

On Exceptional Geometry and Supergravity

Hermann Nicolai

MPI für Gravitationsphysik, Potsdam

(Albert-Einstein-Institut)

Based on joint work with B. deWit, and H. and M. Godazgar:

[dWN:NPB274(1986),1302.6219; GGN:1303.1013,1307.8295,1309.0266,1312.1061]

as well as ongoing work with O. Hohm and H. Samtleben

[GGNHS: hopefully to appear soon]

Motivation

There are many indications of **exceptional geometrical structures** in maximal supergravity and M theory:

- Ubiquity of exceptional groups: $E_{6(6)}$, $E_{7(7)}$, $E_{8(8)}$, ...

[Cremmer, Julia(1979)]

- Presence of form fields beyond standard geometry
- Extra (central charge) coordinates beyond $D = 11$?

has led to several **attempts to generalise geometry**

- Double Field Theory [Siegel(1992); Hull(2005); Hohm, Hull, Zwiebach(2010), ...]

- Generalised geometry (and ‘non-geometry’) [Berman, Cederwall,

Kleinschmidt, Thompson(2013); Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram(2014); ...]

- Exceptional geometry [dWN(1986, 2001); HN(1987); KNS(2000); Hillmann(2009);

Berman, Goadazgar, Perry, West(2011); Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram(2011); GGN(2013);

Hohm, Samtleben(2013)]

Generalised Geometry

Idea: ‘lift’ exceptional structures found in lower dimensions back up to $D = 11$ (or $D = 10$).

- Extend tangent space in accordance with R symmetries [dWN(1986);HN(1987)]
- Extend tangent space to include p -forms [Hitchin(2003);Gualtieri(2004)]
- Include windings of M2,M5, and KK branes [Hull(2007);Pacheco,Waldram(2008)]
- Extra (central charge) coordinates
[...;Siegel(1993);dWN(2001);West(2003);Hillmann(2009);Berman,Perry(2011)]

Exceptional duality symmetries necessitate new geometric structures (vielbeine, connections,...) and (perhaps) extra dimensions beyond $D = 11$ → **two options:**

- *Postulate* new structures *ad hoc* (‘top-down approach’).
- *Derive* them by re-writing original theory (‘bottom-up’).
- In either case must ascertain full consistency, either intrinsically or by comparison with original theory.

Cartan's Theorem (1909)

... states that the most general algebra of vector fields on a manifold consists (essentially) of the following three: **diffeomorphisms, volume preserving diffeomorphisms, or symplectomorphisms**. Or: **there are no exceptional algebras of vector fields!** Thus, if a generalised vielbein $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ transforms according to

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}}(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}}(y') = \frac{\partial y'^{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{A}}(y)$$

we can never arrange things such that

$$\frac{\partial y'^{\mathcal{M}}(y)}{\partial y^{\mathcal{N}}} \in E_{7(7)} \subset GL(56, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{for all } y$$

\Rightarrow extra coordinates are not for real!

... as was to be expected since there appear to exist no consistent supergravity theories beyond $D = 11$ dimensions (at least, no one has found any so far...)!

More Motivation

What is to be gained from re-writing a known theory ($D = 11$ supergravity [CJS(1978)]) into a form that is (or is not??) on-shell equivalent to the original theory?

- Derivation of **non-linear Kaluza-Klein ansätze**
 - Consistency of S^7 compactification [dWN(1987), Pilch, HN(2012), GGN(2013)]
 - Scherk-Schwarz compactifications [Samtleben(2008); GGN(2013)]
- Understanding origin of **embedding tensor** from higher dimensions and compactification.
- ... and perhaps: **new maximal supergravities?**
[Dall'Agata, Inverso, Trigiante(2012); dWN(2013)]

Also, crucial new insights for (a long term project!)

- Infinite dimensional extensions: E_{10} [Julia(1983); DHN(2002), ...]
or E_{11} [West(2001)] and emergent space-time?

