

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

PART II.

INFINITE TENSOR PRODUCTS

by

A. Guichardet

Juni 1969

Lecture Notes Series

No. 13

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS
PART II.
INFINITE TENSOR PRODUCTS

by

A. Guichardet

Juni 1969

Lecture Notes Series

No. 13

INTRODUCTION

The basic idea of these Lectures Notes is to consider the tensor product of an arbitrary family of vector spaces $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ as the inductive limit of the finite tensor products $\bigotimes_{i \in J} E_i$, J finite subset of I ; to this end we suppose that we are given for each i , a non zero vector t_i in E_i and we define, for $J \subset K$, a mapping $L_{J,K} : \bigotimes_{i \in J} E_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i \in K} E_i$ by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \bigotimes_{i \in K} E_i &= \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} E_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in K-J} E_i \right) \\ L_{J,K}(x) &= x \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in K-J} t_i \right) ; \end{aligned}$$

we thus obtain an inductive limit which we denote by $\bigotimes^t E_i$. If each E_i is a Banach space t_i must have norm one; if E_i is a $*$ -algebra t_i must be hermitian and idempotent.

If now we have C^* -algebras A_i with non zero projections e_i we can define two tensor products $\bigotimes^v e A_i$ and $\bigotimes^* e A_i$, which are identical if the A_i are postliminar. Our main results concern the irreducible representations and the characters of $\bigotimes^\alpha e A_i$ where $\alpha = v$ or $*$; for instance if each e_i is central every finite character of $\bigotimes^\alpha e A_i$ is a tensor product of characters and we get a precise description of the topological space $C_1(\bigotimes^\alpha e A_i)$ (see n.14.3). As for the irreducible representations of $\bigotimes^\alpha e A_i$ we examine two thoroughly different particular cases: if e_i is "large" in the sense that A_i admits sufficiently many irreducible representations π with $\text{rank } \pi(e_i) \geq 2$, $\bigotimes^* e A_i$ is antiliminar and, with some further

assumptions, admits an irreducible representation which is not a tensor product (see § 11). On the contrary if e_i is "small", i.e. if for each π in \widehat{A}_i we have $\text{rank } \pi(e_i) \leq 1$ and if moreover each A_i is postliminar, then $\widehat{\otimes}^* e A_i$ is postliminar, each irreducible representation of it is a tensor product and we get a precise description of the topological space $\widehat{\otimes}^* e A_i$ (see n.13.2).

In § 8 we introduce the notion of infinite tensor product of Hilbert algebras, which gives us a very simple method to determine the type of certain infinite tensor products of type I factors (see § 9).

In § 1 we introduce a notion which plays a basic role throughout these lectures : the restricted product of a family of sets X_i with respect to a family of subsets Y_i ; this is the subset $\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$ of $\prod X_i$ consisting of all families (x_i) such that $x_i \in Y_i$ except for a finite number of indices i ; if each X_i is a topological space and Y_i is open in X_i , the restricted product becomes a topological space in a natural way.

*

* *

Given a set I we denote by $\overline{F}(I)$ the set of all finite subsets of I ; we say that a property P of an element i of I holds for almost every i or almost everywhere if P holds for every i lying outside some finite subset. If i is an element of I we denote by δ_i the real function on I which takes the value 1 at i and 0 at each other point.

If X is a topological space we denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the space of all continuous complex functions on X with compact support.

If A is a $*$ -algebra every hermitian idempotent element in A will be called a projection. We recall the following result concerning infinite products of complex numbers : given a family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ of non zero complex numbers, the product $\prod_{i \in I} x_i$ is convergent and non zero if and only if we have $\sum_{i \in I} |x_i - 1| < \infty$.

We finally recall the associativity property for finite tensor products : given a finite family $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ of Banach spaces and a partition $I = \bigcup_{\lambda \in L} I_\lambda$, there exists an isomorphism F of $\hat{\otimes}_{i \in I} E_i$ onto $\hat{\otimes}_{\lambda \in L} (\hat{\otimes}_{i \in I_\lambda} E_i)$ such that $F(\otimes_{i \in I} x_i) = \otimes_{\lambda \in L} (\otimes_{i \in I_\lambda} x_i)$ for each family (x_i) in $\prod_{i \in I} E_i$. Similar results hold for the \vee and $*$ tensor products of C^* -algebras.

§ 1. Restricted products of sets and topological spaces.

Definition 1. Given a family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of sets and for each i a subset Y_i of X_i , we call restricted product of the family (X_i) with respect to the family (Y_i) the set of all families $(x_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ such that $x_i \in Y_i$ for almost every i ; and we denote it by $\prod_{i \in I}^{(Y_i)} X_i$. If Y_i is reduced to some point a_i we write $\prod_{i \in I}^{(a_i)} X_i$ or $\prod^a X_i$ instead of $\prod_{i \in I}^{(\{a_i\})} X_i$.

For each finite subset J of I we denote by $X_{(J)}$ the set of all families $(x_i) \in \prod X_i$ such that $x_i \in Y_i$ for $i \in J$, i.e.

$$X_{(J)} = \prod_{i \in J} X_i \times \prod_{i \in I-J} Y_i ;$$

then the $X_{(J)}$ are subsets of $\prod_{i \in I}^{(Y_i)} X_i$ which is their union, and $X_{(J)} \subset X_{(K)}$ if $J \subset K$.

Suppose now that each X_i is a topological space and that Y_i is open in X_i ; we shall define a topology on $\prod_{i \in I}^{(Y_i)} X_i$ in the following way: we endow each $X_{(J)}$ with the product topology; then for $J \subset K$, $X_{(J)}$ is an open topological subspace of $X_{(K)}$; we say that a subset U of $\prod_{i \in I}^{(Y_i)} X_i$ is open iff for each J , $U \cap X_{(J)}$ is open in $X_{(J)}$; we get a topology which is the inductive limit of those of the $X_{(J)}$, and is stronger than the product topology; each $X_{(J)}$ appears as an open topological subspace of $\prod_{i \in I}^{(Y_i)} X_i$.

Particular cases.

- (i) If $Y_i = X_i$, the restricted product is identical to the ordinary product.
- (ii) If for each i , X_i is locally compact and Y_i compact, the restricted product is locally compact since each $X_{(J)}$ is locally compact.
- (iii) If X_i is discrete and Y_i is reduced to some point a_i , the restricted product is discrete since each $X_{(J)}$ is discrete.
- (iv) If X_i is a locally compact group and Y_i a compact open subgroup, the restricted product is, in a natural way, a locally compact group; this construction is used in order to define the so called "adele groups": in the simplest case I is the set of all prime numbers, the X_i are the p -adic fields Q_p and the Y_i - their rings of integers Z_p (see for instance [37], ch. III, § 1).
- (v) If X_i is a discrete group and Y_i is reduced to the neutral element, $\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$ is nothing but the usual restricted product $\prod' X_i$.
- (vi) If X_i is a compact group and $Y_i = X_i$ the restricted product is identical with the ordinary product $\prod X_i$.

Restricted products of Borel spaces.

We now suppose that each X_i is a Borel space and Y_i a Borel subset of X_i ; put on each $X_{(J)}$ the product Borel structure; then for $J \subset K$, $X_{(J)}$ is a Borel subspace of $X_{(K)}$; we define a Borel structure on $X = \prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$ by saying that a subset U of X is Borel iff for each J , $U \cap X_{(J)}$ is Borel in $X_{(J)}$; then each $X_{(J)}$ appears as a Borel subspace of X .

Restricted products of measures.

Suppose that each X_i is locally compact, Y_i compact and open, and that we have a positive Radon measure μ_i on X_i with $\mu_i(Y_i) = 1$; set $\nu_i = \mu_i / Y_i$; for each $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ we can form the product measure

$$\mu_{(J)} = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \mu_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \nu_i \right);$$

if $J \in K$ we have $\mu_{(K)} \upharpoonright X_{(J)} = \mu_{(J)}$, hence there exists a unique positive Radon measure μ on $\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$ such that $\mu \upharpoonright X_{(J)} = \mu_{(J)}$; it will be called restricted product of the measures μ_i .

If in particular X_i is a locally compact group, Y_i a compact open subgroup and μ_i a left Haar measure on X_i , μ is a left Haar measure on $\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$.

Finally if X_i is a Borel space, Y_i a Borel subset and μ_i a positive Borel measure on X_i with $\mu_i(Y_i) = 1$, the same construction applies and yields a positive Borel measure .

§ 2. Infinite tensor products of vector spaces.

Let us consider an arbitrary family $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ of vector spaces and for each i a non zero element t_i in E_i ; denote by t the family (t_i) ; for each finite subset J of I we set

$$E_{(J)} = \bigotimes_{i \in J} E_i \quad ; \quad \text{for } J \subset K \quad \text{we define a linear mapping}$$

$$L_{J,K} : E_{(J)} \longrightarrow E_{(K)}$$

by writing

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(K)} &= E_{(J)} \otimes E_{(K-J)} \\ L_{J,K}(x) &= x \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in K-J} t_i \right) \quad \forall x \in E_{(J)} ; \end{aligned}$$

the mappings $L_{J,K}$ are injective and form an inductive system, which means that for $J \subset K \subset M$ we have $L_{J,M} = L_{K,M} \circ L_{J,K}$.

Definition 2. We shall denote by $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^{(t_i)} E_i$ or $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^t E_i$

the inductive limit of the above inductive system, and by L_J the canonical mapping $E_{(J)} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i \in J}^t E_i$; the L_J will sometimes allow us to consider the $E_{(J)}$ as subspaces of $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^t E_i$, which is then their union; if J is reduced to a point i we shall write L_i instead of $L_{\{i\}}$. For each family $(x_i) \in \prod^t E_i$ we denote by $\otimes x_i$ the element $L_J \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i \right)$ where J is an arbitrary finite subset verifying $x_i = t_i \quad \forall i \notin J$; every element of $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^t E_i$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\otimes x_i$; the mapping $(x_i) \longmapsto \otimes x_i$ is multilinear.

Properties of the infinite tensor product.

(i) Universal property.

Proposition 1. For every multilinear mapping u of $\prod^t E_i$ into a vector space F there exists a unique linear mapping $v : \otimes^t E_i \longrightarrow F$ such that $v(\otimes x_i) = u((x_i))$ for each $(x_i) \in \prod^t E_i$; in this way we get a bijective correspondance between the multilinear mappings $\prod^t E_i \longrightarrow F$ and the linear mappings $\otimes^t E_i \longrightarrow F$.

Proof. Choose a finite subset J of I ; for each family $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ define a family $(x'_i)_{i \in I}$ where

$$x'_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in J \\ t_i & \text{if } i \notin J \end{cases};$$

the multilinear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in J} E_i &\longrightarrow F \\ (x_i)_{i \in J} &\longmapsto u((x'_i)) \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to a linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} v_J : E_{(J)} &\longrightarrow F \\ \otimes_{i \in J} x_i &\longmapsto u((x'_i)) \end{aligned};$$

the v_J form an inductive system and v is their inductive limit.

(ii) Associativity.

For each partition $I = \cup_{\lambda \in L} I_\lambda$ there exists an isomorphism $\otimes_{i \in I}^t E_i \longrightarrow \otimes_{\lambda \in L}^{(v_\lambda)} (\otimes_{i \in I_\lambda}^{u_\lambda} E_i)$ taking each element

of the form x_i into $\bigotimes_{\lambda \in I} (\bigotimes_{i \in I_\lambda} x_i)$; here we have set $u_\lambda = (t_i)_{i \in I_\lambda}$ and $v_\lambda = \bigotimes_{i \in I_\lambda} t_i$.

(iii) Functorial property.

Let us also consider vector spaces F_i and non zero elements u_i in F_i ; let v_i be a linear mapping $E_i \rightarrow F_i$ with $v_i(t_i) = u_i$; there exists a unique linear mapping $\bigotimes v_i : \bigotimes^t E_i \rightarrow \bigotimes^u F_i$ such that

$$(\bigotimes v_i)(\bigotimes x_i) = \bigotimes v_i(x_i) \quad \forall (x_i) \in \prod^t E_i;$$

if the v_i are injective, $\bigotimes v_i$ is injective too.

(iv) Bases of $\bigotimes^t E_i$.

Suppose that for each i we have a basis of E_i of the form (e_{i,x_i}) where the index x_i runs over some set X_i , and that X_i contains an element y_i with $e_{i,y_i} = t_i$; for each $x = (x_i)$ in $\prod^{(y_i)} X_i$ we set $e_{(x)} = \bigotimes_{i \in I} e_{i,x_i}$; then it is easy to verify that the vectors $e_{(x)}$ constitute a basis of $\bigotimes^t E_i$.

Bibliography [9].

N.B. There is no pages 7,8,9.

§ 3. Infinite tensor products of algebras.

Let us consider a family of algebras $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ and for each i , a non zero idempotent e_i in A_i ; the finite tensor products $A_{(J)}$ are algebras and the mappings $L_{J,K}$ are morphisms of algebras; by endowing $\otimes^e A_i$ with the inductive limit structure we get an algebra whose multiplication is characterized by

$$\otimes a_i \cdot \otimes b_i = \otimes a_i b_i \quad \forall (a_i), (b_i) \in \prod^e A_i.$$

Let us now suppose that for each i , A_i is a \ast -algebra and e_i a projection (i.e. hermitian idempotent); then the $A_{(J)}$ are \ast -algebras and the $L_{J,K}$ are morphisms of \ast -algebras; we get a structure of \ast -algebra on $\otimes^e A_i$ characterized by

$$(\otimes a_i)^{\ast} = \otimes a_i^{\ast}.$$

The reader will easily state the properties similar to (ii) and (iii) of § 2.

A particular case. Suppose that e_i is a unit element for A_i ; we then write $\otimes A_i$ instead of $\otimes^e A_i$; $\otimes e_i$ is the unit element of $\otimes A_i$; the L_J are mutually commuting morphisms of unitary \ast -algebras; moreover $\otimes A_i$ has the following universal property:

Given a unitary \ast -algebra B there exists a bijective correspondance between the morphisms (of unitary \ast -algebras) u :

$\otimes A_i \longrightarrow B$ and the families of mutually commuting morphisms $u_i : A_i \longrightarrow B$; this correspondance is given by

$$u(\otimes a_i) = \prod u_i(a_i) \quad \forall (a_i) \in \prod^e A_i.$$

Infinite tensor products of representations.

Proposition 2. Let, for each i , A_i an algebra, e_i a non zero idempotent in A_i , E_i a vector space, t_i a non zero element of E_i , π_i a representation of A_i in E_i such that $\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i = t_i$. Then there exists a unique representation π of $\otimes^e A_i$ in the space $\otimes^t E_i$ such that

$$\pi(\otimes a_i) \cdot \otimes x_i = \otimes \pi_i(a_i) \cdot x_i \quad \forall (a_i) \in \prod^e A_i, (x_i) \in \prod^t E_i.$$

Proof. Take a family $(a_i) \in \prod^e A_i$ and a finite subset J with $a_i = e_i \quad \forall i \in I-J$; write

$$\otimes_{i \in I}^t E_i = \left(\otimes_{i \in J} E_i \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{i \in I-J}^t E_i \right);$$

we have an operator $\otimes_{i \in J} \pi_i(a_i)$ in the first factor and, by property (iii) § 2, an operator $\otimes_{i \in I-J} \pi_i(a_i)$ in the second factor; whence an operator in $\otimes_{i \in I}^t E_i$ which we denote by $u((a_i))$:

$$u((a_i)) \cdot \otimes x_i = \otimes \pi_i(a_i) \cdot x_i \quad \forall (x_i) \in \prod^t E_i;$$

the mapping $u : \prod^e A_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\otimes^t E_i)$ is multilinear, hence defines a linear mapping

$$\pi : \otimes^e A_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\otimes^t E_i)$$

$$\pi(\otimes a_i) \cdot \otimes x_i = \otimes \pi_i(a_i) \cdot x_i;$$

finally it is easily seen that π is a representation.

§ 4. Infinite tensor products of Banach spaces.

Let us consider a family of Banach spaces E_i and for each i , a unit vector t_i in E_i ; let us endow each finite tensor product $E_{(J)}$ with the \wedge crossnorm; if $J \subset K$ the isomorphism $E_{(K)} \sim E_{(J)} \otimes E_{(K-J)}$ is isometric, thus the $L_{J,K}$ are isometric; we put on $\otimes^t E_i$ the inductive limit norm, so that each mapping L_J becomes isometric; we have

$$\| \otimes x_i \| = \prod \| x_i \| \quad \forall (x_i) \in \prod^t E_i .$$

Definition 3. We shall denote by $\hat{\otimes}_{i \in J}^t E_i$ the completion of $\otimes^t E_i$ with respect to the norm defined above; this is also the inductive limit of the Banach spaces $\hat{\otimes}_{i \in J} E_i$.

Definition of $\otimes x_i$ for certain families (x_i) .

Proposition 3. Let (x_i) be a family of vectors $x_i \in E_i$ such that $\sum \| x_i - t_i \| < \infty$; the product $\prod \| x_i \|$ exists, and it is null iff one of the x_i is null; the family of the vectors $L_J(\otimes_{i \in J} x_i)$ has a limit in $\hat{\otimes}^t E_i$, whose norm is equal to $\prod \| x_i \|$.

