

EQUIVARIANT STABLE HOMOTOPY THEORY

by G. B. SEGAL

Equivariant maps between spheres.

Let G be a finite group.

A finite-dimensional real vector-space V on which G acts linearly will be called a G -module. Its one-point compactification S^V is a sphere with G -action, in which we shall regard ∞ as a base-point. Our object is to describe the homotopy-classes of equivariant maps between such spheres.

For each G -module V there is a concept of *suspension* : if X is a G -space with base-point x_0 we define the suspension $S^V X$ as

$$S^V \wedge X = (S^V \times X) / ((\infty \times X) \cup (S^V \times x_0)).$$

If X and Y are G -spaces with base-points then $[X; Y]_G$ denotes the set of homotopy-classes of base-point-preserving G -maps $X \rightarrow Y$. There is a suspension-map $[X; Y]_G \rightarrow [S^V X; S^V Y]_G$ for any G -module V . One can order the isomorphism-classes of G -modules by

$$V \leq V' \iff V \text{ is isomorphic to a submodule of } V';$$

then one defines the set of stable equivariant maps

$$\{X; Y\}_G = \varinjlim_V [S^V X; S^V Y],$$

which is an abelian group. (Strictly speaking the limit is taken over the category of G -modules and embeddings).

PROPOSITION 1. — $[S^{V \oplus W}; S^W]_G$ is independent of W if W is sufficiently large, and can be identified with the set of cobordism-classes of compact V -framed G -manifolds.

The terminology in the proposition is explained by

DEFINITION 1. — If V is a G -module, a compact G -manifold M is called V -framed if there is given a stable G -isomorphism φ_M of its tangent bundle T_M with $M \times V$, i.e. if there is given a G -module W and an isomorphism of G -vector-bundles $T_M \oplus (M \times W) \cong M \times (V \oplus W)$. Such a manifold is said to bound if there is a G -manifold N with boundary M and a stable isomorphism of T_N with $N \times (V \oplus \mathbf{R})$ which induces φ_M .

The proof of Proposition 1 depends essentially on the concept of "consistent transversality" introduced by Wasserman [2]. Details can be found in [1].

Proposition 1 makes clear in particular what plays the role of the *degree* of a map in the equivariant theory. Recall that one defines for any group G its *Burnside ring* $A(G)$ as the Grothendieck group of the category of finite G -sets, i.e. $A(G)$ is the free abelian group on the set of conjugacy-classes of subgroups of finite index in G . Then we have

COROLLARY. — For large W , $[S^W; S^W]_G \cong A(G)$ as rings, where the multiplication in $[S^W; S^W]_G$ is composition of maps, and that in $A(G)$ corresponds to forming the product of G -sets.

Thus the equivariant homotopy class of a map $S^W \rightarrow S^W$ is determined by the degrees of its restrictions to the fixed-point subsets of the subgroups H of G ; and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [S^W; S^W]_G & \longrightarrow & A(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_H \\ [(S^W)^H; (S^W)^H] & \xrightarrow{\text{degree}} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes, where ϵ_H assigns to a G -set S the cardinal of S^H .

PROPOSITION 2. — If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ with trivial G -action then

$$[S^{V \circ W}; S^W]_G \cong \bigoplus_H \pi_n^S(BW_H),$$

where the sum is taken over the conjugacy classes of subgroups H of G , π_n^S denotes stable homotopy, and $W_H = N_H/H$, where N_H is the normalizer of H in G .

Proof. — If M is a V -framed G -manifold then the isotropy-group must be constant on each component of M , for if g is an element of the isotropy group at x then g acts trivially on the tangent-space to M at x , and so leaves fixed all the geodesics through x . But if M has all its isotropy-groups conjugate to H one can write it as $(G/H) \times_{W_H} M^H$, where M^H , the H -invariant part of M , is a free W_H space. Thus a general V -framed manifold can be written $\bigsqcup_H (G/H) \times_{W_H} M_H$, where M_H is a V -framed free W_H -manifold. The cobordism-classes of such M_H can be identified with $\pi_n^S(BW_H)$, and Proposition 2 follows.

Equivariant stable cohomology theory

For any pair $Y \subset X$ of compact G -spaces and any virtual G -module α (i.e. any $\alpha \in RO(G)$) let us define

$$\omega_G^\alpha(X, Y) = \lim_{\substack{\uparrow \\ V}} [S^V(X/Y); S^{V+\alpha}] = \{X/Y; S^\alpha\}.$$

This is a generalized cohomology theory in the sense that it satisfies obvious homotopy, exactness, and excision axioms (for any pair (X, Y) there is a boundary homomorphism $\omega_G^\alpha(Y) \rightarrow \omega_G^{\alpha+1}(X, Y)$). It has the additional stability property that $\tilde{\omega}_G^\alpha(X) \cong \tilde{\omega}_G^{\alpha+V}(S^V X)$ for any X and V . Furthermore it is universal among cohomology theories with those four properties.

On free G -spaces and trivial G -spaces one can express ω_G^α in terms of ordinary stable homotopy, at least when $\alpha \in Z \subset RO(G)$, as follows.

PROPOSITION 3. — If X is a free compact G -space, then

$$\omega_G^n(X) \cong \omega^n(X/G) = \pi_S^n(X/G).$$

PROPOSITION 4. — If G acts trivially on X then

$$\omega_G^n(X) \cong \bigoplus_H \{X ; S^n BW_H^+\},$$

where BW_H^+ is the union of BW_H with a disjoint base-point.