Reminder: $E_{7(7)}$ from dimensional reduction

Starting from $D = 11$ supergravity [Cremmer, Julia, Scherk (1978)] split coordinates as $z^M = (x^\mu, y^m)$ and perform 4+7 split of bosonic fields G_{MN} and A_{MNP} :

$$G_{MN} : \quad G_{mn}(28) \oplus G_{m\mu}(7) \oplus G_{\mu\nu}(1)$$

$$A_{MNP} : \quad A_{mnp}(35) \oplus A_{\mu mn}(21) \oplus A_{\mu\nu m}(7) \oplus A_{\mu\nu\rho}(1)$$

To get proper count of scalar degrees of freedom \rightarrow dualize seven 2-form fields $A_{\mu\nu m}$ [Cremmer, Julia (1979)]

$$28 + 35 + 7 = 70 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(x) \in E_{7(7)}/\text{SU}(8)$$

Key Question: is this structure peculiar to torus reduction, or can it be lifted back up to $D = 11$?

And: is there a way to reformulate $D = 11$ (or IIA, IIB,...) supergravity that makes these hidden symmetries manifest? [\rightarrow B.deWit and HN, NPB274(1986)363; HN, PLB187(1987)316]

Dualities in eleven dimensions

3-form/6-form duality

$$F_{M_1 \dots M_7} = 7! D_{[M_1} A_{M_2 \dots M_7]} + 7! \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} A_{[M_1 M_2 M_3} D_{M_4} A_{M_5 M_6 M_7]} \\ - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{192} i \epsilon_{M_1 \dots M_{11}} \left(\bar{\Psi}_R \tilde{\Gamma}^{M_8 \dots M_{11} RS} \Psi_S + 12 \bar{\Psi}^{M_8} \tilde{\Gamma}^{M_9 M_{10}} \Psi^{M_{11}} \right)$$

defines dual 6-form $A^{(6)} \equiv A_{MNPQRS}$, with

$$\delta A_{MNPQRS} = -\frac{3}{6! \sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{MNPQR} \Psi_S + \frac{1}{8} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{[MN} \Psi_P A_{QRS]}$$

Relations are **valid on-shell and at full non-linear level**.

By contrast, dualisation of gravity works only at linear level, and without matter sources:

$$G_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + h_{MN} : \quad h_{MN} \longleftrightarrow h_{M_1 \dots M_8 | N}$$

In particular, ‘dual supergravity’ does not even exist at linear level. [Bergshoeff, deRoo, Kerstan, Kleinschmidt, Riccioni (2008)]

Existing no go theorems suggest that $D = 11$ Lorentz covariance must be abandoned if interactions are to be included consistently! [Bekaert, Boulanger, Henneaux(2003)]

\Rightarrow more $4+7$ decompositions:

$$A_{MNPQRS} : A_{mnpqrs}(7) \oplus A_{\mu mnpqr}(21) \oplus A_{\mu\nu mnpq}(35) \oplus A_{\mu\nu\rho mnp}(35) \oplus \dots$$

$$h_{M_1\dots M_8|N} : \emptyset \oplus h_{\mu mnpqrst|u}(7) \oplus h_{\mu\nu mnpqrs|t}(49) \oplus h_{\mu\nu\rho mnpqr|s}(147) \oplus \dots$$

Now we see that also fields other than scalars can be re-packaged into $E_{7(7)}$ multiplets **in eleven dimensions**:

$$\text{Vectors} : 7 \oplus 21 \oplus \bar{21} \oplus \bar{7} = \mathbf{56} \quad (\text{electromagnetic duality})$$

$$\text{2-forms} : 7 \oplus 35 \oplus 49 \oplus \dots = \mathbf{133} \quad (E_{7(7)} \text{ Noether current})$$

$$\text{3-forms} : 1 \oplus 35 \oplus 147 \oplus \dots = \mathbf{912} \quad (\text{embedding tensor})$$

\rightarrow Beyond kinematics main challenge is to show that full $D = 11$ theory (supersymmetry variations and field equations) can be rewritten in an $E_{7(7)} \times \text{SU}(8)$ covariant way!