Proof. The proposition being trivial if one x_i is null, we can suppose $x_i \neq 0 \forall i$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum | \| x_i \| - 1 | &= \sum | \| x_i \| - \| t_i \| | \\ &\leq \sum \| x_i - t_i \| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

whence $\prod \|x_i\|$ exists and is not null ; the finite products

$\prod_{i \in J} \|x_i\|$ are bounded by some constant $k \geq 1$. We must now

prove that the vectors $X_J = L_J(\otimes_{i \in J} x_i)$ form a Cauchy family, i.e. that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \in \mathcal{F}(I)$

with the following property :

$$J_1, J_2 \supset K \implies \|X_{J_2} - X_{J_1}\| \leq \varepsilon ; \quad (1)$$

take K such that

$$J \cap K = \emptyset \implies \sum_{i \in J} \|x_i - t_i\| \leq \varepsilon / k ;$$

in order to prove (1) we can suppose $J_1 \subset J_2$; set $J = J_2 - J_1$;

we have

$$X_{J_2} - X_{J_1} = L_{J_2}(\otimes_{i \in J_2} x_i - (\otimes_{i \in J_1} x_i) \otimes (\otimes_{i \in J} t_i))$$

$$\|X_{J_2} - X_{J_1}\| = \prod_{i \in J_1} \|x_i\| \cdot \|\otimes_{i \in J} x_i - \otimes_{i \in J} t_i\| ;$$

denoting by i_1, \dots, i_n the elements of J we can write

$$\otimes_{i \in J} x_i - \otimes_{i \in J} t_i = x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_n} - t_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes t_{i_n}$$

$$= (x_{i_1} - t_{i_1}) \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_n} + t_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes t_{i_{n-1}} \otimes (x_{i_n} - t_{i_n})$$

$$\|\otimes_{i \in J} x_i - \otimes_{i \in J} t_i\| \leq k \|x_{i_1} - t_{i_1}\| + \dots + k \|x_{i_n} - t_{i_n}\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon / k$$

whence

$$\|X_{J_2} - X_{J_1}\| \leq k \cdot \varepsilon / k = \varepsilon .$$

Definition 4. Given a family (x_i) we set

$$x_i = \lim L_J \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i \right)$$

whenever the righthand side makes sense ; this is the case if

$\sum \|x_i - t_i\| < \infty$; in any case we have $\|\bigotimes x_i\| = \prod \|x_i\|$.

Bibliography [9].

§ 5. Infinite tensor products of Banach \ast - algebras.

Suppose we are given a family of Banach \ast - algebras A_i and for each i , a projection e_i of norm 1 in A_i ; then $\hat{\otimes}^e A_i$ is a Banach \ast - algebra, the inductive limit of the finite tensor products $\hat{\otimes}_{i \in J} A_i$. If in particular e_i is a unit element for A_i , $\hat{\otimes}^e A_i$, which will be denoted $\hat{\otimes} A_i$, has the following universal property: given a unitary Banach \ast - algebra B and mutually commuting morphisms (of unitary \ast - algebras) continuous and with norm 1, $u_i : A_i \longrightarrow B$, there exists a unique continuous morphism $u : \hat{\otimes} A_i \longrightarrow B$ such that

$$u(\otimes a_i) = \prod u_i(a_i) \quad \forall (a_i) \in \prod^e A_i.$$

Example 1. We consider the situation of § 1, (iv), set $A_i = L^1(X_i)$ and denote by e_i the characteristic function of Y_i ; this is a projection of norm 1 if the Haar measure μ on X_i is chosen so that $\mu(Y_i) = 1$.

Theorem 1. There exists an isometric isomorphism w of $\hat{\otimes}^e A_i$ onto $L^1(\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i)$ with the following property: for every family (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i$, $w(\otimes a_i)$ is the function f on $\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \prod a_i(x_i) & \text{if } x = (x_i) \in X_{(J)} \\ 0 & \text{in the opposite case} \end{cases}$$

(we have set $J = \{i \mid a_i \neq e_i\}$).

Proof. ~~The reader will notice the similarity of this proof~~

~~with that of page 15.~~ For every $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(I)$ we have an isometric isomorphism

$$u_J : \widehat{\otimes}_{i \in J} A_i \longrightarrow L^1\left(\prod_{i \in J} X_i\right)$$

which transforms each element $\otimes_{i \in J} a_i$ into the function $(x_i)_{i \in J} \longmapsto \prod_{i \in J} a_i(x_i)$; then an isometric morphism

$$v_J : \widehat{\otimes}_{i \in J} A_i \longrightarrow L^1\left(\prod_{i \in J} X_i\right) \widehat{\otimes} L^1\left(\prod_{i \in I-J} Y_i\right) \sim L^1(X_{(J)})$$

$$a \longmapsto u_J(a) \otimes 1 \quad ;$$

finally extending $v_J(a)$ to a function on $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i^{(Y_i)}$

which is zero outside of $X_{(J)}$, we get an isometric morphism

$$w_J : \widehat{\otimes}_{i \in J} A_i \longmapsto L^1(X) .$$

As easily checked the w_J form an inductive system and we get an isometric morphism

$$w : \widehat{\otimes}^e A_i \longrightarrow L^1(X)$$

which transforms $\otimes a_i$ as indicated in the statement. It remains to be shown that $\text{Im } w$ is dense in $L^1(X)$, or that

each function f in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a limit of elements in $\text{Im } w$;

the support of f is included in some $X_{(J)}$; by the Stone-

Weierstrass theorem we can suppose that f depends only on a

finite number of coordinates, i.e. that there exists some

$J' \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(I)$ such that $f = g \otimes 1$ with $g \in \mathcal{K}\left(\prod_{i \in J'} X_i\right)$;

we can also suppose that $J' > J$, but in this case $f \in \text{Im } w_J$.

Corollary 1. The L^1 algebra of the restricted product of discrete groups G_i is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\otimes} L^1(G_i)$.

Corollary 2. The L^1 algebra of a product of compact groups G_i is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\otimes}^e L^1(G_i)$ where e_i is the function 1 on G_i .

Example 2. We first define the symmetric algebra of a Banach space. Consider a Banach space E and set, for each integer $n > 0$

$$E^{\hat{\otimes} n} = E \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} E \quad n\text{-times};$$

every permutation s of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ gives rise to an automorphism $U_{s,n}$ of $E^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ such that

$$U_{s,n}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = x_{s(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{s(n)};$$

the operator $P_n = (n!)^{-1} \sum_s U_{s,n}$ is a projection of norm 1; we set $S^n E = \text{Im } P_n =$ the set of all elements in $E^{\hat{\otimes} n}$

which are invariant by all $U_{s,n}$; finally we denote by SE the Banach direct sum of all $S^n E$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, i.e.

the set of all sequences $x = (x_n)$ where $x_n \in S^n E$ and $\|x\| = \sum \|x_n\| < \infty$; by definition $S^0 E = \mathbb{C}$.

It is proved in the courses of Algebra that there exists on

the algebraic direct sum $A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} E^{\otimes n}$ a structure of

commutative algebra such that

$$(x y)_n = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} P_n(x_p \otimes y_{n-p}) \quad (2)$$

for every $x = (x_n)$ and $y = (y_n)$ in A ; we then have

$$\|(x y)_n\| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \|x_p\| \cdot \|y_{n-p}\|$$

$$\|x y\| = \sum_n \|(x y)_n\| \leq \sum_p \|x_p\| \cdot \sum_q \|y_q\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

hence the multiplication can be extended to SE which becomes a commutative Banach algebra; (2) is still valid for x and $y \in SE$; SE admits a unit element $\varepsilon = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$.

For each $a \in E$ it will be convenient to denote by $\exp a$ the following element of SE :

$$\exp a = (1, a, a^{\otimes 2}/2!, \dots, a^{\otimes n}/n!, \dots);$$

we have

$$\|\exp a\| = e^{\|a\|}$$

and

$$\exp(a+b) = \exp a \cdot \exp b.$$

Each Banach space $S^n E$ is generated by the particular tensors $x^{\otimes n}$; then the algebra SE is generated by ε and E identified with the set of all elements $(0, x, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Proposition 4. The Banach algebra SE possesses the following universal property: given a commutative Banach algebra B with unit, by associating with each morphism of unitary algebras

$v : SE \longrightarrow B$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$, its restriction to E one gets a bijective correspondance between such morphisms v and all the continuous linear mappings $E \longrightarrow B$ of norm ≤ 1 .

Proof. We have to show that every continuous linear mapping $u : E \longrightarrow B$ of norm ≤ 1 can be extended to a morphism v ; we have for each n a multilinear mapping of norm ≤ 1

$$\begin{aligned} E^n &\longrightarrow B \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\longmapsto u(a_1) \dots u(a_n) \end{aligned}$$

whence a linear mapping of norm ≤ 1

$$\begin{aligned} v_n : E^{\hat{\otimes} n} &\longrightarrow B \\ a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n &\longmapsto u(a_1) \dots u(a_n) ; \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to set, for each $x = (x_n) \in SE$:

$$v(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(x_n) .$$

QED

Note that $v(\exp a) = e^{u(a)}$.

Corollary 3. Let $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of Banach spaces, E its Banach direct sum, i.e. the set of all families $x = (x_i) \in \prod E_i$ with $\|x\| = \sum \|x_i\| < \infty$. Then SE is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\otimes} SE_i$; this isomorphism carries each $\exp x$ into $\otimes \exp x_i$.

The proof is purely categorical : it suffices to remark

that SE and $\hat{\otimes} SE_i$ are solutions of the same universal problem ; note that $\otimes \exp x_i$ exists because $\sum \|\exp x_i - \epsilon_i\| < \infty$ since $\|\exp x_i - \epsilon_i\| = e^{\|x_i\|} - 1 \sim \|x_i\|$.

Remark 0. For $a \in E$, $\exp a$ is nothing but $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n/n!$, the image of a in the exponential map which can be defined in any Banach algebra.

§ 6. Infinite tensor products of Hilbert spaces.

n.6.1. Definition and first properties.

Let us consider a family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ of Hilbert spaces and for each i , a unit vector t_i in H_i ; endow each $H_{(J)}$ with its usual prehilbert structure; the mappings $L_{J,K}$ are isometric and we can put on $\otimes^t H_i$ the inductive limit prehilbert structure; each $H_{(J)}$ appears as a subprehilbert space of $\otimes^t H_i$ and we have

$$(\otimes x_i / \otimes y_i) = \prod (x_i / y_i) \quad \forall (x_i), (y_i) \in \prod^t H_i.$$

Definition 5. We shall denote by $\overset{h}{\otimes}{}^t H_i$ the Hilbert completion of the prehilbert space $\otimes^t H_i$; it is also the inductive limit of the finite tensor products $\overset{h}{\otimes}_{i \in J} H_i$.

It is easy to construct orthonormal bases of $\overset{h}{\otimes}{}^t H_i$: choose for each i an orthonormal basis $(e_{i,j})_{j \in J_i}$ of H_i with $e_{i,0} = t_i$; for each element $f = (f(i))$ in $\prod^0 J_i$ set $e_f = \otimes e_{i,f(i)}$; then it is easy to verify that the e_f constitute an orthonormal basis of $\overset{h}{\otimes}{}^t H_i$.

Associativity. For each partition $I = \bigcup_{\lambda \in L} I_\lambda$ there exists an isomorphism of $\overset{h}{\otimes}_{i \in I}{}^t H_i$ onto $\overset{h}{\otimes}_{\lambda \in L} (v_\lambda) \left(\overset{h}{\otimes}_{i \in I_\lambda} u_\lambda H_i \right)$ with the same properties as in § 2 (ii).

Bibliography [9].

n.6.2. Definition of $\otimes x_i$ for certain families (x_i) .

As in § 4 we set $\otimes x_i = \lim_{i \in J} L_J(\otimes x_i)$ whenever this limit exists ; one can prove exactly as in prop. 3 that it does exist if $\sum \|x_i - t_i\| < \infty$; but it still exists under more general conditions :

Proposition 5. Let (x_i) be a family of vectors satisfying

$$\sum | \|x_i\| - 1 | < \infty \quad (3)$$

$$\sum |(x_i | t_i) - 1| < \infty ; \quad (4)$$

then $\prod \|x_i\|$ exists, and it is null iff one of the x_i is null.

The family of the vectors $L_J(\otimes x_i)$ has a limit in $\otimes^h t H_i$ whose norm is $\prod \|x_i\|$; moreover we have

$$\lim_J \left\| \otimes_{i \in I-J} x_i - \otimes_{i \in I-J} t_i \right\| = 0 .$$

Proof. As in prop. 3 we can suppose $x_i \neq 0 \forall i$; then $\prod \|x_i\|$ exists and is non null by virtue of (3) ; set $c = \prod \|x_i\|^2$; the finite products $\prod_{i \in J} \|x_i\|$ are bounded by some $k > 0$; on the other hand we have $(x_i | t_i) \neq 0$ almost everywhere and we can suppose $(x_i | t_i) \neq 0 \forall i$; by (4), $\prod (x_i | t_i)$ has a value $d \neq 0$.

Take a number $\varepsilon > 0$; there exists $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that $K \supset J$ implies

$$\left| \prod_{i \in K} \|x_i\|^2 - c \right| \leq \varepsilon c / (4k + \varepsilon)$$

and

$$\left| \prod_{i \in K} (x_i | t_i) - d \right| \leq \varepsilon |d| (8k + \varepsilon) ;$$

setting $L = K - J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \bigotimes_{i \in L} x_i - \bigotimes_{i \in L} t_i \right\|^2 &= \left\| \bigotimes_{i \in L} x_i \right\|^2 + 1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in L} x_i \mid \bigotimes_{i \in L} t_i \right) \\ &\leq \left| \prod_{i \in L} \|x_i\|^2 - 1 \right| + 2 \left| \prod_{i \in L} (x_i | t_i) - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{i \in L} \|x_i\|^2 - 1 \right| &= \left| \prod_{i \in K} \|x_i\|^2 - c + c - \prod_{i \in J} \|x_i\|^2 \right| / \prod_{i \in J} \|x_i\|^2 \\ &\leq (2\varepsilon c / (4k + \varepsilon)) / (c - \varepsilon c / (4k + \varepsilon)) = \varepsilon / 2k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{i \in L} (x_i | t_i) - 1 \right| &= \left| \prod_{i \in K} (x_i | t_i) - d + d - \prod_{i \in J} (x_i | t_i) \right| / \prod_{i \in J} (x_i | t_i) \\ &\leq (2\varepsilon |d| / (8k + \varepsilon)) / (d - \varepsilon |d| (8k + \varepsilon)) = \varepsilon / 4k \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\| \bigotimes_{i \in L} x_i - \bigotimes_{i \in L} t_i \right\|^2 \leq \varepsilon / k ; \quad (5)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| L_K \left(\bigotimes_{i \in K} x_i \right) - L_J \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i \right) \right\| &= \left\| \bigotimes_{i \in K} x_i - L_{J,K} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i \right) \right\| \\ &= \prod_{i \in J} \|x_i\| \cdot \left\| \bigotimes_{i \in L} x_i - \bigotimes_{i \in L} t_i \right\| \\ &\leq k (\varepsilon / k)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\varepsilon k)^{\frac{1}{2}} ; \end{aligned}$$

this proves that $(L_J(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i))$ is a Cauchy family. Finally

our last assertion is a consequence of (5).

n.6.3. Relations between the various tensor products $\bigotimes^h_t H_i$.

We shall prove that if two families (t_i) , (u_i) are suffi-

ciently close, $\bigotimes^h t H_i$ and $\bigotimes^h u H_i$ are canonically isomorphic.

Lemma 1. The following relations between families $(t_i), (u_i)$ of unit vectors

$$\sum_i |1 - (t_i | u_i)| < \infty \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_i (1 - |(t_i | u_i)|) < \infty \quad (7)$$

are equivalence relations ; if we write them respectively

$t \approx u$ and $t \sim u$, we have $t \sim u$ if and only if there

exists a family of complex numbers α_i with $|\alpha_i| = 1$ and

$$(u_i) \approx (\alpha_i t_i).$$

Proof. These relations are trivially reflexive and symmetric ; let us show that the first one is ~~symmetric~~ transitive : suppose

$(u_i) \approx (v_i)$; then

$$1 - (t_i | v_i) = 1 - (t_i | u_i) + 1 - (u_i | v_i) + (t_i - u_i | u_i - v_i)$$

$$\sum \|t_i - u_i\|^2 = \sum (2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(t_i | u_i))$$

$$\leq \sum 2 |1 - (t_i | u_i)| < \infty$$

and similarly

$$\sum \|u_i - v_i\|^2 < \infty$$

hence

$$\sum |(t_i - u_i | u_i - v_i)| \leq \sum \|t_i - u_i\| \cdot \|u_i - v_i\| < \infty$$

$$\sum |1 - (t_i | v_i)| < \infty.$$

We now prove the last assertion ; if $(u_i) \approx (\alpha_i t_i)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (1 - |(t_i|u_i)|) &= \sum (1 - |(\alpha_i t_i|u_i)|) \\ &\leq \sum |1 - (\alpha_i t_i|u_i)| < \infty ; \end{aligned}$$

conversely suppose $t \sim u$ and set

$$\alpha_i = \begin{cases} |(t_i|u_i)| / (t_i|u_i) & \text{if } (t_i|u_i) \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{in the opposite case ;} \end{cases}$$

then

$$\sum |1 - (\alpha_i t_i|u_i)| = \sum (1 - |(t_i|u_i)|) < \infty .$$

The transitivity of \sim is now immediate.

