

Tiny Types

Mitchell Riley

CQTS

April 21st 2024

Definition

A tiny object \mathbb{T} in a category \mathcal{C} is one for which $(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a *right* adjoint $\checkmark : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

- ▶ 1 in Set .
- ▶ The interval \mathbb{I} in many models of cubical type theory.
- ▶ The infinitesimal disk $D := \{x : \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 = 0\}$ in models of synthetic differential geometry.
- ▶ The universal object in the topos classifying objects, $[\text{FinSet}, \text{Set}]$.
- ▶ Any representable presheaf for a site with finite products.

Definition

A tiny object \mathbb{T} in a category \mathcal{C} is one for which $(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a *right* adjoint $\checkmark : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

- ▶ 1 in Set .
- ▶ The interval \mathbb{I} in many models of cubical type theory.
- ▶ The infinitesimal disk $D := \{x : \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 = 0\}$ in models of synthetic differential geometry.
- ▶ The universal object in the topos classifying objects, $[\text{FinSet}, \text{Set}]$.
- ▶ Any representable presheaf for a site with finite products.

Differential Forms

In a model of SDG, let $D := \{x : \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 = 0\}$.

The *tangent space* of X is the type $TX := D \rightarrow X$. A (not-necessarily linear) *1-form* on X is a map $TX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

These correspond to maps $X \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathbb{R}}$.

Previous Approaches

- ▶ [LOPS18] axiomatises:

$$\sqrt{ } : \flat\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

$$R : \flat((\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B) \simeq \flat(A \rightarrow \sqrt{B})$$

$$R\text{-nat} : \{R \text{ is natural in } A\}$$

- ▶ [Mye22] improves to:

$$\sqrt{ } : \flat\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

$$\varepsilon : (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \sqrt{B}) \rightarrow B$$

$$e : \text{isEquiv}(\flat(A \rightarrow \sqrt{B}) \rightarrow \flat((\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B))$$

Previous Approaches

- ▶ [LOPS18] axiomatises:

$$\sqrt{} : \flat\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

$$R : \flat((\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B) \simeq \flat(A \rightarrow \sqrt{B})$$

$$R\text{-nat} : \{R \text{ is natural in } A\}$$

- ▶ [Mye22] improves to:

$$\sqrt{} : \flat\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

$$\varepsilon : (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \sqrt{B}) \rightarrow B$$

$$e : \text{isEquiv}(\flat(A \rightarrow \sqrt{B}) \rightarrow \flat((\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B))$$

- ▶ [ND21] targets a right adjoint to “telescope quantification”:

$$\frac{\Gamma, (\forall i : \mathbb{T}.\Delta) \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T}, \Delta \vdash \wp A \text{ type}}$$

- ▶ The system of MTT modalities we saw in Daniel's talk with an axiom $\Gamma, \{p\} \equiv \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}$.

Previous Approaches

- ▶ [ND21] targets a right adjoint to “telescope quantification”:

$$\frac{\Gamma, (\forall i : \mathbb{T}.\Delta) \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T}, \Delta \vdash \langle\rangle A \text{ type}}$$

- ▶ The system of MTT modalities we saw in Daniel's talk with an axiom $\Gamma, \{p\} \equiv \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}$.

Desiderata

- ▶ No axioms
- ▶ Comprehensible rules (relatively speaking)
- ▶ Usable by hand
- ▶ Normalisable

The Less Amazing Right Adjoint

$$(-, x : A) \dashv\vdash (x : A) \rightarrow -$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lam}(b) : (x : A) \rightarrow B}$$

$$\text{unlam}(\text{lam}(b)) \equiv b$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : (x : A) \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash \text{unlam}(f) : B}$$

$$f \equiv \text{lam}(\text{unlam}(f))$$

The Less Amazing Right Adjoint

$$(-, x : A) \dashv (x : A) \rightarrow -$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lam}(b) : (x : A) \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : (x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{app}(f, a) : B[a/x]}$$
$$\text{app}(\text{lam}(b), a) \equiv b[a/x] \quad f \equiv \text{lam}(\text{app}(f, x))$$

The Fitch-Style Right Adjoint

$$\mathcal{L} \dashv \mathcal{R}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lam}(a) : RA}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \mathcal{R}A}{\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \vdash \text{unlam}(f) : A}$$

$$\text{unlam}(\text{lam}(b)) \equiv b$$

$$f \equiv \text{lam}(\text{unlam}(f))$$

Following [BCMEPS20]. By Γ, \mathcal{L} I mean $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$.