NPB274(1986)363 in short

4+7 decomposition of elfbein (in triangular gauge)

$$E_M^A(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1/2} e'_\mu{}^\alpha & B_\mu{}^m e_m{}^a \\ 0 & e_m{}^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta \equiv \det e_m{}^a$$

Similar redefinitions of fermions \rightarrow chiral SU(8)

$$\varphi'_\mu = \Delta^{-1/4} (i\gamma_5)^{-1/2} e'_\mu{}^\alpha (\Psi_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \gamma_\alpha \Gamma^a \Psi_a), \quad \varphi_\mu{}^A \text{ or } \varphi_{\mu A} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_5) \varphi'_{\mu A}$$

$$\chi'_{ABC} = \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2} i \Delta^{-1/4} (i\gamma_5)^{-1/2} \Psi_{a[A} \Gamma^a_{BC]}, \quad \chi^{ABC} \text{ or } \chi_{ABC} \equiv (1 \pm \gamma_5) \chi'_{ABC}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta B_\mu{}^m = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} e_{AB}^m \left[2\sqrt{2} \bar{\varepsilon}^A \varphi_\mu{}^B + \bar{\varepsilon}_C \gamma'_\mu \chi^{ABC} \right] + \text{h.c.}$$

with **generalised vielbein** \equiv **GV**

$$e_{AB}^m = i \Delta^{-1/2} (\Phi^T \Gamma^m \Phi)_{AB}, \quad \Phi(x, y) \in \text{SU}(8)$$

whence e_{AB}^m becomes an SU(8) tensor!

Tangent space symmetry: $SO(1, 10) \rightarrow SO(1, 3) \times \text{SU}(8)$

Generalization to remaining $21 + 21 + 7 = 49$ vectors: [dWN, GGN(2013)]

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu{}^m = -\frac{1}{2}B_\mu{}^m, \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mu mn} = -3\sqrt{2} (A_{\mu mn} - B_\mu{}^p A_{pmn}),$$

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu{}^{mn} = -3\sqrt{2} \eta^{mnp_1 \dots p_5} \left(A_{\mu p_1 \dots p_5} - B_\mu{}^q A_{qp_1 \dots p_5} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (A_{\mu p_1 p_2} - B_\mu{}^q A_{qp_1 p_2}) A_{p_3 p_4 p_5} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\mu m} = & -18 \eta^{n_1 \dots n_7} \left(A_{\mu n_1 \dots n_7, m} + (3\tilde{c} - 1) (A_{\mu n_1 \dots n_5} - B_\mu{}^p A_{pn_1 \dots n_5}) A_{n_6 n_7 m} \right. \\ & \left. + \tilde{c} A_{n_1 \dots n_6} (A_{\mu n_7 m} - B_\mu{}^p A_{pn_7 m}) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{12} (A_{\mu n_1 n_2} - B_\mu{}^p A_{pn_1 n_2}) A_{n_3 n_4 n_5} A_{n_6 n_7 m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\mu m}$ = dual (magnetic) graviphoton. Requiring

$$\delta B_{\mu mn} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} e_{mn AB} \left[2\sqrt{2} \bar{\epsilon}^A \varphi_\mu{}^B + \bar{\epsilon}_C \gamma'_\mu \chi^{ABC} \right] + \text{h.c.}$$

leads to more generalised vielbein components \Rightarrow extend e_{AB}^m to full 56-plet $(e_{AB}^m, e_{mnAB}, e_{AB}^{mn}, e_{mAB}) \equiv$ **56-bein in eleven dimensions!**

56-bein in eleven dimensions

$$\mathcal{V}^m{}_{AB} = \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{8} e_{AB}^m = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \Delta^{-1/2} \Gamma_{AB}^m \equiv \mathcal{V}^{m8}{}_{AB} \equiv -\mathcal{V}^{8m}{}_{AB},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{mnAB} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \Delta^{-1/2} \left(\Gamma_{mnAB} + 6\sqrt{2} A_{mnp} \Gamma_{AB}^p \right),$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{mn}{}_{AB} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{5!} \eta^{mnp_1 \dots p_5} \Delta^{-1/2} \left[\Gamma_{p_1 \dots p_5 AB} + 60\sqrt{2} A_{p_1 p_2 p_3} \Gamma_{p_4 p_5 AB} \right. \\ \left. - 6! \sqrt{2} \left(A_{qp_1 \dots p_5} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} A_{qp_1 p_2} A_{p_3 p_4 p_5} \right) \Gamma_{AB}^q \right],$$