Theorem 2. Let us suppose $t \sim u$ and more precisely $(u_i) \approx (d_i t_i)$; there exists a unique isomorphism $F : \bigotimes^h_t H_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes^h_u H_i$ with the following property : if $\otimes x_i$ exists in the first space, $\otimes \alpha_i x_i$ exists in the second space and is equal to $F(\otimes x_i)$.

Proof. The unicity is clear since the $\otimes x_i$ with $(x_i) \in \prod^t H_i$ generate the first space. For each $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ we define a multilinear mapping

$$\prod_{i \in J} H_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i \in I}^h_u H_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^h_u H_i \right)$$

$$(x_i)_{i \in J} \longmapsto \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^{\otimes} d_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^{\otimes} \alpha_i t_i \right)$$

which makes sense by prop. 5 ; it gives rise to a linear mapping

$$F_J : \bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i \in I}^h H_i$$

$$\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i \longmapsto \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \alpha_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \alpha_i t_i \right)$$

which is easily seen to be isometric ; since the F_J form an inductive system we get an isometric linear mapping

$$F : \bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i \in I}^h H_i$$

$$\bigotimes x_i \longmapsto \bigotimes \alpha_i x_i \quad \forall (x_i) \in \prod^t H_i .$$

Let us prove that F is onto ; if $(y_i) \in \prod^u H_i$ we have, for J sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} \bigotimes y_i &= \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} y_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} u_i \right) \\ &= \lim \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} y_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \alpha_i t_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

by the last assertion of prop. 5 ; since

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} y_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \alpha_i t_i \right) \in \text{Im } F ,$$

we see that $\text{Im } F$ is dense, hence equal to the whole space.

Let us now suppose that $\bigotimes x_i$ exists in $\bigotimes^h H_i$; then

$$\begin{aligned} F(\bigotimes x_i) &= F(\lim L_J(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i)) \\ &= \lim F_J(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i) \\ &= \lim \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \alpha_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \alpha_i t_i \right) ; \end{aligned}$$

and this is equal to $\lim \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \alpha_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} u_i \right)$ since

$$\left\| \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \alpha_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \alpha_i t_i \right) - \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \alpha_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} u_i \right) \right\| =$$

$$\prod_{i \in J} \|x_i\| \cdot \left\| \bigotimes_{i \in I-J} t_i - \bigotimes_{i \in I-J} u_i \right\|$$

which tends to 0 by the last assertion of prop. 5.

Remark 1. The infinite tensor products of Hilbert spaces have been introduced by von Neumann in [44]; the space $\bigotimes^h \bigotimes^t H_i$ is denoted by $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I}^{\mathcal{L}} H_{\alpha}$ where \mathcal{L} is the class of t with respect to the relation \approx ; if we take one element t in each class and the sum of the corresponding tensor products, we get $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$; finally if we take only elements t which lie in some class with respect to \sim , we get $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I}^{\mathcal{L}_w} H_{\alpha}$.

n.6.4. Infinite tensor products of operators.

Proposition 6. Suppose we have for each i a continuous linear operator T_i in H_i such that $\prod \|T_i\|$ exists and

$$\sum \left| \|T_i t_i\| - 1 \right| < \infty \quad (8)$$

$$\sum \left| (T_i t_i | t_i) - 1 \right| < \infty ; \quad (9)$$

there exists a unique continuous linear operator T in $\bigotimes^h \bigotimes^t H_i$ with the following property: if $\bigotimes x_i$ exists, $\bigotimes T_i x_i$ exists and is equal to $T(\bigotimes x_i)$.

Proof. First take an element x of the algebraic tensor product

$\bigotimes^t H_i$ and write

$$\bigotimes^t H_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} H_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^t H_i \right)$$

$$x = x_J \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} t_i \right) ;$$

by prop. 5 we can consider the vector

$$T x = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} T_i x_J \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} T_i t_i \right)$$

and we get a linear operator T in $\bigotimes^t H_i$; T is continuous since

$$\begin{aligned} \| T x \| &\leq \prod_{i \in J} \| T_i \| \cdot \| x_J \| \cdot \prod_{i \in I-J} \| T_i t_i \| \\ &\leq \| x \| \cdot \prod \| T_i \| ; \end{aligned}$$

hence it can be extended to a continuous linear operator T in $\bigotimes^t H_i$. Suppose now that $\bigotimes x_i$ exists ; then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\bigotimes x_i) &= T(\lim_J L_J(\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i)) \\ &= \lim_J T((\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} t_i)) \\ &= \lim_J \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} T_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} T_i t_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

and this is equal to $\lim_J \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} T_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} t_i \right)$ by the same reasoning as in the end of th. 2.

Definition 6. Given a family (T_i) of continuous linear operators in H_i , we set

$$\bigotimes^t T_i = \text{str.lim.} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} T_i \right) \otimes I$$

whenever this limit exists ; it does exist under the hypothesis of prop. 6.

Proposition 7. In the situation of th. 2, if $\bigotimes^h T_i$ exists then $\bigotimes^u T_i$ exists too and is equal to $F \cdot \bigotimes^h T_i \cdot F^{-1}$.

In fact it is easy to see that for each finite J , F carries $(\bigotimes_{i \in J} T_i) \otimes I$ into the analogous operator in $\bigotimes^u H_i$.

n.6.5. Distributivity of tensor products with respect to Hilbert sums and integrals.

In the following theorem we suppose I countable.

Theorem 3. Let us consider for each i , a standard Borel space X_i , a Borel subset Y_i , a positive Borel measure μ_i on X_i with $\mu_i(Y_i) = 1$, a μ_i -measurable field of Hilbert spaces $x_i \longmapsto H_{i,x_i}$, and a square integrable vector field $t_{i,x_i} \in H_{i,x_i}$ where t_{i,x_i} is of norm 1 if $x_i \in Y_i$ and 0 in the opposite case. For each i let us set

$$H_i = \int_{X_i}^{\oplus} H_{i,x_i} \cdot d\mu_i(x_i)$$

$$t_i = \int_{X_i}^{\oplus} t_{i,x_i} \cdot d\mu_i(x_i) \quad (\text{unit vector in } H_i);$$

let us set $X = \prod^{(Y_i)} X_i$ and define μ on X as in § 1;

finally for each $x = (x_i) \in X$ we set

$$H(x) = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_{i,x_i} \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^h (t_{i,x_i}) H_{i,x_i} \right)$$

where $J = \{ i \mid x_i \notin Y_i \}$. Then one can put on the field

$x \longmapsto H(x)$ a structure of μ -measurable field such that

$\bigoplus_{i \in J}^h H_i$ is canonically isomorphic to $\int_X^{\oplus} H(x) \cdot d\mu(x)$.

Sketch of the proof. For $J \in \tilde{f}(I)$ we have, by § 1.3 of Part I, an isomorphism

$$u_J : \bigoplus_{i \in J}^h H_i \longrightarrow K = \int_{\prod_{i \in J} X_i}^{\oplus} \bigoplus_{i \in J}^h H_{i, x_i} \cdot d(\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mu_i)(x)$$

$$a_i \longmapsto \int_{\prod_{i \in J} X_i}^{\oplus} a_{i, x_i} \cdot d(\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mu_i)(x)$$

where $a_i = \int_{X_i}^{\oplus} a_{i, x_i} \cdot d\mu_i(x_i)$; then we have an isometric mapping

$$u_J' : K \longrightarrow L = K \bigoplus \int_{\prod_{i \in I-J} Y_i}^{\oplus} \bigoplus_{i \in I-J}^h (t_{i, x_i}) H_{i, x_i} \cdot d(\bigoplus_{i \in I-J} \mu_i)(x)$$

$$b \longmapsto b \bigoplus \int_{\prod_{i \in I-J} Y_i}^{\oplus} t_{i, x_i} \cdot d(\bigoplus_{i \in I-J} \mu_i)(x) ;$$

then an isomorphism

$$u_J'' : L \longrightarrow \int_{X(J)}^{\oplus} H(x) \cdot d\mu(J)(x) ;$$

and finally an isometric mapping

$$u_J''' : \int_{X(J)}^{\oplus} H(x) \cdot d\mu(J)(x) \longrightarrow \int_X^{\oplus} H(x) \cdot d\mu(x)$$

consisting in extending each vector field by 0 outside of $X(J)$; the mappings $u_J''' \circ u_J'' \circ u_J' \circ u_J$ form an inductive system, hence define an isometric mapping

$$u : \bigoplus_{i \in I}^h H_i \longrightarrow \int_X^{\oplus} H(x) \cdot d\mu(x) ;$$

one proves that it is surjective by a reasoning similar to that of theorem 1.

Corollary 4. If μ_i has total mass 1 and $Y_i = X_i$ we get an isomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I}^h \int_{X_i}^{\oplus} H_{i, x_i} \cdot d\mu_i(x_i) \sim \int_{\prod X_i}^{\oplus} \bigotimes_{i \in I}^h (t_{i, x_i}) H_{i, x_i} \cdot d(\bigotimes \mu_i)(x).$$

Corollary 5. If $H_{i, x_i} = \mathbb{C}$ and $t_{i, x_i} = 1$ or 0 depending on whether x_i belongs to Y_i or not, we get an isomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I}^h L^2(X_i, \mu_i) \sim L^2(X, \mu)$$

where t_i is the characteristic function of Y_i .

Corollary 6. Assuming the hypotheses of both corollaries 4 and 5 we have an isomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I}^h L^2(X_i, \mu_i) \sim L^2(\prod X_i, \bigotimes \mu_i)$$

where t_i is the function 1 on X_i .

Suppose now that each μ_i has the mass 1 at each point and that Y_i is reduced to some point a_i ; the reader will be able to state a result similar to th. 3; strictly speaking this is not a corollary of th. 3 since in our particular case we have not to assume I countable and X_i standard; we shall only state the following corollary, analogous to cor. 5:

Corollary 7. Suppose we have for each i , a set X_i and a point a_i of X_i ; then $\ell^2(\prod^{(a_i)} X_i)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\otimes_{a_i}^h \ell^2(X_i)$.

n.6.6. The Hilbert symmetric space of a Hilbert space.

We shall introduce a notion similar to that of "symmetric algebra of a Banach space" (see § 5, ex. 2), but conveniently adapted to the category of Hilbert spaces. Let H be some Hilbert space; for each integer $n > 0$ we can consider the Hilbert space

$$H^{\otimes n} = H \otimes \dots \otimes H \quad n \text{ - times}$$

and then the closed subspace $S^n H$ consisting of those elements which are invariant by all permutations; we denote by SH the Hilbert sum of all $S^n H$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; an element of SH is a sequence $X = (X_n)$ with $X_n \in S^n H$ and we have

$$\|X\|^2 = \sum \|X_n\|^2 < \infty$$

$$(X | Y) = \sum (X_n | Y_n) .$$

For every x in H we denote by $\exp x$ the following element of SH :

$$\exp x = (1, x, x^{\otimes 2}/(2!)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, x^{\otimes n}/(n!)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots)$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp x | \exp y) &= e^{(x|y)} \\ \|\exp x\|^2 &= e^{\|x\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that the mapping \exp is continuous.

Lemma 2. The elements $\exp x$ are total in SH.

It is sufficient to prove that each element of the form $a^{\otimes n}$ belongs to the closed linear subspace K generated by the $\exp x$; let us set for each real number t :

$$f(t) = \exp t a ;$$

an easy computation shows that

$$f^{(n)}(0) = (n!)^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{\otimes n} ;$$

now the relation

$$f^{(n)}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} n! t^{-n} (f(t) - f(0) - \dots - t^{n-1} ((n-1)!)^{-1} f^{(n-1)}(0))$$

proves by induction that $f^{(n)}(0) \in K$.

Proposition 8. Let H be the Hilbert sum of a family of Hilbert spaces H_i ; there exists a unique isomorphism F of SH onto $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \text{SH}_i$ with the following property: for each $x = (x_i) \in H$, $\bigotimes \exp x_i$ exists in $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \text{SH}_i$ and is equal to $F(\exp x)$.

Proof. The unicity is clear. Now if $(x_i) \in H$ we have

$$\|\exp x_i\| - 1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}\|x_i\|^2} - 1 \sim \frac{1}{2}\|x_i\|^2$$

$$(\exp x_i | \varepsilon_i) - 1 = 0$$

so that $\exp x_i$ exists by prop. 5 ; we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\otimes \exp x_i | \otimes \exp y_i) &= \prod (\exp x_i | \exp y_i) \\ &= \prod e^{(x_i | y_i)} \\ &= e^{\sum (x_i | y_i)} = e^{(x | y)} \\ &= (\exp x | \exp y) ; \end{aligned}$$

thus there exists an isomorphism F of SH onto the closed linear subspace of ${}^{h_\varepsilon} \otimes SH_i$ generated by the elements $\otimes \exp x_i$ with $F(\exp x) = \otimes \exp x_i$; but the $\otimes \exp x_i$ are total in ${}^{h_\varepsilon} \otimes SH_i$.

Remark 2. The space SH is used in Quantum Field Theory for the so called Representations of Commutation Relations ; see for instance [32],[34],[39].

§ 7. Infinite tensor products of von Neumann algebras.

n.7.1. The concrete tensor product.

Let us consider a family of Hilbert spaces H_i and for each i , a unit vector t_i in H_i and a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A}_i in H_i .

Definition 7. We shall denote by $\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}_i$ the von Neumann algebra in the space $H = \overset{h}{\otimes}{}^t H_i$ which is generated by all operators of the form $\otimes T_i$ where $T_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $T_i = I$ almost everywhere.

Clearly $\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}_i$ also contains every operator $\otimes T_i$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ in the sense of definition 6 ; and in particular every operator $\otimes T_i$ where $T_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $\prod \|T_i\|$ exists,

$\sum \| \|T_i t_i\| - 1 \| < \infty$ and $\sum \| |(T_i t_i | t_i) - 1 | < \infty$. In the situation of th. 2, $\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^u \mathcal{A}_i$ are spatially isomorphic ; more precisely we have

$$F. \overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}_i . F^{-1} = \overset{c}{\otimes}{}^u \mathcal{A}_i ;$$

we shall see later (see § 9) that the type of $\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}_i$ depends strongly on the choice of t .

Proposition 9. We have $\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}'_i = (\overset{c}{\otimes}{}^t \mathcal{A}_i)'$. ~~the equality holds if all \mathcal{A}_i are semi-finite.~~

First assertion : if $T_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $T'_i \in \mathcal{A}'_i$ and $T_i = T'_i = I$ almost everywhere we have

$$\otimes T_i \cdot \otimes T_i' = \otimes T_i T_i' = \otimes T_i' T_i = \otimes T_i' \cdot \otimes T_i.$$

Second assertion : take some operator S in $(\otimes_{i \in J}^c t \mathcal{A}_i)'$, some weak neighbourhood V of S :

$$V = \{ S' \mid |((S'-S).x_n|y_n)| < 1, n = 1, \dots, N \}$$

and some $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ with the following property :

$$\|P.x_n - x_n\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \|P.y_n - y_n\| \leq \epsilon, \quad n = 1, \dots, N$$

where P is the projection onto the subspace $K = (\otimes_{i \in J}^h H_i) \otimes (\otimes_{i \in I-J}^c t_i)$;

we can write $P = I \otimes Q$ where Q is the projection onto the vector $\otimes_{i \in I-J}^c t_i$.

We claim that $S_P \in (\otimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i)' \otimes I$; in fact for $T_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $x_i \in H_i$ with $i \notin J \implies T_i = I, x_i = t_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_P \cdot \otimes T_i \cdot \otimes x_i &= P.S. \otimes T_i \cdot \otimes x_i \\ &= P \cdot \otimes T_i \cdot S \cdot \otimes x_i \\ &= I \otimes Q \cdot \otimes T_i \cdot S \cdot \otimes x_i \\ &= \otimes T_i \cdot I \otimes Q \cdot S \cdot \otimes x_i \\ &= \otimes T_i \cdot P.S. \cdot \otimes x_i \\ &= \otimes T_i \cdot S_P \cdot \otimes x_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since our assertion is true for finite tensor products (see

[68] 1. ~~conclusion 12-3~~

II. Prop. 14, p. 102), this implies $S_P \in (\otimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i)' \otimes I$; now

S_P , operator in K , has the form $R \otimes I$ where $R \in \otimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i'$;

we can consider the operator $R \otimes I$ in $\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^h H_i$ where I is the identity operator in $\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^h H_i$; we have

$$R \otimes I \in \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i' \right) \otimes I \subset \bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i' ;$$

on the other hand if ε is sufficiently small we have $R \otimes I \in V$ since

$$\begin{aligned} |((R \otimes I - S).x_n / y_n)| &\leq |(R \otimes I.x_n / y_n) - (R \otimes I.Px_n / Py_n)| \\ &+ |(R \otimes I.Px_n / Py_n) - (S.Px_n / Py_n)| \\ &+ |(S.Px_n / Py_n) - (S.x_n / y_n)| ; \end{aligned}$$

the second member of the righthand side is null while the other two are less than $\varepsilon \|S\| (\|x_n\| + \|y_n\|)$.