The Fitch-Style Right Adjoint

$$\mathcal{L} \dashv \mathcal{R}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lam}(a) : RA}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \mathcal{R}A \quad \mathcal{L} \notin \Gamma'}{\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \Gamma' \vdash \text{unlam}(f) : A}$$

$$\text{unlam}(\text{lam}(b)) \equiv b$$

$$f \equiv \text{lam}(\text{unlam}(f))$$

Following [BCMEPS20]. By Γ, \mathcal{L} I mean $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$.

The FitchTT-Style Right Adjoint

$$\mathcal{E} \dashv \mathcal{L} \text{ '}'\dashv' \mathcal{R}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lam}(b) : \mathcal{R}B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{E} \vdash f : \mathcal{R}B}{\Gamma, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L} \vdash \text{unlam}(f) : B}$$

$$\text{unlam}(\text{lam}(b)) \equiv b$$

$$f \equiv \text{lam}(\text{unlam}(f))$$

Following [GCKGB22].

The FitchTT-Style Right Adjoint

$$\mathcal{E} \dashv \mathcal{L} \text{ '}'\dashv' \mathcal{R}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lam}(b) : \mathcal{R}B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{E} \vdash f : \mathcal{R}B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{app}(f) : B[\eta]}$$

$$\text{app}(\text{lam}(b)) \equiv b[\eta]$$

$$f \equiv \text{lam}(\text{app}(f[\varepsilon]))$$

where

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \eta : \Gamma, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E} \vdash \varepsilon : \Gamma}$$

Following [GCKGB22].

The Amazing Right Adjoint

$$(-, i : \mathbb{T}) \dashv (-, \blacksquare) \text{ '}\dashv\text{' } \checkmark$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \blacksquare \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \blacksquare.b : \sqrt{B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash r : \sqrt{B}}{\Gamma \vdash r(\gamma_i.) : B[\gamma_i./\blacksquare]}$$

$$(\blacksquare.b)(\gamma_i.) \equiv b[\gamma_i./\blacksquare]$$

$$r \equiv \blacksquare.(r[i/\alpha_\square](\gamma_i.))$$

where

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash [\gamma_i./\blacksquare] : \Gamma, i : \mathbb{T}, \blacksquare}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma, \blacksquare, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash [i/\alpha_\square] : \Gamma}$$

Specialising to a tiny type, [Ril24].

The Amazing Right Adjoint

$$(-, i : \mathbb{T}) \dashv (-, \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}) \text{ '}\dashv\text{' } \checkmark$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}} \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}.b : \sqrt{\mathcal{N}}B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash r : \sqrt{\mathcal{N}}B}{\Gamma \vdash r(\gamma i.) : B[\gamma i./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}]}$$

$$(\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}.b)(\gamma i.) \equiv b[\gamma i./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}] \quad r \equiv \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}.(r[i/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}](\gamma i.))$$

where

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash [\gamma i./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}] : \Gamma, i : \mathbb{T}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma, \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash [i/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{N}}] : \Gamma}$$

Specialising to a tiny type, [Ril24].

The Cunit

$$\text{CUNIT} \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma, \mathbf{a}, \Gamma' \vdash t : \mathbb{T} \quad \mathbf{a} \notin \Gamma'}{\Gamma, \mathbf{a}, \Gamma' \vdash a[t/\mathbf{a}] : A[t/\mathbf{a}]}$$

Roughly:

$$[(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Gamma) \times \Gamma'] \longrightarrow [(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Gamma) \times \Gamma' \times \mathbb{T}] \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow A$$

If there are many locks to get past:

$$\text{CUNIT} \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash t_i : \mathbb{T} \text{ for } \mathcal{L}_i \in \text{locks}(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash a[t_1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \dots, t_n/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}_n}] : A[t_1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \dots, t_n/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}_n}]}$$