$$\mathcal{V}_m{}_{AB} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{7!} \eta^{p_1 \dots p_7} \Delta^{-1/2} \left[(\Gamma_{p_1 \dots p_7} \Gamma_m)_{AB} + 126\sqrt{2} A_{mp_1 p_2} \Gamma_{p_3 \dots p_7 AB} \right. \\ \left. + 3\sqrt{2} \times 7! \left(A_{mp_1 \dots p_5} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} A_{mp_1 p_2} A_{p_3 p_4 p_5} \right) \Gamma_{p_6 p_7 AB} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{9!}{2} \left(A_{mp_1 \dots p_5} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{12} A_{mp_1 p_2} A_{p_3 p_4 p_5} \right) A_{p_6 p_7 q} \Gamma_{AB}^q \right]$$

$\mathcal{V}(e, A^{(3)}, A^{(6)})$ has all the requisite properties of an $E_{7(7)}$ matrix:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{MN}}^{AB} \equiv (\mathcal{V}_{\text{MN}AB})^* \quad , \quad \mathcal{V}^{\text{MN}AB} \equiv (\mathcal{V}^{\text{MN}}_{AB})^*$$

where we have combined the $GL(7)$ indices into $SL(8)$ indices

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{MN}} \equiv (\mathcal{V}_{mn}, \mathcal{V}_{m8}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{V}^{\text{MN}} \equiv (\mathcal{V}^{mn}, \mathcal{V}^{m8})$$

With proper $E_{7(7)}$ indices $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \dots$ in **56** representation

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}} \equiv (\mathcal{V}_{\text{MN}}, \mathcal{V}^{\text{MN}}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}} = \Omega^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \equiv (\mathcal{V}^{\text{MN}}, -\mathcal{V}_{\text{MN}})$$

and symplectic form $\Omega^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}^{AB} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}AB} - \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AB} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{AB} = i \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}},$$

$$\Omega^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}^{AB} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}CD} = i \delta_{CD}^{AB},$$

$$\Omega^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}^{AB} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{CD} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \in Sp(56, \mathbb{R})$$

(for $E_{7(7)}$ have to work a little harder...)

\Rightarrow $E_{7(7)}$ covariant form of vector transformation in $D = 11$:

$$\delta \mathcal{B}_{\mu}^{\mathcal{M}} = i \mathcal{V}_{AB}^{\mathcal{M}} \left(\bar{\varepsilon}_C \gamma_{\mu} \chi^{ABC} + 2\sqrt{2} \bar{\varepsilon}^A \psi_{\mu}^B \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Extending general covariance

Standard behaviour under internal diffeomorphisms $\xi^m = \xi^m(x, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{V}^m_{AB} &= \xi^p\partial_p\mathcal{V}^m_{AB} - \partial_p\xi^m\mathcal{V}^p_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_p\xi^p\mathcal{V}^m_{AB} \\ \delta\mathcal{V}_{mnAB} &= \xi^p\partial_p\mathcal{V}_{mnAB} - 2\partial_{[m}\xi^p\mathcal{V}_{n]pAB} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_p\xi^p\mathcal{V}_{mnAB} \\ \delta\mathcal{V}^{mn}_{AB} &= \xi^p\partial_p\mathcal{V}^{mn}_{AB} + 2\partial_p\xi^{[m}\mathcal{V}^{n]p}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_p\xi^p\mathcal{V}^{mn}_{AB} \\ \delta\mathcal{V}_m{}_{AB} &= \xi^p\partial_p\mathcal{V}_m{}_{AB} + \partial_m\xi^p\mathcal{V}_p{}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_p\xi^p\mathcal{V}_m{}_{AB}\end{aligned}$$