Theorem 4. The von Neumann algebra $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^c \mathcal{A}_i$ is a factor if and only if each \mathcal{A}_i is a factor; it is equal to $\mathcal{L}(H)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{L}(H_i) \quad \forall i$.

Second assertion: if for some j , \mathcal{A}_j' contains a non scalar operator T_j , $\left(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^c \mathcal{A}_i' \right)'$ contains the non scalar operator $\otimes T_i$ where $T_i = T_j$ if $i = j$, $T_i = I$ if $i \neq j$.

Conversely suppose $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{L}(H_i)$; by the preceding proposition we have

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^c \mathcal{A}_i' \right)' = \bigotimes_{i \in I}^c \mathcal{A}_i' = \text{scalars.}$$

First assertion: if for some j the center of \mathcal{A}_j contains a

non scalar operator T_j , the center of $\otimes^c \mathcal{A}_i$ contains the above operator $\otimes T_i$. Conversely suppose \mathcal{A}_i is a factor; by the preceding proposition the von Neumann \mathcal{A} generated by $\otimes^c \mathcal{A}_i$ and $(\otimes^c \mathcal{A}_i)'$ contains all operators $\otimes T_i T'_i$ where $T_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $T'_i \in \mathcal{A}'_i$, $T_i = T'_i = I$ almost everywhere, hence all operators $\otimes S_i$ where $S_i \in \mathcal{L}(H_i)$, $S_i = I$ almost everywhere; by the first part of the proof we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}(H)$, and $\otimes^c \mathcal{A}_i$ is a factor.

n.7.2. The abstract tensor product.

Given a family $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ of von Neumann algebras and, for each i , a projection e_i in \mathcal{A}_i , we shall construct a von Neumann algebra $\otimes^a e_i \mathcal{A}_i$ which admits as quotients the various concrete tensor products $\otimes^c \mathcal{A}_i$.

Let us first define the inductive limit of an inductive system of von Neumann algebras; let I be a filtering ordered set, $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of von Neumann algebras, and for $i \leq j$, $M_{i,j}$ a normal morphism $\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_j$ (by convention all morphisms of von Neumann algebras preserve the unit elements) such that $M_{j,k} \circ M_{i,j} = M_{i,k}$ for $i \leq j \leq k$; denote by \mathcal{A} the algebraic inductive limit of this inductive system, by M_i the canonical morphisms $\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and by π the direct sum of all cyclic representations ρ of \mathcal{A} such

that $\rho \circ M_i$ is normal for each i ; the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(A)$ will be called the inductive limit of our inductive system; note that it exists if and only if there exist representations ρ of the above type; it has the following universal property: given a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} , by associating to each normal morphism $v: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ the family $(v \circ u_i)$, we get a bijective correspondence between the normal morphisms v and the families of normal morphisms $v_i: \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $v_j \circ M_{i,j} = v_i$ for $i \leq j$ (inductive systems of normal morphisms).

We are now in a position to define $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^a e_i$; by realizing each \mathcal{A}_i in some Hilbert space we can define the finite tensor products $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i$, which are independent of the chosen realizations and form an inductive system: for $J < K$ we write

$$\bigotimes_{i \in K}^c \mathcal{A}_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in K-J}^c \mathcal{A}_i \right)$$

and define $M_{J,K}$ by

$$M_{J,K}(a) = a \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in K-J}^c e_i \right).$$

Definition 8. We denote by $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^a \mathcal{A}_i$ the inductive limit of the above inductive system. If $e = I$ we write $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^a \mathcal{A}_i$.

Proposition 10. Let, for each i , H_i a Hilbert space, t_i a unit vector in H_i , π_i a normal representation of \mathcal{A}_i in H_i

with $\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i = t_i$; there exists a normal representation π of $\bigotimes^e \mathcal{A}_i$ in $\bigotimes^t H_i$ such that $\pi(\bigotimes a_i) = \bigotimes \pi_i(a_i)$ for each family $(a_i) \in \prod^e \mathcal{A}_i$. Moreover $\text{Im } \pi = \bigotimes^c \text{Im } \pi_i$.

Proof. Set $H = \bigotimes^t H_i$, $\mathcal{B}_i = \text{Im } \pi_i =$ von Neumann algebra in H_i ; take some J in $\widehat{F}(I)$; by [1], p. 60 we have a normal morphism

$$u_J : \bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{B}_i$$

$$\bigotimes a_i \longmapsto \bigotimes \pi_i(a_i) ;$$

on the other hand we can define a normal morphism

$$u'_J : \bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{B}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$$

by writing

$$H = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_i \right) \bigotimes^h \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^t H_i \right)$$

$$u'_J(b) = b \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} \pi_i(e_i) \right) ;$$

we get normal morphisms

$$v_J = u'_J \circ u_J : \bigotimes_{i \in J}^c \mathcal{A}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$$

which form an inductive system and define a normal morphism

$$\pi : \bigotimes^e \mathcal{A}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H) \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\pi(\bigotimes a_i) = \bigotimes \pi_i(a_i) \quad \forall (a_i) \in \prod^e \mathcal{A}_i .$$

Last assertion : clearly we have $\text{Im } \pi \subset \bigotimes^c \mathcal{B}_i$; to prove

the converse inclusion it suffices to prove that $\otimes b_i \in \text{Im } \pi$ for each family (b_i) with $b_i \in \beta_i$ and $b_i = I$ almost everywhere, i.e. for $i \notin J$; for each $i \in J$ there exists a_i in \mathcal{A}_i with $\pi_i(a_i) = b_i$; take $K \supset J$ and define an element $a_{(K)}$ in $\otimes_{i \in K}^c \mathcal{A}_i$ by

$$a_{(K)} = \left(\otimes_{i \in J} a_i \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{i \in K-J} I \right);$$

since $v_K(a_{(K)})$ belongs to $\text{Im } \pi$, it suffices to show that $v_K(a_{(K)})$ converges strongly to $\otimes b_i$, i.e. that

$$v_K(a_{(K)}) \cdot x \longrightarrow \otimes b_i \cdot x$$

for each x in H ; by equicontinuity and linearity we can suppose $x = \otimes x_i$, $x_i = t_i$ for $i \notin K$; then

$$\begin{aligned} v_K(a_{(K)}) \cdot x &= \left(\otimes_{i \in J} \pi_i(a_i) \cdot x_i \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{i \in K-J} x_i \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{i \in I-K} \pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i \right) \\ &= \left(\otimes_{i \in J} b_i \cdot x_i \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{i \in K-J} x_i \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{i \in I-K} t_i \right) \\ &= \otimes b_i \cdot x_i = \otimes b_i \cdot x . \end{aligned}$$

§ 8. Infinite tensor products of Hilbert algebras.

Let us consider for each i , a Hilbert algebra \mathcal{A}_i with Hilbert completion H_i , and a projection of norm one $e_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$; then the left multiplication operator U_{e_i} is a non zero projection. The algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{A} = \otimes^e \mathcal{A}_i$ is a $*$ -algebra and at the same time a prehilbert space whose Hilbert completion is $H = \overset{h}{\otimes}^e H_i$; we claim that \mathcal{A} is a Hilbert algebra: the axioms (i),(ii),(iv) of [1], p. 66 are trivially verified; as for (iii), take an element $a = \otimes a_i$ in \mathcal{A} ; by prop. 6 we can form the continuous operator $\overset{h}{\otimes}^e U_{a_i}$ in H and we have, for $(b_i) \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\left(\overset{h}{\otimes}^e U_{a_i} \right) \left(\otimes b_i \right) = \otimes a_i b_i = \otimes a_i \cdot \otimes b_i ;$$

this proves that $\overset{h}{\otimes}^e U_{a_i} = U_{\otimes a_i}$ and that the mapping

$b \longmapsto ab$ of \mathcal{A} into itself is continuous for each a of the form $\otimes a_i$; the same property holds by linearity for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ the von Neumann algebras canonically associated with \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 11. We have $\mathcal{U} = \overset{c}{\otimes}^e \mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{V} = \overset{c}{\otimes}^e \mathcal{V}_i$.

We have $\mathcal{U} \subset \overset{c}{\otimes}^e \mathcal{U}_i$ since \mathcal{U} is generated by the operators $U_{\otimes a_i} = \overset{h}{\otimes}^e U_{a_i}$ which belong to $\overset{c}{\otimes}^e \mathcal{U}_i$; in the

same manner $\mathcal{V} \subset \otimes^c e \mathcal{V}_i$; then we have

$$\mathcal{U} \subset \otimes^c e \mathcal{U}_i = \otimes^c e \mathcal{V}'_i \subset (\otimes^c e \mathcal{V}_i)' \subset \mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{U}.$$

Example 3. We take for \mathcal{A}_i the algebra of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators in some Hilbert space K_i whose dimension r_i is finite or infinite but > 1 ; define the scalar product in \mathcal{A}_i by

$$(a | b) = s_i^{-1} \text{Tr } ab^*$$

where s_i is some integer verifying $0 < s_i \leq r_i$; finally we take for e_i a projection of rank s_i .

Proposition 12. If I is infinite, the type of the factor \mathcal{U} is

- (i) I_∞ if $s_i = 1$
- (ii) II_1 if $s_i = r_i$ (which implies $r_i < \infty$)
- (iii) II_∞ if $1 < s_i < r_i$.

Proof. We first remark that r_i infinite implies \mathcal{U} infinite.

Now choose an orthonormal basis (ξ_α) of K_i such that $\text{Im } e_i$ is the subspace generated by $\xi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \xi_{\alpha_{s_i}}$; there exists an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A}_i \longrightarrow K_i \overset{h}{\otimes} K_i$ with the following properties: for each a in \mathcal{A}_i with matrix $(a_{\alpha\beta})$ we have

$$F(a) = s_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \xi_\alpha \otimes \xi_\beta;$$

$$F(e_i) = s_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{s_i} \xi_{\alpha_n} \otimes \xi_{\alpha_n};$$

$$F \cdot U_a \cdot F^{-1} = {}^t a \otimes I.$$

Suppose now $s_i = 1$; we can write

$$H_i = \mathcal{A}_i = H_{i,1} \overset{h}{\otimes} H_{i,2} \quad \text{with } H_{i,j} = K_i$$

$$e_i = e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,2}$$

then using the associativity of the tensor products :

$$\overset{h}{\otimes} e H_i = \left(\overset{h}{\otimes} (e_{i,1}) H_{i,1} \right) \otimes \left(\overset{h}{\otimes} (e_{i,2}) H_{i,2} \right)$$

$$\overset{h}{\otimes} e U_{a_i} = \left(\overset{h}{\otimes} (e_{i,1}) t_{a_i} \right) \otimes I$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \left(\overset{h}{\otimes} (e_{i,1}) H_{i,1} \right) \otimes I$$

which proves (i).

Now suppose $s_i > 1$; denote by c_i the projection onto ξ_{α_1} ; we have

$$(c_i | c_i) = s_i^{-1} < 1 ;$$

then for each $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$

$$\left\| \left(\overset{\otimes}{i \in J} c_i \right) \otimes \left(\overset{\otimes}{i \in I-J} e_i \right) \right\|^2 = \prod_{i \in J} s_i^{-1} ;$$

the left multiplication operator corresponding to the element

$\left(\overset{\otimes}{i \in J} c_i \right) \otimes \left(\overset{\otimes}{i \in I-J} e_i \right)$ is a trace class projection in \mathcal{U} ,

whose trace is arbitrarily small ; hence \mathcal{U} is continuous.

In case (ii), e_i is a unit element for \mathcal{A}_i , $\overset{e}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_i$ has a unit element, \mathcal{U} is finite and consequently of type II_1 .

Finally consider the situation (iii) ; if r_i is infinite, \mathcal{U} is infinite, continuous and also semi-finite, hence of type

II_∞ ; if r_i is finite, \mathcal{A}_i has a unit element 1_i with

$$(1_i | 1_i) = r_i / s_i ;$$

by a reasoning quite analogous to the above we can construct projections in \mathcal{U} whose trace is finite and arbitrarily large, so that \mathcal{U} is of type II_∞ .

§ 9. The type of certain infinite tensor products of von Neumann algebras.

We suppose I countable ; for each $i \in I$ we set $H_i = H_{i,1} \otimes^h H_{i,2}$ where $H_{i,1}$ and $H_{i,2}$ are Hilbert spaces having the same dimension r_i , $1 < r_i \leq \aleph_0$; every element t_i of H_i can be written

$$t_i = \sum_{n=0}^{r_i-1} \alpha_{i,n} \cdot t_{i,1,n} \otimes t_{i,2,n}$$

where $(t_{i,j,n})$ is an appropriate basis of $H_{i,j}$ and $\alpha_{i,n}$ a positive number with

$$\alpha_{i,0} \geq \alpha_{i,1} \geq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,n}^2 = 1 .$$

The aim of this paragraph is to determine the type of the factor $\otimes^c t \alpha_i$ where $\alpha_i = \mathcal{L}(H_{i,1}) \otimes I$.

n.9.1. First method.

We shall use prop. 12 ; we first suppose that for each i , the strictly positive $\alpha_{i,n}$ are equal, let

$$\alpha_{i,0} = \dots = \alpha_{i,s_i-1} = \frac{1}{s_i}$$

where s_i is some integer $\leq r_i$, and

$$\alpha_{i,n} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq s_i .$$

Denote by \mathcal{A}_i the Hilbert algebra of the Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $H_{i,1}$, endowed with the scalar product

$$(a | b) = \frac{1}{s_i} \cdot \text{Tr} \, ab^* ;$$

and by e_i the projection corresponding to the subspace of $H_{i,1}$ generated by $t_{i,1,0}, \dots, t_{i,1,s_i-1}$; the isomorphism $A_i \rightarrow H_{i,1} \otimes^h H_{i,2}$ described in § 8 gives rise to an isomorphism of the Hilbert completion of $\otimes^e A_i$ onto $\otimes^h t H_i$ which carries $\mathcal{U}(\otimes^e A_i)$ into $\otimes^c t \mathcal{A}_i$; thus the type of $\otimes^c t \mathcal{A}_i$ is given by prop.12.

Suppose now the $\alpha_{i,n}$ arbitrary; we can replace the family (t_i) by an equivalent family without changing the type of $\otimes^c t \mathcal{A}_i$ (see § 7 after defin. 7); if we set

$$t'_i = t_{i,1,0} \otimes t_{i,2,0}$$

we have $(t_i | t'_i) = \alpha_{i,0}$; thus if $\sum_i (1 - \alpha_{i,0})$ is finite, t is equivalent to t' and $\otimes^c t \mathcal{A}_i$ is of type I_∞ ; one can get in a similar way the other results contained in the

Theorem 5. The type of $\otimes^c t \mathcal{A}_i$ is

- (i) I_∞ if $\sum_i (1 - \alpha_{i,0}) < \infty$
- (ii) II_1 if $r_i < \infty$ and $\sum_i (1 - r_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{r_i-1} \alpha_{i,n}) < \infty$
- (iii) II_∞ if there exist integers s_i with $1 < s_i < r_i$
and $\sum_i (1 - s_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{s_i-1} \alpha_{i,n}) < \infty$.

n.9.2. Second method.

In this number we shall prove that $\otimes^c t \mathcal{A}_i$ can be ob-

tained by the method used by Murray and von Neumann to construct examples of factors (see [1], p. 132), which will allow us to establish the converses of (i) and (ii) in th. 5. It will be more convenient to write

$$t_i = \sum_{n \in N_i} \alpha_{i,n} \cdot t_{i,1,n} \otimes t_{i,2,n}$$

where N_i is equal to \mathbb{Z} if $r_i = \aleph_0$ and to $\mathbb{Z}/r_i\mathbb{Z}$ if r_i is finite ; we can suppose

$$\alpha_{i,0} \geq \alpha_{i,1} \geq \alpha_{i,-1} \geq \alpha_{i,2} \geq \alpha_{i,-2} > \dots \quad \text{if } r_i = \aleph_0$$

$$\alpha_{i,0} \geq \alpha_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{i,r_i-1} \quad \text{if } r_i < \aleph_0 .$$

a) Particular case.