The Counit

The counit travels down to free variables and gets stuck:

$$(x, y)[i/\alpha_i] \equiv (x[i/\alpha_i], y[i/\alpha_i])$$

$$(\lambda y. x + y)[i/\alpha_i] \equiv (\lambda y. x[i/\alpha_i] + y)$$

$$\text{VAR} \frac{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash t_i : \mathbb{T} \text{ for } \mathcal{L}_i \in \text{locks}(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash x[t_1/\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \dots, t_n/\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_n}] : A[t_1/\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \dots, t_n/\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_n}]}$$

The Unit

$$\text{UNIT } \frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T}, \blacksquare \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash a[\gamma i./\blacksquare] : A[\gamma i./\blacksquare]}$$

Roughly:

$$\Gamma \longrightarrow [\mathbb{T} \rightarrow (\Gamma \times \mathbb{T})] \longrightarrow A$$

Also travels down to free variables:

$$(x, y)[\gamma i./\blacksquare] \equiv (x[\gamma i./\blacksquare], y[\gamma i./\blacksquare])$$

$$(\lambda y. x + y)[\gamma i./\blacksquare] \equiv (\lambda y. x[\gamma i./\blacksquare] + y)$$

The Twain Shall Meet

But! To have been used at all, these variables *must* have an attached key.

$$(x[t/\alpha], y[t/\alpha])[Yi./\blacksquare] \equiv (x[t/\alpha][Yi./\blacksquare], y[t/\alpha][Yi./\blacksquare])$$
$$(\lambda y.x[t/\alpha] + y)[Yi./\blacksquare] \equiv (\lambda y.x[t/\alpha][Yi./\blacksquare] + y)$$

When a unit meets a stuck counit, it turns back into a regular substitution:

$$a[t/\alpha][Yi./\blacksquare] \equiv a[t/i]$$

That is:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma \times \Gamma'] &\longrightarrow [(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Gamma \times \mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma'] \\ &\longrightarrow [(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Gamma \times \mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma' \times \mathbb{T}] \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow A \end{aligned}$$

Delayed Substitutions?

These are not just substitutions waiting to be “activated”.

$$x : \mathbb{T}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}} \vdash x[1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}, 2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] : \mathbb{T}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x[1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}, 2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][i/x][Yi./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv i[1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}, 2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][Yi./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv i[1/i][2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv 1[2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv 1[2/j] \equiv 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x[1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}, 2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][j/x][Yi./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv j[1/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}, 2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][Yi./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{L}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv j[1/i][2/\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}][Yj./\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{K}}] \\ & \equiv j[2/j] \equiv 2 \end{aligned}$$

Extract (Daniel's Ceweakening?)

$$\frac{\Gamma, \blacksquare \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \blacksquare.b : \sqrt{B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash r : \sqrt{B}}{\Gamma \vdash r(\gamma i.) : B[\gamma i./\blacksquare]}$$

Definition

For closed A , define $\mathbf{e} : \sqrt{A} \rightarrow A$ by

$$\mathbf{e}(r) := r(\gamma i.)$$

Compare:

$$\text{const} : A \rightarrow (C \rightarrow A)$$

$$\text{const}(a) := \lambda c.a$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \blacksquare \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \blacksquare.b : \sqrt{B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash r : \sqrt{B}}{\Gamma \vdash r(\gamma i.) : B[\gamma i./\blacksquare]}$$

Definition

For closed $f : A \rightarrow B$, define $\sqrt{f} : \sqrt{A} \rightarrow \sqrt{B}$ by

$$(\sqrt{f})(r) := \blacksquare.f(r[i/\blacksquare])(\gamma i.)$$

Compare:

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ - &: (C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B) \\ (f \circ -)(r) &:= \lambda c. f(r(c)) \end{aligned}$$

Functionality

$$\frac{\Gamma, \blacksquare \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \blacksquare.b : \sqrt{B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{T} \vdash r : \sqrt{B}}{\Gamma \vdash r(\gamma i.) : B[\gamma i./\blacksquare]}$$