Due to its explicit dependence on $A^{(3)}$ and $A^{(6)}$ \mathcal{V} also transforms under 2-form gauge transformations with parameter $\xi_{mn}(x, y)$:

$$\delta A_{mnp} = 3!\partial_{[m}\xi_{np]} \quad , \quad \delta A_{mnpqrs} = 3\sqrt{2}\partial_{[m}\xi_{np}A_{qrs]} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{V}^m_{AB} &= 0, & \delta\mathcal{V}_{mnAB} &= 36\sqrt{2}\partial_{[m}\xi_{np]}\mathcal{V}^p_{AB}, \\ \delta\mathcal{V}^{mn}_{AB} &= 3\sqrt{2}\eta^{mnpqrst}\partial_p\xi_{qr}\mathcal{V}_{stAB}, & \delta\mathcal{V}_m{}_{AB} &= 18\sqrt{2}\partial_{[m}\xi_{np]}\mathcal{V}^{np}_{AB}\end{aligned}$$

Idem for 5-form gauge transformations

$$\delta A_{mnp} = 0 \quad , \quad \delta A_{mnpqrs} = 6! \partial_{[m} \xi_{npqrs]} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\delta \mathcal{V}_{AB}^m = \delta \mathcal{V}_{mnAB} = 0, \quad \delta \mathcal{V}^{mn}_{AB} = 6 \cdot 6! \sqrt{2} \eta^{mnp_1 \dots p_5} \partial_{[q} \xi_{p_1 \dots p_5]} \mathcal{V}^q_{AB},$$

$$\delta \mathcal{V}_{mAB} = 3 \cdot 6! \sqrt{2} \eta^{n_1 \dots n_7} \partial_{[m} \xi_{n_1 \dots n_5]} \mathcal{V}_{n_6 n_7 AB}$$

These formulas can be neatly summarised as

$$\delta_\Lambda \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\Lambda \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AB}$$

with $\Lambda^{\mathcal{M}} \equiv (\xi^m, \xi_{mn}, \xi^{mn}, \xi_m)$ and generalised Lie derivative:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\Lambda X_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{\mathcal{N}} \partial_{\mathcal{N}} X_{\mathcal{M}} + 6 (t^\alpha)_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}} (t_\alpha)_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{Q}} \partial_{\mathcal{Q}} \Lambda^{\mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{N}} + \frac{1}{2} w \partial_{\mathcal{N}} \Lambda^{\mathcal{N}} X^{\mathcal{M}}$$

\Rightarrow unifies internal diffeomorphisms and tensor gauge transformations and suggests extra coordinates: 4+56 instead of 4+7?

But only consistent with Section Constraint:

$$t_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \partial_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \partial_{\mathcal{N}} = \Omega^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \partial_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \partial_{\mathcal{N}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_{\mathcal{M}} = 0 \text{ for } \mathcal{M} \neq m$$

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram(2012); Berman, Cederwall, Kleinschmidt, Thompson(2013)]

Back to seven (or six) internal coordinates!

Generalised Vielbein Postulate = GVP

56-bein obeys a generalisation of the usual GVP, both for external and internal dimensions. **For external dimensions, we have**

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AB} + 2\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AB} + \mathcal{Q}_\mu^C [A \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}B]C} = \mathcal{P}_{\mu ABCD} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}^{CD}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\Lambda$ was defined above. To be compared with $D = 4$ relation

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}ij} - g \mathcal{B}_\mu^{\mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{Q}_\mu^k [i \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}j]k} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}ij} = \mathcal{P}_{\mu ijkl} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}^{kl}$$

where $X_{\mathcal{M}}$ generate the gauge algebra \Rightarrow **furnishes higher dimensional origin of embedding tensor $\Theta_{\mathcal{M}}^\alpha$ via**

$$X_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \Theta_{\mathcal{M}}^\alpha (t_\alpha)_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{P}}$$

This correspondence has been checked for S^7 compactification (where gauging is purely electric) [GGN:1309.0266] and Scherk-Schwarz compactifications [GGN:1312.1061] (where gauge fields are usually both electric and magnetic).

