t \in \Gamma_K.$$

(In other words, we must have  $\sigma_t(f) = \Psi^t(f)$  for all  $t \in \Gamma_K$ , cf. 11.2.)

Let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $G$  with character  $\chi$ . For  $s \in G$ , the eigenvalues  $\omega_i$  of  $\rho(s)$  are  $m$ th roots of unity, and the eigenvalues of  $\rho(s^t)$  are the  $\omega_i^t$ . Thus we have

$$\sigma_t(\chi(s)) = \sigma(\sum \omega_i) = \sum \omega_i^t = \chi(s^t),$$

which shows that  $\chi$  satisfies the condition (\*). By linearity, the same is true for all the elements of  $K \otimes R(G)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $f$  is a class function on  $G$  satisfying condition (\*). Then

$$f = \sum c_\chi \chi, \quad \text{with } c_\chi = \langle f, \chi \rangle,$$

## Chapter 12: Rationality questions

where  $\chi$  runs over the set of irreducible characters of  $G$ . We have to show that the  $c_\chi$  belong to  $K$ , which, according to Galois theory, is equivalent to showing that they are invariant under the  $\sigma_t, t \in \Gamma_K$ . But, if  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$  are two class functions on  $G$ , then we have

$$\langle \Psi^t \varphi, \Psi^t \chi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \chi \rangle,$$

as can be easily verified. Whence

$$c_\chi = \langle f, \chi \rangle = \langle \Psi^t f, \Psi^t \chi \rangle = \langle \sigma_t(f), \sigma_t(\chi) \rangle = \sigma_t(\langle f, \chi \rangle) = \sigma_t(c_\chi),$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *In order that a class function  $f$  on  $G$  with values in  $K$  belong to  $K \otimes R_K(G)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that it be constant on the  $\Gamma_K$ -classes of  $G$ .*

If  $f \in K \otimes R_K(G)$ , then  $f(s) \in K$  for all  $s \in G$ , and formula (\*) shows that  $f(s) = f(s^t)$  for all  $t \in \Gamma_K$ . Hence  $f$  is constant on the  $\Gamma_K$ -classes of  $G$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  has values in  $K$ , and is constant on the  $\Gamma_K$ -classes of  $G$ . Then condition (\*) is satisfied, and we can write

$$f = \sum \langle f, \chi \rangle \chi, \quad \text{with } \langle f, \chi \rangle \in K$$

as above. Moreover, the fact that  $f$  is invariant under the  $\sigma_t, t \in \Gamma_K$ , shows that  $\langle f, \chi \rangle = \langle f, \sigma_t(\chi) \rangle$ , so the coefficients of the two conjugate characters  $\chi$  and  $\sigma_t(\chi)$  are the same. Collecting characters in the same conjugacy class, we can write  $f$  as a linear combination of characters of the form  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\chi)$ . Since the latter belong to  $R_K(G)$ , cf. 12.1, this proves the corollary.

[*Alternately:* Let  $\Gamma_K$  act on  $K \otimes R(G)$  by  $f \mapsto \sigma_t(f) = \Psi^t(f)$ , and observe that the set of fixed points is  $K \otimes R_K(G)$ .]  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\chi_i$  be the characters of the distinct irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$ . Then the  $\chi_i$  form a basis for the space of functions on  $G$  which are constant on  $\Gamma_K$ -classes, and their number is equal to the number of  $\Gamma_K$ -classes.*

This follows from cor. 1.  $\square$

*Remark.* In cor. 1, we can replace  $R_K(G)$  by  $\bar{R}_K(G)$ . Indeed prop. 34 shows that

$$Q \otimes R_K(G) = Q \otimes \bar{R}_K(G), \quad \text{whence } K \otimes R_K(G) = K \otimes \bar{R}_K(G).$$

### 12.5 Generalization of Artin's theorem

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , it is clear that

$$\text{Res}_H: R(G) \rightarrow R(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_H: R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$$