We suppose $\alpha_{i,n} > 0$ for each i and n . Let us denote by μ_i the measure on N_i having the mass $\alpha_{i,n}^2$ at each point n , by $\delta_{i,n}$ the Dirac function at n ; set

$$K_{i,1} = L^2(N_i, \mu_i)$$

$$K_{i,2} = \ell^2(N_i) ;$$

the elements $\alpha_{i,n}^{-1} \cdot \delta_{i,n} \otimes \delta_{i,n-p}$ constitute an orthonormal basis of $K_{i,1} \otimes K_{i,2}$; define an isomorphism

$$M_i : H_{i,1} \otimes H_{i,2} \xrightarrow{h} K_{i,1} \otimes K_{i,2}$$

by

$$M_i(t_{i,1,n} \otimes t_{i,2,p}) = \alpha_{i,n}^{-1} \cdot \delta_{i,n} \otimes \delta_{i,n-p} ;$$

then

$$M_i(t_i) = \sum_{n \in N_i} \delta_{i,n} \otimes \delta_{i,0} = 1 \otimes \delta_{i,0} .$$

The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(H_{i,1})$ is generated by, on the one hand, the diagonal operators with respect to the basis $(t_{i,1,n})$, and on the other hand the shift operator

$$V_i : t_{i,1,n} \longmapsto t_{i,1,n+1} ;$$

if T_f is the diagonal operator of multiplication by some function f , M_i carries $T_f \otimes I$ into $T_f \otimes I$; on the other hand M_i carries $V_i \otimes I$ into W_i defined by

$$W_i(\delta_{i,n} \otimes \delta_{i,p}) = \alpha_{i,n} / \alpha_{i,n+1} \cdot \delta_{i,n+1} \otimes \delta_{i,p+1} .$$

The restricted product $\prod' N_i$ acts in the space $\prod N_i$ by componentwise addition; consequently it acts in $L^2(\prod N_i, \otimes \mu_i)$ by unitary operators U_m for $m \in \prod' N_i$; similarly $\prod' N_i$ acts in $\ell^2(\prod' N_i)$ by unitary operators U'_m . Now we have an isomorphism

$$\otimes M_i : \otimes t H_i = \otimes t (H_{i,1} \otimes H_{i,2}) \longrightarrow \otimes (1 \otimes \delta_{i,0}) (K_{i1} \otimes K_{i2}) ;$$

then by the associativity property, an isomorphism

$$\otimes (1 \otimes \delta_{i,0}) (K_{i,1} \otimes K_{i,2}) \longrightarrow (\otimes 1 K_{i,1}) \otimes (\otimes (\delta_{i,0}) K_{i,2}) ;$$

finally by corollaries 6 and 7 an isomorphism

$$(\otimes 1 K_{i,1}) \otimes (\otimes (\delta_{i,0}) K_{i,2}) \longrightarrow L^2(\prod N_i, \otimes \mu_i) \otimes \ell^2(\prod' N_i) ;$$

by composing these three isomorphisms we get a new isomorphism

$$M : \otimes t H_i \longrightarrow L^2(\prod N_i, \otimes \mu_i) \otimes \ell^2(\prod' N_i) ;$$

M carries each operator of the form $\otimes (T_f \otimes I)$ into $T_{\otimes f_i} \otimes I$;

each operator $\otimes (V_i^{m_i} \otimes I)$, where $m = (m_i) \in \prod' N_i$, into the operator $U_m \otimes U_m'$; hence M carries $\otimes^c t a_i$ into the von Neumann algebra generated by the operators $T_f \otimes I$ with $f \in L^\infty(\prod N_i, \otimes \mu_i)$, and $U_m \otimes U_m'$ with $m \in \prod' N_i$.

Suppose $\otimes^c t a_i$ is of type I; by [43], $\otimes \mu_i$ is atomic; since the point in $\prod N_i$ which has the largest measure is the point with all components null, we must have $\prod \alpha_{i,0} > 0$, or equivalently $\sum (1 - \alpha_{i,0}) < \infty$.

Suppose now $\otimes^c t a_i$ is of type II₁; by [43], $\otimes \mu_i$ is equivalent to some finite Borel measure, invariant under $\prod' N_i$; on the other hand all r_i are finite, $\prod N_i$ is a compact group for the product topology, and $\prod' N_i$ is a dense subgroup acting by translations; each finite Borel measure on $\prod N_i$ is a Radon measure, and being invariant under $\prod' N_i$, it will be invariant by all translations, i.e. equivalent to the Haar measure; the Haar measure is the product of the measures ν_i which have a mass r_i^{-1} at each point; by [41] $\otimes \mu_i \sim \otimes \nu_i$ implies $\sum_i (1 - r_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,n}) < \infty$.

b) General case.

The previous results still hold since we can make all $\alpha_{i,n}$ strictly positive by modifying them sufficiently little to not change the equivalence class of (t_i) nor the nature

of the families $(1 - \alpha_{i,0})$ and $(1 - r_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum \alpha_{i,n})$: thus we have proved the following

Theorem 6. With the notations of the beginning of § 9, the type of the factor $\otimes^c t a_i$ is

(i) I_∞ if and only if $\sum (1 - \alpha_{i,0}) < \infty$

(ii) II_1 if and only if $r_i < \infty$ and $\sum (1 - r_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{i,n}) < \infty$.

Remark 3. It is more difficult to distinguish the types II_∞ and III , C.C. Moore proves in [42] the following result, by means of a deeper analysis of the infinite products of measures : suppose $\alpha_{i,0} \geq k \quad \forall i$ for some $k > 0$, then $\otimes^c t a_i$ is of type III if and only if

$$\sum_{i,n} \alpha_{i,n}^2 (\inf(\alpha_{i,0}^2 / \alpha_{i,n}^2 - 1, c))^2 = \infty$$

for some (or equivalently for all) constants $c > 0$; he also states without proof on p. 458 a result equivalent to the third assertion of our th 5. E. Störmer has proved by another method (see [46]) some results contained in th. 5 and also the following (contained in Moore's theorem) : suppose r_i and $\alpha_{i,n}$ independant of i ; then $\otimes^c t a_i$ is of type III iff there exist at least two distinct and non null $\alpha_{i,n}$.

Remark 4 (On the isomorphisms between the various factors $\otimes^c t a_i$).

In [35] Araki and Woods study systematically the set E of the isomorphism classes of the factors $\otimes^c t a_i$ which can be obtained by varying the r_i and t_i ; E can be divided into five mutually disjoint subsets E_1, \dots, E_5 :

E_1 contains only one factor, which is of type I.

E_2 contains only one factor, which is of type II_1 and hyperfinite.

E_3 contains exactly the factors \mathcal{A}_λ , $\lambda \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$, constructed in the following way: $\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \otimes^c t a_i$ where $r_i = 2$, $\alpha_{i,0} = \lambda$, $\alpha_{i,1} = 1 - \lambda$; these factors are of type III; it had been proved earlier that they are mutually non isomorphic ([45]).

E_4 contains factors of various types and among others an uncountable family of type III factors.

E_5 contains exactly one factor, which is of type III.

§ 10. Infinite tensor products of C^* -algebras. Definition and first properties.

Let us consider a family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of C^* -algebras and for each i , a non zero projection e_i in A_i ; if we endow each finite tensor product $\bigotimes_{i \in J} A_i$ with the ν crossnorm (resp. the $*$ crossnorm), the morphisms $L_{J,K}$ are isometric, so that we can define the ν and $*$ norms on $\bigotimes^e A_i$; clearly these are respectively the largest and the smallest C^* -crossnorms; the C^* completions will be denoted by $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$ and $\overset{*}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$; they can also be considered as the inductive limits of the finite tensor products $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes}_{i \in J} A_i$ and $\overset{*}{\bigotimes}_{i \in J} A_i$; if each A_i has property (T), $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$ and $\overset{*}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$ are identical.

The tensor products $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$ and $\overset{*}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$ possess properties of associativity similar to that of § 2.

If e_i is a unit element for A_i , we write $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes} A_i$ and $\overset{*}{\bigotimes} A_i$ instead of $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$ and $\overset{*}{\bigotimes}^e A_i$; $\overset{\nu}{\bigotimes} A_i$ has the following universal property: given a C^* -algebra B with unit, there is a bijective correspondance between the unitary morphisms $u : \overset{\nu}{\bigotimes} A_i \longrightarrow B$ and the families of commuting unitary morphisms $u_i : A_i \longrightarrow B$; it is given by $u(\bigotimes a_i) = \prod u_i(a_i)$ for each (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i$.

Proposition 13. Consider a family of Banach $*$ -algebras A_i with projections e_i of norm 1; denote by e_i' the canonical image of e_i in $C^*(A_i)$ and suppose $e_i' \neq 0$. Then $C^*(\hat{\otimes}^e A_i)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\check{\otimes}^{e'} C^*(A_i)$.

In fact we have

$$\check{\otimes}^{e'} C^*(A_i) = \varinjlim_{i \in J} \check{\otimes}^{e'} C^*(A_i) = \varinjlim_{i \in J} C^*(\hat{\otimes}^e A_i)$$

and it is easy to see that the functor C^* commutes with the inductive limits.

Corollary 8. Let (X_i) be a family of locally compact groups with compact open subgroups Y_i ; then $C^*(\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\check{\otimes}^{e'} C^*(X_i)$ where e_i is the characteristic function of Y_i .

This is a consequence of th. 1 and prop. 13.

Example . Let (X_i) be a family of locally compact topological spaces with compact open subsets Y_i ; then $\mathcal{L}_0(\prod^{(Y_i)} X_i)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\check{\otimes}^{e'} \mathcal{L}_0(X_i)$ where e_i is the characteristic function of Y_i . The proof is quite similar to that of th. 1.

§ 11. Infinite tensor products of representations of C^* -algebras.

Proposition 14. Let us consider for each i , a C^* -algebra A_i , a non zero projection e_i in A_i , a Hilbert space H_i , a unit vector t_i in H_i and a representation π_i of A_i in H_i such that

$$\sum_i (1 - \|\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i\|) < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Then there exists a unique representation π of $\bigotimes^e A_i$ in $\bigotimes^t H_i$ such that $\pi(\bigotimes a_i) = \bigotimes \pi_i(a_i)$ for each family (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i$.

Proof. The unicity is clear. To prove the existence take an element in $\bigotimes^e A_i$ of the form

$$a = a_J \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right)$$

where $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ and $a_J \in \bigotimes_{i \in J} A_i$; write

$$\bigotimes^t H_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^h H_i \right);$$

(10) implies $\sum_i |1 - (\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i | t_i)| < \infty$ and by prop. 6 we can consider the following continuous linear operator in $\bigotimes^t H_i$:

$$\pi(a) = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \pi_i \right)(a_J) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^h \pi_i(e_i) \right);$$

one can easily check that π is a representation and moreover by prop. 6 we have

$$\|\pi(a)\| \leq \|a_J\|_* = \|a\|_*;$$

then π extends to a representation of $\otimes^e A_i$ which has the required properties.

Definition 10. The representation π defined in prop. 14 will be denoted by $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$. The von Neumann algebra it generates is identical with $\otimes^c \pi_i(A_i)''$ (the proof is the same as for prop. 10); thus $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ is factoria or irreducible if and only if each π_i has the same property.

The kernel of $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ depends only on the kernels of the π_i ; in particular $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ is faithful if and only if each π_i is faithful.

On the other hand by prop. 7 if $t \sim t'$, $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ and $\otimes^{e,t'} \pi_i$ are equivalent; the following proposition is a partial converse of this result.

Proposition 15. We suppose each π_i is irreducible; then $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ and $\otimes^{e,t'} \pi_i$ are equivalent if and only if we have $t \sim t'$.

Proof. We suppose $t \not\sim t'$ and prove that the two representations are not equivalent.

a) Particular case.

We suppose $A_i = \mathcal{L}(H_i)$, $\pi_i = \text{identity}$. Since $t \not\sim t'$, we have $\sum_i (1 - |(t_i t'_i)|) = \infty$; there exists a countable

subset $I_0 \subset I$ such that $\sum_{i \in I_0} (1 - |(t_i | t'_i)|) = \infty$; it is

sufficient to prove that the representations $\bigotimes_{i \in I_0}^{e, t} \pi_i$ and $\bigotimes_{i \in I_0}^{e, t'} \pi_i$ are not equivalent, so that we are led back to

the case where I is countable, say $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Denote by

P_i the projection operator in H_i onto t_i and set

$$T_n = P_1 \otimes P_2 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n \otimes e_{n+1} \otimes e_{n+2} \otimes \dots ;$$

we shall prove that $(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^{e, t'} \pi_i)(T_n)$ converges strongly to 0

while $(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^{e, t} \pi_i)(T_n)$ does not, which will establish our result.

To prove that

$$(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^{e, t'} \pi_i)(T_n).x \longrightarrow 0 \quad \forall x \in \bigotimes_{i \in I}^h t' H_i$$

we can take x in the algebraic tensor product $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^h t' H_i$ since

our operators have norms ≤ 1 ; then by linearity we can take

$x = \bigotimes_{i \in I} x_i$ where $x_i = t'_i$ if i is larger than some number j ;

then for $n \geq j$:

$$(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^{e, t'} \pi_i)(T_n).x = \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^j P_i x_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=j+1}^{\infty} P_i t'_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i>n} t'_i \right)$$

$$\| (\bigotimes_{i \in I}^{e, t'} \pi_i)(T_n).x \| \leq \| x \| \cdot \prod_{i=j+1}^{\infty} |(t_i | t'_i)| ;$$

since $\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} (1 - |(t_i | t'_i)|) = \infty$, $\prod_{i=j+1}^{\infty} |(t_i | t'_i)| = 0$,

$$\prod_{i=j+1}^{\infty} |(t_i | t'_i)| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and we are done.}$$

b) General case.

Set $f_i = \pi_i(e_i)$; $\bigotimes^f \mathcal{L}(H_i)$ is included and weakly dense

in the tensor product $\otimes^a f \mathcal{L}(H_i)$ defined in n.7.2 ; we have a morphism $\otimes \pi_i : \otimes^e A_i \longrightarrow \otimes^f \mathcal{L}(H_i)$ whose image is weakly dense since each π_i is irreducible ; by prop. 10 we have representations u and u' of $\otimes^a f \mathcal{L}(H_i)$ in $\otimes^h t H_i$ and $\otimes^h t' H_i$; by the first part of the proof the restrictions of u and u' to $\otimes^e A_i$ are not equivalent, but these restrictions are nothing but $\otimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ and $\otimes^{e,t'} \pi_i$.

Theorem 7. If I is infinite and if each A_i admits sufficiently many irreducible representations π with $\text{rank } \pi(e_i) \geq 2$, then $\otimes^* e A_i$ is antiliminal.

Proof. For each i there exist a set X_i and for each point x_i of X_i an irreducible representation π_{i,x_i} of A_i in some Hilbert space H_{i,x_i} such that $\text{rank } \pi_{i,x_i}(e_i) \geq 2$ and $\otimes \pi_{i,x_i}$ is faithful. We choose a point y_i in X_i and $x_i \in X_i$ vectors t_{i,x_i}, t'_{i,x_i} in $\text{Im } \pi_{i,x_i}(e_i)$ such that

$$(t_{i,x_i} | t'_{i,x_i}) = 0$$

$$\| t_{i,x_i} \| = \| t'_{i,x_i} \| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i = y_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise ;} \end{cases}$$

for each $x = (x_i)$ in $X = \prod^{(y_i)} X_i$ we set

$$\pi(x) = \otimes^* e (t_{i,x_i}) \pi_i, \quad \pi'(x) = \otimes^* e (t'_{i,x_i}) \pi_i$$

which makes sense because t_{i,x_i} and t'_{i,x_i} are unit vectors for almost all i ; $\pi(x)$ and $\pi'(x)$ are irreducible representations of $\bigoplus^* e A_i$, have the same kernel and are unequivalent by the preceding proposition; it suffices now to prove that $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi(x)$ and $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi'(x)$ are faithful. Consider the first: as indicated in n.6.5 we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X} H(x) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I}^h t \left(\bigoplus_{x_i \in X_i} H_{i,x_i} \right);$$

as easily verified this isomorphism carries $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi(x)$ into $\bigoplus_{i \in I}^* e, t \left(\bigoplus_{x_i \in X_i} \pi_{i,x_i} \right)$; since the second representation is faithful, so is the first.

Corollary 9. If I is infinite, $e_i =$ unit element, and A_i has no nonzero commutative two sided closed ideal, $\bigoplus^* A_i$ is antiliminal.

Corollary 10. We suppose that I is infinite countable, A_i is postliminar separable and admits sufficiently many irreducible representations π with $\text{rank } \pi(e_i) \geq 2$; then $\bigoplus^* e A_i$ admits an irreducible representation which is not equivalent to a tensor product of representations.

Proof. Take a partition $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ with I_1 and I_2 infinite and write $\bigoplus^* e A_i = B_1 \bigoplus^* B_2$ where $B_j = \bigoplus_{i \in I_j}^* e A_i$;

by Part I, prop. 7, B_1 and B_2 have property (T) and we can also write $\otimes^e A_i = B_1 \otimes^v B_2$; B_1 and B_2 being separable and antiliminal, by Part I, th. 6 the algebra $B_1 \otimes^v B_2$ admits an irreducible representation which is not a tensor product of representations of B_1 and B_2 , and consequently it is not a tensor product of representations of the A_i .

Bibliography [9].

§ 12. Infinite tensor products of positive functionals on C^* -algebras.

We consider C^* -algebras A_i with non zero projections e_i .

Proposition 16. Let f_i be a positive functional on A_i such that $f_i(e_i) = 1$ and $\prod \|f_i\| < \infty$ (note that $\|f_i\| \geq 1$).