\rightarrow may thus explain new SO(8) gaugings [Dall'Agata, Inverso, Trigiante, PRL109(2012)201301] via U(1) duality rotation in $D = 11!$

Internal GVP à la dWN and GGN

$$\partial_m \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AB} - \Gamma_{m\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}AB} + Q_{m[A}^C \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}B]C} = P_{mABCD} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}^{CD}$$

with SU(8) connection

$$Q_{mA}^B = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{mab} \Gamma_{AB}^{ab} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{48} F_{mabc} \Gamma_{AB}^{abc} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{14 \cdot 6!} F_{mabcdef} \Gamma_{AB}^{abcdef},$$

and ‘non-metricity’

$$P_{mABCD} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{32} F_{mabc} \Gamma_{[AB}^a \Gamma_{CD]}^{bc} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{56 \cdot 5!} F_{mabcdef} \Gamma_{[AB}^a \Gamma_{CD]}^{bcdef}$$

$\mathbf{E}_{7(7)}$ -valued generalised ‘affine’ connection $\Gamma_{m\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}} = \Gamma_m^\alpha (t_\alpha)_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_m)_n^p &\equiv -\Gamma_{mn}^p + \frac{1}{4} \delta_n^p \Gamma_{mq}^q, & (\Gamma_m)_8^8 &= -\frac{3}{4} \Gamma_{mn}^n, \\ (\Gamma_m)_8^n &= \sqrt{2} \eta^{np_1 \dots p_6} \Xi_{m|p_1 \dots p_6}, & (\Gamma_m)^{n_1 \dots n_4} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \eta^{n_1 \dots n_4 p_1 p_2 p_3} \Xi_{m|p_1 p_2 p_3} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{p|mnq} &\equiv D_p A_{mnq} - \frac{1}{4!} F_{pmnq} &\Rightarrow \Xi_{[m|npq]} &= 0 \\ \Xi_{p|m_1 \dots m_6} &\equiv D_p A_{m_1 \dots m_6} - \frac{1}{7!} F_{pm_1 \dots m_6} + \dots &\Rightarrow \Xi_{[p|m_1 \dots m_6]} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- These connections (as determined from $D = 11$ supergravity) satisfy all covariance properties!
- but have non-vanishing components only along seven dimensions, vanish along all other directions.

So what about connection coefficients for $\mathcal{M} \neq m$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_M \mathcal{V}_{NAB} - \Gamma_{MN}{}^P \mathcal{V}_{PAB} + Q_{\mathcal{M}[A}^C \mathcal{V}_{NB]C} = P_{MABCD} \mathcal{V}_N{}^{CD} ??$$

Possible (and even required, see below), but:

- Connections become highly ambiguous, and are not fixed by requiring absence of (generalised) torsion.
- Full (generalised) covariance incompatible with expressibility in terms of \mathcal{V} and $\partial\mathcal{V}$ only.
- **Remarkably, supersymmetric theory is insensitive to these ambiguities and other difficulties!**

Torsion

Definition from generalised geometry [CSW(2014); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson(2013)]

$$\mathcal{T}_{NK}{}^M = \Gamma_{NK}{}^M - 12 \mathbb{P}^M{}_{K^P}{}^Q \Gamma_{PN}{}^Q + 4 \mathbb{P}^M{}_{K^P}{}^N \Gamma_{QP}{}^Q$$

This is the **912 representation** in $56 \times 133 \rightarrow 56 \oplus 912 \oplus 6480$.

A simple component-wise calculation using the components of Γ shows that the generalised torsion does indeed vanish, *e.g.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{m8n8}{}^{p8} &= \Gamma_{m8n8}{}^{p8} - 48 \mathbb{P}^{p8}{}_{n8}{}^{q8}{}_{r8} \Gamma_{q8m8}{}^{r8} + 16 \mathbb{P}^{p8}{}_{n8}{}^{q8}{}_{m8} \Gamma_{r8q8}{}^{r8} \\ &= \Gamma_{[mn]}{}^p - \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{r[m}{}^r \delta_{n]}^p = 0 \end{aligned}$$