Definition

For closed $f : A \rightarrow B$, define $\sqrt{f} : \sqrt{A} \rightarrow \sqrt{B}$ by

$$(\sqrt{f})(r) := \blacksquare.f(r[i/\blacksquare])(\gamma i.)$$

Start with $r : \sqrt{A}$. To produce \sqrt{B} we need a B after locking our assumptions. There is a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ available, so we just need an A . We cannot use \mathbf{e} on $r : \sqrt{A}$, because r is locked. We could unlock r as $r[\![i/\blacksquare]\!] : \sqrt{A}$ if we had an additional assumption $i : \mathbb{T}$. Because we are eliminating $\sqrt{}$, we amazingly do have this assumption. So $(r[\![i/\blacksquare]\!])(\gamma i.) : A$, and we can apply f .

Proposition

For closed types A and B ,

$$\text{unsplit} : (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A + B) \rightarrow (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A) + (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow B)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{unsplit}(f) : \equiv & \text{case}_+(f(i), a.\blacksquare.\text{inl}(\lambda t.a[t/\alpha_i])), \\ & b.\blacksquare.\text{inr}(\lambda t.b[t/\alpha_i]))(Yi.)\end{aligned}$$



Proposition

For closed types A, B and P ,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{higherind} : \sqrt{((\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A) \rightarrow P) \times \sqrt{((\mathbb{T} \rightarrow B) \rightarrow P)}} \\ \rightarrow (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A + B) \rightarrow P\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{higherind}(g, h, f) : \equiv \\ \text{case}_+(f(i), a.\blacksquare.g[j/\alpha_i](\gamma j.)(\lambda t.a[t/\alpha_i]), \\ b.\blacksquare.h[j/\alpha_i](\gamma j.)(\lambda t.b[t/\alpha_i]))(\gamma i.)\end{aligned}$$



Cubical

Fix a “notion of composition structure” $C : (\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

$$\text{isFib} : \prod_{(\Gamma : \text{Set})} \prod_{(A : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set})} \text{Set}$$

$$\text{isFib}(\Gamma)(A) : \equiv \prod_{(p : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \Gamma)} C(A \circ p)$$

$$\text{Fib} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\text{Fib}(\Gamma) : \equiv \sum_{(A : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set})} \text{isFib}(\Gamma)(A)$$

The [LOPS18] construction of a universe classifying (crisp) fibrations is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & \sqrt{\sum_{(A : \text{Set})} A} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \sqrt{\text{pr}_1} \\ \text{Set} & \xrightarrow{C^\vee} & \sqrt{\text{Set}} \end{array}$$

This works out to:

$$U \equiv \sum_{(X : \text{Set})} \sqrt{C(\lambda j. X[j/\alpha_j])}$$

We can remove some of the crispness restrictions in David's work.

And try his idea for bundles *with connection*:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\nabla} G &:= (V : BG) \times \Lambda^1(T_{\text{id}} \text{Aut}(V)) \\ &\equiv (V : BG) \times \Lambda^1((\varepsilon : D) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V[\varepsilon/\alpha])) \times \dots \end{aligned}$$

Normalisation

```
data Closure = Closure Env Tm
data RootClosure = RootClosure Env Tm
data Val
  = VPi Closure
  | VLam Closure
  ...
  | VTiny
  | VRoot LockClosure
  | VRootIntro LockClosure

data BindTiny a = BindTiny Lvl a
data Neutral
  = NApp Neutral Val
  ...
  | NVar Lvl [Val]
  | NRootElim (BindTiny Neutral)
```

Normalisation

```
data Env =  
  EnvEmpty  
  | EnvVal Val Env  
  | EnvLock (Val -> Env)  
  
eval env t = case t of  
  ...  
  App t u      -> apply (eval env t) (eval env u)  
  RootElim x t -> freshLvl $ \l ->  
    coapply (eval (EnvVal (makeVarLvl l) env) t) l  
  
coapply :: Val -> Lvl -> Val  
coapply (VNeutral ne) lvl = VNeutral ...  
coapply (VRootIntro (RootClosure cloenv t)) lvl =  
  eval (EnvLock (\v -> sub v lvl cloenv)) t
```

Thanks again!