Then there exists a unique positive functional f on $\bigotimes^e A_i$ verifying

$$f(\bigotimes a_i) = \prod f_i(a_i) \quad \forall (a_i) \in \prod^e A_i ;$$

its norm is equal to $\prod \|f_i\|$; finally the representation associated with f is equivalent to $\bigotimes^{e,t} \pi_i$ where π_i is the representation associated with f_i and t_i the corresponding cyclic vector multiplied by $\|f_i\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. The unicity being trivial we shall prove the existence of f ; we have a multilinear functional on $\prod^e A_i : (a_i) \longmapsto \prod f_i(a_i)$, whence a linear functional f on $\bigotimes^e A_i$ such that $f(\bigotimes a_i) = \prod f_i(a_i)$; f is positive since its restriction to any finite tensor product is positive; moreover if a is an element of $\bigotimes_{i \in J} A_i$ we have

$$|f(a)| \leq \prod_{i \in J} \|f_i\| \cdot \|a\|_* \leq \prod_{i \in I} \|f_i\| \cdot \|a\|_* ; \quad (11)$$

hence f extends to a positive functional on $\bigotimes^e A_i$.

We now denote by H_i the space of π_i , by x_i the corresponding

cyclic vector and set $t_i = x_i \cdot \|f_i\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$; we have

$$f_i(a_i) = (\pi_i(a_i) \cdot x_i | x_i) \quad \forall a_i \in A_i$$

$$\|t_i\|^2 = \|x_i\|^2 \cdot \|f_i\|^{-1} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i\|^2 &= (\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i | t_i) \\ &= \|f_i\|^{-1} \cdot (\pi_i(e_i) \cdot x_i | x_i) = \|f_i\|^{-1}; \end{aligned}$$

since $\prod \|f_i\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0$ we have

$$\sum (1 - \|f_i\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) < \infty$$

$$\sum (1 - \|\pi_i(e_i) \cdot t_i\|) < \infty;$$

by prop. 14 we can form the representation $\pi = \otimes^{*e, t} \pi_i$;

since

$$\|x_i\| = (x_i | t_i) = \|f_i\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we have

$$\sum (\|x_i\| - 1) < \infty$$

$$\sum ((x_i | t_i) - 1) < \infty$$

and we can consider the vector $x = \otimes x_i$; it is cyclic for

π and we have $f(a) = (\pi(a) \cdot x | x)$ for each a of the

form $\otimes a_i$, hence for each a in $\otimes^{*e} A_i$.

We finally prove that $\|f\| = \prod \|f_i\|$; by (11) we have $\|f\| \leq$

$\prod \|f_i\|$; to prove the converse inequality take an $\varepsilon > 0$,

a $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that

$$\prod_{i \in J} \|f_i\| \geq \prod \|f_i\| \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1},$$

and for each $i \in J$ an element a_i in A_i such that

$$\|a_i\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |f_i(a_i)| \geq \|f_i\| \cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{-1/n}$$

where $n = \text{card } J$; set

$$a = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} a_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right) ;$$

then $\|a\| = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| \geq |f(a)| &= \prod_{i \in J} |f_i(a_i)| \\ &\geq (1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i \in J} \|f_i\| \\ &\geq (1+\varepsilon)^{-2} \cdot \prod \|f_i\| . \end{aligned}$$

Definition 11. The positive functional f defined above will be denoted by $\bigotimes^* e f_i$; it is factorial or pure if and only if each f_i has the same property; it is a state if and only if each f_i is a state. In particular if e_i is the identity of A_i one can form the tensor product of an arbitrary family of states.

Proposition 17. Let us consider a C^* -algebra A_0 , a nonzero projection e_0 in A_0 and two distinct pure states f_0 and g_0 on A_0 with $f_0(e_0) = g_0(e_0) = 1$; let us set $A = \bigotimes^* e A_i$ where $A_i = A_0$, $e_i = e_0$, $f = \bigotimes^* e f_i$ where $f_i = f_0$, $g = \bigotimes^* e g_i$ where $g_i = g_0$. Then the representations associated with f and g are unequivalent.

Proof. Suppose they are equivalent ; denote by H_i, π_i, x_i and K_i, ρ_i, y_i the objects associated with f_i and g_i respectively ; by hypothesis there exists an isomorphism

$$F : \bigotimes^h x H_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes^h y K_i$$

with

$$F \cdot \bigotimes \pi_i(a_i) \cdot F^{-1} = \bigotimes \rho_i(a_i) \quad \forall (a_i) \in \prod^e A_i ;$$

if $a_i = e_i$ except for one index j , $\bigotimes \pi_i(a_i)$ and $\bigotimes \rho_i(a_i)$ are multiples of $\pi_j(a_j)$ and $\rho_j(a_j)$, so that π_j and ρ_j have a common multiple ; since they are irreducible they must be equivalent and we can realize them in some common Hilbert space H_0 with two vectors x_0 and y_0 which are nonproportional since $f_0 \neq g_0$; by prop. 15, $\bigotimes^{e,x} \pi_i$ and $\bigotimes^{e,y} \rho_i$ are unequivalent, which is a contradiction.

Remark 5. E.Störmer proves in [46] that the above result still holds when f_0 and g_0 are not pure, and that one can replace in the conclusion the word " unequivalent " by " non quasi-equivalent ". In the same paper he also studies the states of $\bigotimes^* A_i$ which are " symmetric ", i.e. invariant by all the automorphisms of $\bigotimes^* A_i$ determined by permutations of I ; he proves in particular that the extremal symmetric states are exactly the states $\bigotimes^* f_i$ where $f_i = f_0$, f_0 a state of A_0 ; and he determines the type of such a state $\bigotimes^* f_i$ when f_0 is factorial.

In [40] A. Hulanicki and R.R. Phelps prove the following result :
 consider some group G_0 of automorphisms of A_0 ; then G_0^I acts
 by automorphisms in $\otimes^* A_i$; let G be the group of automorphisms
 of $\otimes^* A_i$ generated by G_0^I and the permutation automorphisms ;
 then the extremal G -invariant states are exactly the states
 $\otimes^* f_i$ where $f_i = f_0$, f_0 a G_0 -invariant state of A_0 .

§ 13. Study of the case where e_i has rank ≤ 1 .

n.13.1. Definitions and examples.

In the preceding paragraphs we have seen several properties of $\otimes^e A_i$ in the case where e_i is "large" in a certain sense (for instance prop. 17, th. 7, cor. 9 and 10); in this paragraph we shall be concerned with the thoroughly different case where e_i is "small".

Definition 12. Given a C^* -algebra A , a projection e in A is said to have rank ≤ 1 if for every irreducible representation π of A the projection $\pi(e)$ has rank ≤ 1 .

By [2], 4.2.6 each projection of rank ≤ 1 is contained in the largest liminar ideal of A ; consequently it must be 0 if A is antiliminar. On the other hand if a projection e lies in some closed two sided ideal I of A , it has rank ≤ 1 in I iff it has rank ≤ 1 in A (in fact for each irreducible representation π of A , $\pi|_I$ is either null or irreducible); finally if f is a projection of rank ≤ 1 in A , its canonical image in A/I has also rank ≤ 1 .

Example 5.

- (i) If A is commutative every projection has rank ≤ 1 .
- (ii) If A is elementary (i.e. of the form $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}(H)$ with H a Hilbert space), every projection which has rank 1 in

the usual sense has rank ≤ 1 in our sense. If we set $A_i = \mathcal{L}^e(H_i)$ and take e_i of rank 1, $\bigotimes^e A_i$ is nothing but $\mathcal{L}^e(\bigotimes^h_t H_i)$ where $t_i \in \text{Im } e_i$; in fact if $(a_i) \in \prod^e A_i$, $\bigotimes a_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^e(\bigotimes^h_t H_i)$ since it is of the form $(\bigotimes_{i \in J} a_i) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i)$ where both factors are compact; it follows that $\bigotimes^e A_i$ is included in $\mathcal{L}^e(\bigotimes^h_t H_i)$, but being irreducible it must be equal to it.

(iii) Let A be the C^* -algebra defined by a continuous field of C^* -algebras $(A(t), \otimes)$ ($\text{see } [2], 10.4.1$); $e = (e(t))$ an element of A such that each $e(t)$ is a projection of rank ≤ 1 in $A(t)$. Then e is a projection of rank ≤ 1 ; in fact one obtains all irreducible representations π of A in the following manner: taking an index t and an irreducible representation ρ of $A(t)$, and setting

$$\pi(a) = \rho(a(t)) \quad \text{for each } a \in A.$$

In particular if the $A(t)$ are elementary one can take $e = (e(t))$ where $\text{rank } e(t) = 0$ or 1 .

(iv) Let G be a locally compact group containing a compact open subgroup K with the following property: for each irreducible representation π of G in a space H , the space of all vectors in H invariant by $\pi(K)$ has dimen-

sion ≤ 1 . Then the characteristic function e of K has rank ≤ 1 in $C^*(G)$; in fact it is known that $\pi(e) = \int_K \pi(k).dk$ is the projection onto the space of all vectors invariant under $\pi(K)$. Here dk is the normalized Haar measure of K .

(v) Let p and q be two projections in a Hilbert space H ; then the sub- C^* -algebra A of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ generated by p and q is postliminar and p and q have rank ≤ 1 in A (G.K. Pedersen, Oral communication).

n.13.2. Irreducible representations of $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^* A_i$

In this number we consider a tensor product $A = \bigotimes_{i \in I}^* A_i$ where each e_i has rank ≤ 1 in A_i ; we denote by Y_i the set of all π in \hat{A}_i such that $\pi(e_i) \neq 0$; it is open in A_i .

We define a mapping

$$F : \prod^{(Y_i)} \hat{A}_i \longrightarrow \hat{A}$$

in the following manner: take an element $\Pi = (\pi_i)$ in $\prod^{(Y_i)} \hat{A}_i$ and a finite subset $J \subset I$ such that $i \notin J$ implies $\pi_i \in Y_i$; then for $i \notin J$, $\pi_i(e_i)$ has rank 1, we can take a unit vector t_i in $\text{Im } \pi_i(e_i)$ and form the representation $\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^{e, t} \pi_i$, which is independent of the choice of t_i in

$\text{Im } \pi_i(e_i)$; now by writing $A = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i \right)^* \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* A_i \right)$

we can form the representation $(\bigotimes_{i \in J} \pi_i) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e, \tau \pi_i)$ of A ; this is an element of \hat{A} which is independent of the choice of J (easy verification) and which we shall denote by $F(\Pi)$.

Lemma 3. Denote by A a C^* -algebra, by e a nonzero projection in A , by S the set of all pure states f on A verifying $f(e) = 1$, by Y the set of all π in \hat{A} verifying $\pi(e) \neq 0$, by M the canonical mapping $f \mapsto \pi_f$ of $P(A)$ onto \hat{A} . Then $M|S$ maps S onto Y and is open.

Proof. We have $M(S) \subset Y$ since

$$1 = f(e) = (\pi_f(e).x_f | x_f) \implies \pi_f(e) \neq 0 ;$$

we have $M(S) = Y$: in fact if π belongs to Y we can take a unit vector x in $\text{Im } \pi(e)$ and setting $f = \omega_x \circ \pi$ we have $f(e) = 1$ and $\pi = \pi_f$. To prove that $M|S$ is open, denote by T the set of all f in $P(A)$ verifying $f(e) \neq 0$; to each f in T we associate the state $L(f)$ defined by

$$L(f)(a) = f(eae) / f(e) ;$$

then L is a continuous mapping of T into S ; if f is in S we have $L(f) = f$ since writing $f = \omega_x \circ \pi$ we have

$$f(e) = 1 = (\pi(e).x|x)$$

$$\pi(e).x = x$$

$$L(f)(a) = (\pi(eae).x|x) = (\pi(a).x|x) = f(a) ;$$

this proves that L maps T onto S . Let U be an open set in S ; $L^{-1}(U)$ is open in T , hence in $P(A)$ since T is open; $M(L^{-1}(U))$ is equal to $M(U)$ since we have $M(f) = M(L(f))$ for each f in T ; since M is open, $M(U)$ is open and $M|S$ is open.

Proposition 18. The mapping $F : \prod^{(Y_i)} \hat{A}_i \longrightarrow \hat{A}$ is injective and bicontinuous.

Proof of the injectivity. Suppose $F(\Pi) = F(\Pi')$ and take j in I ; there is $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that $j \in J$ and

$$i \notin J \implies \pi_i \text{ and } \pi'_i \in Y_i ;$$

we can write

$$F(\Pi) = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* e, t \pi_i \right)$$

and similarly for $F(\Pi')$; since the assertion is true for the finite tensor products we have $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i = \bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi'_i$ and then $\pi_j = \pi'_j$.

Proof of the continuity. It is sufficient to prove that for each J , $F|X_{(J)}$ is continuous; but $F|X_{(J)}$ is the composition of the following mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_i)_{i \in I} &\xrightarrow{a} ((\pi_i)_{i \in J}, (\pi_i)_{i \in I-J}) \xrightarrow{b} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* e, t \pi_i \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* e, t \pi_i \right) ; \end{aligned}$$

a is trivially continuous, c is continuous by Part I, prop. 5 ;

as for b, $(\pi_i)_{i \in J} \longmapsto \bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i$ is continuous by the same re-

sult, and it remains to be shown that $(\pi_i)_{i \in I-J} \longmapsto$

$\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^{*e,t} \pi_i$ is continuous ; in other words we are led back

to prove the continuity of the mapping F in the case where

$\pi_i(e_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall i$. Denote by S_i the set of all pure states

f of A_i verifying $f(e_i) = 1$; by lemma 3 the mapping $M_i :$

$S_i \longrightarrow Y_i$ is open ; then the mapping $M = (M_i) : \prod S_i \longrightarrow$

$\prod Y_i$ is open ; consider the following diagramm

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod S_i & \xrightarrow{G} & P(A) \\ M \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod Y_i & \xrightarrow{F} & \widehat{A} \end{array}$$

since it is commutative we have only to show that the mapping

$G : (f_i) \longmapsto \bigotimes^e f_i$ is continuous, i.e. that for each a

in A the mapping $(f_i) \longmapsto (\bigotimes^e f_i)(a)$ is continuous ;

since all our positive functionals have norm 1, by equicon-

tinuity we can suppose $a \in \bigotimes^e A_i$, then by linearity $a =$

$\bigotimes a_i$, $(a_i) \in \prod^e A_i$; then the assertion is trivial.

Proof of the bicontinuity. Take $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(I)$; an element Π of

$\prod^{(Y_i)} A_i$ belongs to $X_{(J)}$ iff $F(\Pi)$ is not identically

zero on $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i$; thus we see that $F(X_{(J)})$ is open in $\text{Im } F$;

it suffices to prove that F^{-1} is continuous on $F(X_{(J)})$, or that each mapping $F(\Pi) \longmapsto \pi_j$ is continuous on $F(X_{(J)})$; we can suppose $j \in J$ and write

$$F(\Pi) = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* e_i \right);$$

by Part I, th. 5, $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i$ is a continuous function of $F(\Pi)$ and π_j a continuous function of $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i$.

Theorem 8. If each A_i is postliminar and e_i has rank ≤ 1 , the mapping F is a homeomorphism of $\Pi^{(Y_i)} \hat{A}_i$ onto $\widehat{\bigotimes^e A_i}$; moreover $\bigotimes^e A_i$ is postliminar.

Proof. We shall prove that each factor representation π of A is of type I and also that if π is irreducible, it is equivalent to a tensor product of representations. There exists a $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that $\pi \upharpoonright \bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i \neq 0$, i.e.

$$\pi(a_{(J)} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* e_i \right)) \neq 0$$

for some $a_{(J)}$ in $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i$; since this algebra is postliminar we can write by Part I, prop. 2, $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes^* \pi_2$ where π_1 is some factor representation of $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i$ and π_2 some factor representation of $\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* e_i$; π_1 is of type I and if moreover π is irreducible, π_1 is irreducible too and is a tensor product of irreducible representations of the A_i , $i \in J$; on the other hand

$$\pi(a_{(J)} \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i)) = \pi_1(a_{(J)}) \otimes \pi_2(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i)$$

implies $\pi_2(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i) \neq 0$; we are thus led to prove the following assertion:

If $\pi(\bigotimes e_i) \neq 0$, π is of type I; if π is irreducible it is equivalent to a tensor product of representations.

We denote by H the space of π and choose a unit vector u in $\text{Im } \pi(\bigotimes e_i) \subset H$; for each $j \in I$ we can write (since A_j is postliminar):

$$H = K_j \overset{2}{\otimes} K'_j$$

$$\pi = \rho_j \overset{*}{\otimes} \rho'_j$$

$$\pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \rho_j(e_j) \otimes \rho'_j(\bigotimes_{i \neq j} e_i)$$

where ρ_j is a factor representation of A_j in K_j ; ρ_j is a multiple of some irreducible representation π_j in a space H_j and we can write

$$H = H_j \overset{l}{\otimes} L_j \overset{q}{\otimes} K'_j$$

$$\pi = \pi_j \overset{r}{\otimes} I \overset{r}{\otimes} \rho'_j$$

$$\pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \pi_j(e_j) \otimes I \otimes \rho'_j(\bigotimes_{i \neq j} e_i) ;$$

setting $H'_j = L_j \overset{l}{\otimes} K'_j$ and $\pi'_j = I \otimes \rho'_j$ we obtain

$$H = H_j \overset{l}{\otimes} H'_j$$

$$\pi = \pi_j \overset{*}{\otimes} \pi'_j$$

$$\pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \pi_j(e_j) \otimes \pi'_j(\bigotimes_{i \neq j} e_i) ;$$

since $\pi_j(e_j)$ has rank 1, u has the form $t_j \otimes t'_j$ where t_j is some unit vector in $\text{Im } \pi_j(e_j)$.