As ordinary stable homotopy coincides with homology when tensored with the rationals, and as classifying-spaces for finite groups have trivial rational homology, one deduces from Proposition 4 that $\omega_G^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong A(G) \otimes H^n(X ; \mathbb{Q})$ when G acts trivially on X . More generally one has

PROPOSITION 5. — For any compact G -space X , and any $\alpha \in RO(G)$,

$$\omega_G^\alpha(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus_H H^{\alpha_H}(X^H ; \mathbb{Q})^{W^H}$$

where, if $\alpha = V - W \in RO(G)$, $\alpha_H = \dim V^H - \dim W^H$.

It is easy to see that $\{S^V ; S^W\}_G$ is a finitely generated abelian group, so Proposition 5 implies the

COROLLARY. — $\{S^V ; S^W\}_G$ is finite unless $\dim V^H = \dim W^H$ for some subgroup H of G .

The equivariant J-homomorphism ⁽¹⁾.

The relationship between equivariant stable cohomotopy as defined here and equivariant K -theory is precisely analogous to that in the classical case. There is a J -homomorphism

$$J : KO_G^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \omega_G^0(X)$$

(from the additive group KO_G^{-1} to the multiplicative group of ω_G^0) defined by the usual Hopf construction. Its image can be determined in the following way.

The Adams operations ψ^k act on $KO_G(X)$, and hence on the profinite completion $KO_G(X)^\wedge$. They define an action of \mathbb{Z} on $KO_G(X)^\wedge$ which is continuous when \mathbb{Z} is given the profinite topology, and so the action extends to an action of the profinite completion $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. The group of units $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ of this ring is the product of the subgroup (± 1) with a topologically cyclic group Γ . Let α be a generator of Γ . Then $\psi^\alpha : KO_G(X)^\wedge \rightarrow KO_G(X)^\wedge$ extends to a transformation of multiplicative cohomology theories, and so one can define a new multiplicative cohomology theory J_G^* with a multiplicative transformation $J_G^* \rightarrow KO_G^{*\wedge}$ fitting into an exact triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow J_G^* \rightarrow KO_G^{*\wedge} \xrightarrow{\psi^{\alpha-1}} KO_G^{*\wedge} \rightarrow \cdots$$

(1) The proofs of the results in this section depend on the work of Sullivan on the Adams conjecture.

Thus there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\psi^a - 1) \rightarrow J_G^* \rightarrow \ker(\psi^a - 1) \rightarrow 0. \quad \dots (\dagger)$$

In terms of the theory J_G^* one can describe the J -homomorphism as follows. The Hurewicz homomorphism $\omega_G^* \rightarrow KO_G^{*\wedge}$ factorizes through J_G^* , giving a multiplicative transformation $h: \omega_G^* \rightarrow J_G^*$. In view of the exact sequence (\dagger) one sees that this assigns to an element of stable cohomotopy its d - and e -invariants in the sense of Adams. The J -homomorphism $J: KO_G^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \omega_G^0(X)$ factorizes through $\tilde{J}_G^0(X)$ to give an exponential map $J: \tilde{J}_G^0(X) \rightarrow \omega_G^0(X)$. If G is a p -group the composite $hJ: \tilde{J}_G^0(X) \rightarrow J_G^0(X)$ is an isomorphism between the additive group $\tilde{J}_G^0(X)$ and the multiplicative group $1 + \tilde{J}_G^0(X)$. Then $\tilde{J}_G^0(X)$ is a direct summand in the multiplicative group $1 + \tilde{\omega}_G^0(X)$.

The definition of an equivariant cohomology theory.

In conclusion I shall mention two facts which tend to support the use of all real representations for suspending in equivariant stable homotopy theory, and the indexing of equivariant cohomology theories by $RO(G)$.

The first is the generalization of the construction of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces as the infinite symmetric products of spheres.

PROPOSITION 6. — If A is a topological abelian group with G -action, and V is a G -module, there is a G -space $B^V A$ and a G -homotopy-equivalence

$$A \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^V; B^V A);$$

and if $A = \mathbb{Z}$ with trivial G -action one can take $B^V A = F(S^V)$, the free abelian group on S^V .

The second is the generalization of a theorem of Barratt and Quillen. If S is a finite G -set let us write Σ_S for the group of G -automorphisms of S . One can form an associative monoid $\Gamma_G = \bigsqcup_S B\Sigma_S$, the sum being over all finite G -sets S .

The monoid can also be written $\prod_H \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B(\Sigma_n \wr W_H)$, where H runs through the conjugacy-classes of subgroups G , and $\Sigma_n \wr W_H$ denotes the semi-direct product

$$\Sigma_n \tilde{\times} (W_H \overset{\leftarrow}{\times} \dots \overset{\rightarrow}{\times} W_H).$$

PROPOSITION 7. — The classifying-space for Γ_G is homotopy-equivalent to that of $\lim_{\vec{V}} \text{Map}_G(S^V; S^V)$, where V runs through all G -modules.

The theorem of Barratt and Quillen tells one that

$$B\left(\bigsqcup_n B(\Sigma_n \wr W_H)\right) \simeq B(\Omega^\infty S^\infty (BW_H^+)),$$

so one deduces.

$$\text{COROLLARY. — } \lim_{\vec{V}} \text{Map}_G(S^V; S^V) \simeq \prod_H \Omega^\infty S^\infty (BW_H^+).$$

This is of course just a restatement of Proposition 4, but it provides a completely different proof of it.

REFERENCES

- [1] O'CONNOR J.J. — *D. Phil. thesis, Oxford*, (in preparation).
- [2] WASSERMAN A.G. — Equivariant differential topology. *Topology*, 8, 1969, p. 127-150.

St. Catherine's College,
Oxford (Grande-Bretagne)