Consider now a finite subset J of I ; by the same procedure as before we can write

$$H = H_{(J)} \overset{h}{\otimes} H'_{(J)}$$

$$\pi = \pi_{(J)} \overset{*}{\otimes} \pi'_{(J)}$$

$$\pi(\otimes e_i) = \pi_{(J)}(\overset{\otimes}{i \in J} e_i) \otimes \pi'_{(J)}(\overset{\otimes}{i \in I-J} e_i)$$

where $\pi_{(J)}$ is an irreducible representation of $\overset{*}{\otimes}_{i \in J} A_i$ in $H_{(J)}$; $\pi'_{(J)}$ is a tensor product of irreducible representations σ_j of the A_j , $j \in J$; for each $j \in J$ the restriction σ'_j of $\pi'_{(J)}$ to A_j is a multiple of σ_j ; write

$$A = A_j \overset{*}{\otimes} (\overset{*}{\otimes}_{i \in J-j} A_i) \overset{*}{\otimes} (\overset{*}{\otimes}_{i \in I-J} A_i)$$

and choose approximate identities (u_s) and (v_t) of the second and the third factors in the righthand side; for each a_j in A_j we have

$$\pi(a_j \otimes u_s \otimes v_t) = \pi_j(a_j) \otimes \pi'_j(u_s \otimes v_t)$$

which converges strongly to $\pi_j(a_j) \otimes I$ in $H_j \overset{h}{\otimes} H'_j$; we have also

$$\pi(a_j \otimes u_s \otimes v_t) = \pi_{(J)}(a_j \otimes u_s) \otimes \pi'_{(J)}(v_t)$$

which converges strongly to $\sigma'_j(a_j) \otimes I$ in $H_{(J)} \overset{h}{\otimes} H'_{(J)}$;

this proves that π_j and σ'_j have a common multiple ; consequently π_j is equivalent to σ_j ; we thus can write

$$H = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in J}^h H_i \right) \otimes H'_{(J)} \quad (12)$$

$$\pi = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in J}^* \pi_i \right) \otimes \pi'_{(J)} \quad (13)$$

$$\pi \left(\bigotimes e_i \right) = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in J} \pi_i(e_i) \right) \otimes \pi'_{(J)} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right) ;$$

$$u = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in J} t_i \right) \otimes t'_{(J)}$$

where $t'_{(J)}$ is some unit vector in $\text{Im } \pi'_{(J)} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right)$.

If $K \supset J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K} t_i \right) \otimes t'_{(K)} \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{i \in J} t_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K-J} t_i \right) \otimes t'_{(K)} \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$t'_{(J)} = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K-J} t_i \right) \otimes t'_{(K)} \quad (14)$$

Define an isometric linear mapping $U_J : \bigoplus_{i \in J}^h H_i \longrightarrow H$ by writing (12) and

$$U_J(x) = x \otimes t'_{(J)} ;$$

the various U_J form an inductive system : in fact denoting

by $L_{J,K}$ the canonical mapping $\bigoplus_{i \in J}^h H_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in K}^h H_i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_K(L_{J,K}(x)) &= x \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K-J} t_i \right) \otimes t'_{(K)} \\ &= x \otimes t'_{(J)} \quad (\text{by (14)}) \\ &= U_J(x) ; \end{aligned}$$

this inductive system gives rise to an isometric linear mapping $U : \bigotimes^h_t H_i \longrightarrow H$. We now prove that U intertwines the representations $\bigotimes^{*e,t} \pi_i$ and π , i.e. that

$$U((\bigotimes^{*e,t} \pi_i)(a).x) = \pi(a).U.x$$

for each a in A and x in $\bigotimes^h_t H_i$; we can take $a = \bigotimes a_i$ with $(a_i) \in \prod^e A_i$ and $x = \bigotimes x_i$ with $(x_i) \in \prod^t H_i$; we have $a_i = e_i$ and $x_i = t_i$ if i belongs to the complement of some finite J , then

$$\begin{aligned} U((\bigotimes^{*e,t} \pi_i)(a).x) &= U(\bigotimes \pi_i(a_i).x_i) \\ &= (\bigotimes_{i \in J} \pi_i(a_i).x_i) \otimes t'_J \\ &= ((\bigotimes_{i \in J} \pi_i)(\bigotimes_{i \in J} a_i). \bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i) \otimes \pi'_J(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i).t'_J) \\ &= \pi(a).((\bigotimes_{i \in J} x_i) \otimes t'_J) \quad (\text{by (13)}) \\ &= \pi(a).U.x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus U intertwines $\bigotimes^{*e,t} \pi_i$ and π ; since the first representation is irreducible and the second is factorial, it is of type I; if moreover π is irreducible, it is equivalent to $\bigotimes^{*e,t} \pi_i$.

Corollary 11. Let for each i , G_i be a postliminar locally compact group containing a compact open subgroup K_i with the property indicated in example 5 (iv). Then the locally compact group $\prod^{(K_i)} G_i$ is postliminar and its spectrum

is homeomorphic to $\prod^{(Y_i)} \widehat{G}_i$ where Y_i is the set of all π in \widehat{G}_i such that the space of all vector invariant by $\pi(K_i)$ has dimension 1.

Interesting applications of this result to adèle groups can be found in [37], ch.III, § 3, n.3.

Corollary 12. If G_i is a commutative locally compact group and K_i a compact open subgroup, the dual group of $\prod^{(K_i)} G_i$ is isomorphic and homeomorphic to $\prod^{(L_i)} \widehat{G}_i$ where L_i is the subgroup orthogonal to K_i .

In fact a character x of G_i verifies $\int_{K_i} x(k).dk = 0$ if and only if it is trivial on K_i .

Another corollary has been stated in example 4.

n.13.3. The Plancherel measure class of $\prod^{(K_i)} G_i$.

In this number we suppose I countable and consider for each i a separable postliminar locally compact group G_i with compact open subgroup K_i such that for each π in \widehat{G}_i the space of all vectors invariant under $\pi(K_i)$ has dimension ≤ 1 ; we denote by Y_i the set of all π such that the above space has dimension 1; we set $G = \prod^{(K_i)} G_i$, $X = \prod^{(Y_i)} \widehat{G}_i$.

We recall that given a separable postliminar group G , the Plancherel measure class of G is the measure class on \widehat{G} corresponding to the central disintegration of the left regular representation of G .

Proposition 19. One can choose for each i , a measure λ_i in the Plancherel measure class of G_i in such a way that $\lambda_i(Y_i) = 1$ and that the homeomorphism $F : X \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ of corollary 11 carries the restricted product of the λ_i (see definition in § 1) into a measure belonging to the Plancherel measure class of G .

We shall need the following lemma :

Lemma 4. Let G be a separable locally compact group, K a compact open subgroup, e the characteristic function of K considered as an element of $L^1(G)$, t the same function considered as an element of $L^2(G) = H$, π the left regular

representation of G in H ; let us write the central disintegration of π .

$$H = \int_X^{\oplus} H_x \cdot d\mu(x)$$

$$\pi = \int_X^{\oplus} \pi_x \cdot d\mu(x)$$

where μ is some Borel measure on some standard Borel space X ; t admits a decomposition $\int_X^{\oplus} t_x \cdot d\mu(x)$; then the sets

$$X_1 = \{ x \mid \pi_x(e) \neq 0 \}$$

$$X_2 = \{ x \mid t_x \neq 0 \}$$

are identical up to negligible sets.

Proof of the lemma. Since $\pi(e) \cdot t = t$ we have $\pi_x(e) \cdot t_x = t_x$ almost everywhere and X_2 is almost contained in X_1 .

To prove the converse inclusion denote by \mathfrak{D} the algebra of all diagonalizable operators, by \mathfrak{R} the algebra of all decomposable operators, by \mathfrak{A} the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(G)$, by ρ the right regular representation of G in H :

$$(\rho(g) \cdot f)(g') = \Delta(g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot f(g'g) ;$$

set $L = \text{Im } \pi(e)$; we can write

$$L = \int_X^{\oplus} L_x \cdot d\mu(x) \quad \text{with } L_x = \text{Im } \pi_x(e) ;$$

L is the set of all f in H which are constant on the right cosets Kg ; since G is separable and K open these cosets form a countable set, say Kg_0, Kg_1, \dots with $g_0 = \text{neutral}$

element ; L has an orthonormal basis w_0, w_1, \dots where w_n is the characteristic function of Kg_n , $w_0 = t$; w_n admits a decomposition $\int^{\oplus} w_n(x) \cdot d\mu(x)$; we have

$$\Delta(g_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \rho(g_n)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{B}'$$

hence $\Delta(g_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \rho(g_n)^{-1}$ admits a decomposition $\int^{\oplus} T_n(x) \cdot d\mu(x)$;

on the other hand we have

$$\Delta(g_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \rho(g_n)^{-1} \cdot w_0 = w_n$$

hence for almost every x we have

$$T_n(x) \cdot t_x = w_n(x) \quad \forall n ;$$

since for almost every x the $w_n(x)$ generate L_x , we see that for almost every x

$$t_x = 0 \implies L_x = 0 \implies \pi_x(e) = 0 .$$

Proof of the proposition.

Denote by π_i and π the left regular representations of G_i in $H_i = L^2(G_i)$ and of G in $H = L^2(G)$, by t_i the characteristic function of K_i considered as an element of H_i , by μ_i a left Haar measure on G_i with $\mu_i(K_i) = 1$; by § 1 the restricted product μ of the μ_i is a left Haar measure on G ; by corollary 5 we have an isomorphism

$$U : \bigoplus^h H_i \longrightarrow H$$

with the following property : if $f_i \in H_i$ and $f_i = t_i$ almost

everywhere, Uf is the function defined by $Uf(g) = \prod f_i(g_i)$; it is easy to check that U carries $\otimes \pi_i(g_i)$ into $\pi(g)$ for each $g = (g_i)$ in G .

Take an arbitrary measure λ_i in the Plancherel class of G_i ; we can write the central desintegration of π_i :

$$H_i = \int_{\hat{G}_i}^{\oplus} H_{i,\rho_i} \cdot d\lambda_i(\rho_i)$$

$$\pi_i = \int^{\oplus} \pi_{i,\rho_i} \cdot d\lambda_i(\rho_i)$$

where π_{i,ρ_i} is some multiple of ρ_i ; by lemma 4, t_i admits a decomposition $\int^{\oplus} t_{i,\rho_i} \cdot d\lambda_i(\rho_i)$ such that $t_{i,\rho_i} \neq 0$ iff $\rho_i \in Y_i$; then we can replace λ_i by an equivalent measure which we still denote by λ_i , and suppose $\|t_{i,\rho_i}\| = 1$ for each $\rho_i \in Y_i$; since $\|t_i\| = 1$ we have $\lambda_i(Y_i) = 1$ and we can form the restricted product λ of the λ_i .

By theorem 3 we have an isomorphism

$$V : \otimes^h t H_i \longrightarrow \int_X^{\oplus} \otimes^h (t_{i,\rho_i}) H_{i,\rho_i} \cdot d\lambda(\rho);$$

as easily seen V carries the representation $\otimes^t \pi_i$ into

$$\int_X^{\oplus} \otimes^h (t_{i,\rho_i}) \pi_{i,\rho_i} \cdot d\lambda(\rho),$$

the proof will be complete if

we show that for each $\rho \in X$, $\otimes^h (t_{i,\rho_i}) \pi_{i,\rho_i}$ is a multiple of $F(\rho)$. Since π_{i,ρ_i} is a multiple of ρ_i we can write

$$H_{i,\rho_i} = K_{i,\rho_i} \otimes^h K'_{i,\rho_i}$$

$$\pi_{i,\rho_i} = \rho_i \otimes I$$

then

$$\pi_{i,\rho_i}(e_i) = \rho_i(e_i) \otimes I ;$$

since $t_{i,\rho_i} \in \text{Im } \pi_{i,\rho_i}(e_i)$ and $\text{rank } \pi_i(e_i) \leq 1$ we

can write

$$t_{i,\rho_i} = s_{i,\rho_i} \otimes s'_{i,\rho_i}$$

with $s_{i,\rho_i} \in \text{Im } \rho_i(e_i)$, then by virtue of the associativity

$$\otimes^h (t_{i,\rho_i}) H_{i,\rho_i} = \left(\otimes^h (s_{i,\rho_i}) K_{i,\rho_i} \right) \otimes \left(\otimes^h (s'_{i,\rho_i}) K'_{i,\rho_i} \right)$$

$$\otimes^h (t_{i,\rho_i}) \pi_{i,\rho_i} = \left(\otimes^h (s_{i,\rho_i}) \rho_i \right) \otimes I$$

$$= F(\rho) \otimes I .$$

§ 14. Infinite tensor products of traces on C^* -algebras.

n.14.1. Definition.

Let us consider for each i a C^* -algebra A_i , a non zero projection e_i in A_i and a semi-finite lower semi-continuous (s.f.l.s.c.) trace f_i on A_i such that $f_i(e_i) = 1$; denote by m_i, n_i, N_i the associated ideals (cf.[2], 6.1.2 and 6.2.1), by \mathcal{A}_i the Hilbert algebra n_i/N_i , by \dot{a} the canonical image in \mathcal{A}_i of any element a in n_i , by H_i the Hilbert completion of \mathcal{A}_i , by \mathcal{U}_i the left von Neumann algebra associated with \mathcal{A}_i , by t_i the natural trace on \mathcal{U}_i , by π_i the representation of A_i in H_i defined by f_i ; e_i is a projection of norm 1 in A_i and we can form the Hilbert algebra $A = \bigotimes^{(e_i)} A_i$, its Hilbert completion is $H = \bigotimes^{h(\dot{e}_i)} H_i$ and the left von Neumann algebra of A is $\mathcal{U} = \bigotimes^{c(\dot{e}_i)} \mathcal{U}_i$; denote by t its natural trace and by \mathcal{N} the ideal of Hilbert-Schmidt operators for t ; since $\pi_i(e_i) \cdot \dot{e}_i = \dot{e}_i$ we can form the representation $\pi = \bigotimes^{e(\dot{e}_i)} \pi_i$ which generates the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{U} ; for each family (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i$ with $a_i \in n_i$ we have

$$\pi \left(\bigotimes a_i \right) = \bigcup_{\bigotimes \dot{a}_i} \in \pi(A) \cap \mathcal{N};$$

since the operators $\pi \left(\bigotimes a_i \right)$ generate \mathcal{U} , we see that the

pair (π, t) is a traced representation ; hence it defines a s.f.l.s.c. trace f on $A = \bigotimes^e A_i$: $f = t \circ \pi$; if $(a_i) \in \prod^e A_i$ and $a_i \in m_i^+$ we can write $a_i = b_i^2$ where $b_i \in n_i^+$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bigotimes a_i) &= f((\bigotimes b_i)^2) = t(\pi((\bigotimes b_i)^2)) \\ &= t((U_{\bigotimes b_i})^2) = (\bigotimes \dot{b}_i | \bigotimes \dot{b}_i) \\ &= \prod (\dot{b}_i | \dot{b}_i) = \prod t_i((U_{\dot{b}_i})^2) \\ &= \prod t_i(\pi_i(b_i)^2) \\ &= \prod t_i(\pi_i(a_i)) = \prod f_i(a_i) . \end{aligned}$$

Let us now suppose that the f_i are finite and $\prod \|f_i\| < \infty$; the definition ideal m of f contains each element $\bigotimes a_i$ with $(a_i) \in \prod^e A_i^+$, hence contains $\bigotimes^e A_i$; on the other hand, by prop. 16, f is continuous on $\bigotimes^e A_i$; since it is l.s.c., it must be finite and hence equal to the positive functional $\bigotimes^e f_i$. Thus we have proved the following

Proposition 20. Given for each i a s.f.l.s.c. trace f_i on A_i such that $f_i(e_i) = 1$ we can construct canonically a s.f.l.s.c. trace f on $\bigotimes^e A_i$ with the following properties :

(i) $f(\bigotimes a_i) = \prod f_i(a_i)$ if $a_i \in A_i^+$, $a_i = e_i$ almost everywhere and $f_i(a_i) < \infty$

(ii) the representation associated with f is quasi-equivalent

to $\otimes^{*e, \dot{e}} \pi_i$ where τ_i is the representation associated with f_i and \dot{e}_i the canonical image of e_i in the space of π_i .

If each f_i is finite and $\prod \|f_i\| < \infty$, f is nothing but the central positive functional $\otimes^{*e} f_i$.

Suppose now that $f_i(e_i) = 1$ only for almost all i ; taking J in $\widehat{r}(I)$ such that $i \notin J \implies f_i(e_i) = 1$ we can write

$$A = \left(\otimes_{i \in J}^{*} A_i \right) \otimes^{*} \left(\otimes_{i \in I-J}^{*e} A_i \right)$$

and consider the tensor product of the traces $\otimes_{i \in J}^{*} f_i$ (defined in Part I, prop. 21) and f (defined in prop. 20).

Definition 13. The above s.f.l.s.c. trace on $\otimes^{*e} A_i$ will be denoted by $\otimes^{*e} f_i$; it is a character if and only if each f_i is a character. If each f_i is finite and $\prod \|f_i\| < \infty$, $\otimes^{*e} f_i$ is nothing but the central positive functional $\otimes^{*e} f_i$.

By composing with the canonical morphism $\otimes^{\vee e} A_i \longrightarrow \otimes^{*e} A_i$ we also get a trace $\otimes^{\vee e} f_i$ on $\otimes^{\vee e} A_i$ which has the same properties.

In the remainder of this paragraph we shall prove that certain s.f.l.s.c. traces on $\otimes^{*e} A_i$ or $\otimes^{\vee e} A_i$ are tensor products of traces.

n.14.2. Type I characters of $\bigotimes^* A_i$.

Theorem 9. We suppose each A_i postliminar ; let f be a character on $\bigotimes^* A_i$ which is of type I and satisfies the following condition : there exists a family (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i^+$ such that $0 < f(\bigotimes a_i) < \infty$. Then f is a tensor product.

Proof. Let $J = \{i \mid a_i \neq e_i\}$; we can write

$$A = \bigotimes^* A_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i \right) \bigotimes^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* A_i \right)$$

$$a_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} a_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right) ;$$

by Part I, prop. 22, f is the tensor product of two characters f_1, f_2 of $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* A_i$ and $\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^* A_i$ respectively, and f_1 is a tensor product of characters of the $A_i, i \in J$; on the other hand we have $0 < f_2(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i) < \infty$, so that we are led back to prove the theorem in the case where $0 < f(\bigotimes e_i) < \infty$.

Let π be an irreducible representation of A in a space H such that $f = \text{Tr} \circ \pi$ where Tr is the usual trace in H ; for each j we can write

$$H = \bigoplus_{j=1}^h H_j \otimes H'_j$$

$$\pi = \pi_j \bigotimes^* \pi'_j$$

where π_j and π'_j are irreducible representations of A_j and

$\bigotimes_{i \neq j}^* e_i A_i$; then

$$\pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \pi_j(e_j) \otimes \pi'_j(\bigotimes_{i \neq j} e_i) \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Tr} \pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \text{Tr} \pi_j(e_j) \cdot \text{Tr} \pi'_j(\bigotimes_{i \neq j} e_i) ;$$

hence $\text{Tr} \pi_j(e_j)$ is a strictly positive integer.

Consider now a finite subset J of I ; by the same procedure as in th. 8 we can write

$$H = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^h H_i \right) \otimes H'_J$$

$$\pi = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^* \pi_i \right) \otimes \pi'_J ;$$

then

$$\text{Tr} \pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \prod_{i \in J} \text{Tr} \pi_i(e_i) \cdot \text{Tr} \pi'_J(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i) ;$$

the second factor in the righthand side is a strictly positive integer, so that

$$\prod_{i \in J} \text{Tr} \pi_i(e_i) \leq \text{Tr} \pi(\bigotimes e_i) ;$$

since J is arbitrary $\text{Tr} \pi_i(e_i)$ must be equal to 1 for almost every i ; by taking off again a finite set of indices we can suppose $\text{Tr} \pi_i(e_i) = 1 \quad \forall i$. Then $\pi_i(e_i)$ is a one dimensional projection ; we choose a unit vector u in $\text{Im} \pi(\bigotimes e_i)$; by (15) u is of the form $u = t_j \otimes t'_j$ where t_j is a unit vector in $\text{Im} \pi_j(e_j)$; now the same reasoning as in th. 8 applies to prove that π is equivalent to $\bigotimes^{e,t} \pi_i$; each pair (π_i, Tr) is a traced representation since $\text{Tr} \pi_i(e_i)$

$= 1$; set $f_i = \text{Tr} \circ \pi_i$; we shall prove that $f = \otimes^* e f_i$;
 f_i defines a Hilbert algebra \mathcal{A}_i , and a representation ρ_i
of \mathcal{A}_i in $K_i = \overline{\mathcal{A}_i}$; let \dot{e}_i be the canonical image of e_i in
 \mathcal{A}_i ; we can identify \mathcal{A}_i with a dense subalgebra of the al-
gebra of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators in H_i , K_i with the
space $H_i \otimes^h H_i$, \dot{e}_i (the projection onto t_i) with $t_i \otimes t_i$,
 ρ_i with $\pi_i \otimes I$; then $\otimes^h e K_i$ is canonically isomorphic
to $(\otimes^h t H_i) \otimes (\otimes^h t H_i)$; the representation associated
with $\otimes^* e f_i$ is quasi-equivalent to $\otimes^* e, \dot{e} \rho_i$, hence to
 $(\otimes^* e, t \pi_i) \otimes I$, then to $\otimes^* e, t \pi_i$ and to π ; but the re-
presentation associated with f is also quasi-equivalent to
 π , and this shows that $f = \otimes^* e f_i$.

Corollary 13. Consider a family of postliminar locally com-
pact groups G_i with compact open subgroups K_i , and an irre-
ducible representation π of $\prod^{(K_i)} G_i$; suppose that there
exists an integrable function f on $\prod^{(K_i)} G_i$ of the form
 $f = \otimes f_i$ such that $0 < \text{Tr} \pi(f^* f) < \infty$ and that for almost
every i , f_i is the characteristic function of K_i . Then π
is equivalent to a tensor product of irreducible represen-
tations π_i and for almost every i the space of all vectors
invariant by $\pi_i(K_i)$ has dimension 1.

Interesting applications of this result to adèle groups can
be found in [37], ch.III, § 3, n.5.

n.14.3. Characters of $\bigotimes^{\alpha} A_i$ when e_i is central.

In this number we suppose that for each i , e_i belongs to the center of A_i ; then if π is a factor representation of A_i , $\pi(e_i)$ must be equal to 0 or I ; if f is a character of A_i and $0 < f(e_i) < \infty$, f is finite ; if moreover f is normed we have $f(e_i) = 1$.

Example 6. If G is a locally compact group and K an invariant compact open subgroup, its characteristic function is central in $C^*(G)$.

Proposition 21. Let f be a character of $\bigotimes^{\alpha} A_i$ ($\alpha = \nu$ or $*$) with the following property : there exists a family (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i^+$ such that $0 < f(\otimes a_i) < \infty$. Then f is a tensor product in the sense of definition 13.

Proof. For the same reason as in th. 9 we can suppose that $0 < f(\otimes e_i) < \infty$; since $\otimes e_i$ belongs to the center of $A = \bigotimes^{\alpha} A_i$, f is finite and we can suppose it is normed ; it defines a representation π in a space H and a finite normed trace t on the factor $\mathcal{A} = \pi(A)''$; we have $\pi(\otimes e_i) = I$. The canonical morphisms $L_j : A_j \longrightarrow A$ are commuting ; set $\pi_j = \pi \circ L_j$, representation of A_j ; the von Neumann algebras $\pi_j(A_j)'' = \mathcal{A}_j$ are included in \mathcal{A} and commuting .

Consider a family (a_i) in $\prod^e A_i$ with $a_i = e_i$ for $i \notin J$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in J} L_i(a_i) &= \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} a_i e_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right) \\ &= \bigotimes a_i \cdot \bigotimes e_i \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\bigotimes_{i \in J} \pi_i(a_i) = \pi(\bigotimes a_i) \cdot \pi(\bigotimes e_i) = \pi(\bigotimes a_i);$$

then the \mathcal{A}_i generate \mathcal{A} and consequently are factors; the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{(J)}$ generated by the \mathcal{A}_i with $i \in J$ is also a factor; set $t_i = t|_{\mathcal{A}_i}$, $t_{(J)} = t|_{\mathcal{A}_{(J)}}$, $f_i = t_i \circ \pi_i$, character of A_i ; we want to prove that $f = \bigotimes^e f_i$; it suffices to prove that $f(\bigotimes a_i) = \prod f_i(a_i)$ with $a_i = e_i$ for $i \notin J$; then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bigotimes a_i) &= t(\pi(\bigotimes a_i)) = t\left(\prod_{i \in J} \pi_i(a_i)\right) \\ &= t_{(J)}\left(\prod_{i \in J} \pi_i(a_i)\right); \end{aligned}$$

by Part I, lemma 13 we get

$$f(\bigotimes a_i) = \prod_{i \in J} t_i(\pi_i(a_i)) = \prod_{i \in J} f_i(a_i).$$

QED

We shall now investigate the finite characters of A ; we denote by U_i the set of all $f \in C_1(A_i)$ such that $f(e_i) = 1$; U_i is open since for each f in $C_1(A_i)$ we have $f(e_i) = 0$ or 1 . For each family $F = (f_i)$ in $\prod^{(U_i)} C_1(A_i)$,

we denote by $T(F)$ the character $\bigotimes_{i \in I}^a e f_i$.

Theorem 10. The mapping T is a homeomorphism of $\prod_{i \in I}^{(U_i)} C_1(A_i)$ onto $C_1(\bigotimes_{i \in I}^a e A_i)$.

T is injective : suppose $T(F) = T(F')$, take j in I and J in $\widehat{F}(I)$ such that $j \in J$ and $f_i(e_i) = f'_i(e_i) = 1$ for $i \notin J$; for each a in $\bigotimes_{i \in J}^a A_i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^a f_i \right)(a) &= (T(F))(a \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right)) \\ &= (T(F'))(a \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i \right)) \\ &= \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} f'_i \right)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $f_j = f'_j$.

T is surjective by prop. 21.

T is continuous : we must prove that for each $J \in \widehat{F}(I)$, T is continuous on $X_{(J)} = \prod_{i \in J} C_1(A_i) \times \prod_{i \in I-J} U_i$; then T is the composition of the following mappings :

$$\begin{aligned} F &\xrightarrow{a} \left((f_i)_{i \in J}, (f_i)_{i \in I-J} \right) \xrightarrow{b} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^a f_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^a e f_i \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J}^a f_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J}^a e f_i \right) ; \end{aligned}$$

a is clearly continuous ; b is the direct product of two mappings b_1, b_2 ; b_1 and c are continuous by Part I, prop. 5 ;

the proof of the continuity of b_2 is the same as for the continuity of G in prop. 18.

T is bicontinuous : $T(X_{(J)})$ is open in $C_1(A)$ since a character $f = \bigotimes_{i \in I} e_i f_i$ belongs to $T(X_{(J)})$ iff $f_i(e_i) = 0 \forall i \in I-J$, which is equivalent to f non zero on the subalgebra $(\bigotimes_{i \in J} A_i) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i)$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that F^{-1} is continuous on $T(X_{(J)})$, i.e. that for each j , the mapping $\bigotimes_{i \in I} e_i f_i \longmapsto f_j$ is continuous on this subset ; we can suppose $j \in J$; then our mapping is the composition of the following ones :

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I} e_i f_i = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in J} f_i \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I-J} e_i f_i \right) \longmapsto \bigotimes_{i \in J} f_i \longmapsto f_j$$

and both are continuous by Part I, th. 10.

Corollary 14. If e_i is the identity of A_i , $C_1(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\prod C_1(A_i)$.

Corollary 15. We suppose A_i separable and I countable ; then $(\bigotimes_{i \in I} e_i A_i)_f$ is Borel isomorphic to $\prod^{(Y_i)} (A_i)_f$ where Y_i is the set of all π in $(A_i)_f$ with $\pi(e_i) \neq 0$.

In fact it is easy to see that the mapping $(\bigotimes_{i \in I} e_i A_i)_f \longrightarrow \prod^{(Y_i)} (A_i)_f$ is Borel ; on the other hand both spaces are standard.

QED

Given a locally compact group G we denote by $E(G)$ the set of all extremal continuous positive definite functions φ on G with $\varphi(e_0) = 1$, $e_0 =$ neutral element ; there is a bijection $E(G) \longleftrightarrow C_1(C^*(G))$, to each φ in $E(G)$ corresponding the character $a \longmapsto f_\varphi(a) = \int a(g) \cdot \varphi(g) \cdot dg$.

If K is a compact open subgroup of G and e the characteristic function of K , we have $f_\varphi(e) = 1$ iff $\int \varphi(k) \cdot dk = 1$ where dk is the normalized Haar measure of K ; and this is equivalent to $\varphi(k) = 1 \quad \forall k \in K$ since $|\varphi(g)| \leq 1$ for each g in G .

Corollary 16. Consider for each i a locally compact group G_i and an invariant compact open subgroup K_i ; then $E(\prod^{(K_i)} G_i)$ is in a canonical bijection with $\prod^{(Y_i)} E(G_i)$ where Y_i is the set of all φ in $E(G_i)$ verifying $\varphi(k) = 1 \quad \forall k \in K_i$.

Corollary 17. If G_i is compact and $K_i = G_i$, $E(\prod G_i)$ is in a canonical bijection with $\prod^{(\epsilon_i)} E(G_i)$ where ϵ_i is the function 1 .

Corollary 18. If G_i is discrete and K_i is reduced to the neutral element, $E(\prod' G_i)$ is in a canonical bijection with $\prod E(G_i)$.

Bibliography [9],[47] .

Supplementary bibliography for Part II.

- [32] H.Araki. A lattice of von Neumann algebras associated with the Quantum Theory of a free Bose field. *J.Math.Phys.*, t.4, 1963, p.1343-1362.
- [33] H.Araki. A classification of factors.II. To appear in *Publ. Res.Inst.Math.Sci.Kyoto Univ.*,
- [34] H.Araki - E.J.Woods. Complete Boolean algebras of type I factors. *Ibid.*, t.2, 1966, p.157-242.
- [35] H.Araki - E.J.Woods. A classification of factors. *Ibid.* to appear.
- [36] D.J.C.Bures. Certain factors constructed as infinite tensor products. *Compos.Math.*, t.15, 1963, p.169-191.
- [37] I.M.Gelfand - M.I.Graïev - I.I.Piatetski-Shapiro. Generalized functions. T. 6. Academic Press.
- [38] A.Guichardet. Sur la catégorie des algèbres de von Neumann. *Bull.Sci.Math.*, t.40, 1966, p.41-64.
- [39] A.Guichardet. Algèbres d'observables associées aux relations de commutation. A.Colin.
- [40] A.Hulanicki - R.R.Phelps. Some applications of tensor products of partially ordered linear spaces. *J.Funct.Anal.*, t.2, 1968, p.177-201.
- [41] S.Kakutani. On equivalence of infinite product measures. *Ann.Math.*, t.49, 1948, p.214-226.
- [42] C.C.Moore. Invariant measures on product spaces. *Fifth Berkeley Symp.Math.Stat.Proba.*, t.2, Part 2, p.447-459.
- [43] F.J.Murray - J.von Neumann. On rings of operators. *Ann.Math.*, t.37, 1936, p.116-226.

- [44] J.von Neumann. On infinite direct products. Compos.Math., t.6, 1938, p.1-77.
- [45] R.T.Powers. Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings. Ann.Math., t.86, 1967, p.138-171.
- [46] E.Störmer. Symmetric states of infinite tensor products of C^* -algebras. To appear in J.Funct.Anal.
- [47] E.Thoma. Über positiv-definit Klassenfunktionen abzählbarer Gruppen. Math.Zeitsch., t.84, 1964, p.389-402.

Table of content

	<u>pages</u>
§ 1. Restricted products of sets and topological spaces.	1
§ 2. Infinite tensor products of vector spaces.	4
§ 3. Infinite tensor products of algebras.	10
§ 4. Infinite tensor products of Banach spaces.	12
§ 5. Infinite tensor products of Banach \ast - algebras.	15
§ 6. Infinite tensor products of Hilbert spaces.	21
n.6.1. Definition and first properties.	21
n.6.2. Definition of $\otimes x_i$ for certain families (x_i) .	22
n.6.3. Relations between the various tensor products $\otimes^h \otimes^t H_i$.	23
n.6.4. Infinite tensor products of operators.	27
n.6.5. Distributivity of tensor products with respect to Hilbert sums and integrals.	29
n.6.6. The Hilbert symmetric space of a Hilbert space.	31
§ 7. Infinite tensor products of von Neumann algebras.	34
n.7.1. The concrete tensor product .	34
n.7.2. The abstract tensor product.	37
§ 8. Infinite tensor products of Hilbert algebras.	41
§ 9. The type of certain infinite tensor products of von Neumann algebras.	45
n.9.1. First method.	45
n.9.2. Second method.	46
§ 10. Infinite tensor products of C^\ast - algebras. Definition and first properties.	52

§ 11. Infinite tensor products of representations of C^* -algebras.	54
§ 12. Infinite tensor products of positive functionals on C^* -algebras.	60
§ 13. Study of the case where e_i has rank ≤ 1 .	65
n.13.1. Definitions and examples.	65
n.13.2. Irreducible representations of $\bigotimes^e A_i$.	67
n.13.3. The Plancherel measure class of $\Pi^{(K_i)} G_i$.	76
§ 14. Infinite tensor products of traces on C^* -algebras.	81
n.14.1. Definition.	81
n.14.2. Type I characters of $\bigotimes^e A_i$.	84
n.14.3. Characters of $\bigotimes^e A_i$ when e_i is central.	87
Supplementary bibliography for Part II.	92