if ordinary torsion $\Gamma_{[mn]}{}^p = 0$. Similarly (using $\mathbb{P}^{pq}{}_{n8}{}^{r8}{}_{st} = -\frac{1}{12} \delta_{n[s}{}^{pq} \delta_{t]}^r$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{m8n8}{}^{pq} &= \Gamma_{m8n8}{}^{pq} + 2 \Gamma_{r8m8}{}^{r[p} \delta_{n]}^{q]} \\ &= 3\sqrt{2} \eta^{pqt_1 \dots t_5} \left(\Xi_{m|nt_1 \dots t_5} - \Xi_{n|mt_1 \dots t_5} + 5 \Xi_{t_1|mnt_2 \dots t_5} \right) \\ &= 21\sqrt{2} \eta^{pqt_1 \dots t_5} \Xi_{[m|nt_1 \dots t_5]} = 0 \quad \textit{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow irreducibility properties of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{MN}}{}^{\mathcal{P}}$ are crucial for $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{MN}}{}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0!$

[GGNHS, to appear]

Absorbing non-metricity

[Hehl, VonDerHeyde, Kerlick, Nester (1976); M. Perry, private communication]

Cf. GVP of ordinary differential geometry

$$\partial_m e_n^a + \omega_m^a{}_b e_n^b - \Gamma_{mn}^p e_p^a = 0$$

But there is a more general expression

$$\partial_m e_n^a + \omega_m^a{}_b e_n^b - \Gamma_{mn}^p e_p^a = T_{mn}{}^p e_p^a + P_m^a{}_b e_n^b$$

with torsion $T_{mn}{}^p$ and **non-metricity** $P_{mnp} \equiv \frac{1}{2} D_m g_{np}$, which can be absorbed by redefinitions

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{mn}^p &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{mn}^p - P_{(m}{}^c{}_{|d|} e_n)^d e^p{}_c, \\ T_{mn}{}^p &\longrightarrow T_{mn}{}^p - P_{[m}{}^c{}_{|d|} e_n]^d e^p{}_c \end{aligned}$$

Idem for exceptional geometry:

$$\Gamma_{MN}{}^P \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_{MN}{}^P = \Gamma_{MN}{}^P - i \left(\mathcal{V}_N{}^{AB} P_{MABCD} \mathcal{V}^{PCD} - \mathcal{V}_{NAB} P_M{}^{ABCD} \mathcal{V}^P{}_{CD} \right)$$

so that the internal GVP becomes

$$\partial_M \mathcal{V}_{NAB} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{MN}{}^P \mathcal{V}_{PAB} + Q_{M[A}^C \mathcal{V}_{N]B}{}^C = 0$$

Supersymmetric theory

Supersymmetry variations of bosonic fields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta e_\mu^\alpha &= \bar{\varepsilon}^A \gamma^\alpha \psi_{\mu A} + \bar{\varepsilon}_A \gamma^\alpha \psi_\mu^A \\ \delta \mathcal{B}_\mu^M &= i \mathcal{V}^M_{AB} \left(\bar{\varepsilon}_C \gamma_\mu \chi^{ABC} + 2\sqrt{2} \bar{\varepsilon}^A \psi_\mu^B \right) + \text{h.c.} \\ \delta \mathcal{V}^M_{AB} &= 2\sqrt{2} \mathcal{V}^{MCD} \left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{[A} \chi_{BCD]} + \frac{1}{24} \epsilon_{ABCDEFGH} \bar{\varepsilon}^E \chi^{FGH} \right)\end{aligned}$$

are *derived* from $D = 11$ SUGRA in [dWN, GGN], while *postulated* in recent approaches to exceptional geometry.

To establish agreement for the supersymmetry variations of fermions is more tricky! Recall [dWN(1986)]

$$\begin{aligned}\delta \psi_\mu^A &\propto \dots + e^{mAB} \partial_m (\gamma_\mu \varepsilon_B) + \frac{1}{2} e^{mAB} Q_{mB}{}^C \gamma_\mu \varepsilon_C - \frac{1}{2} e_{CD}^m P_m^{ABCD} \gamma_\mu \varepsilon_D \\ \delta \chi^{ABC} &\propto \dots + e^{m[AB} \partial_m \varepsilon^{C]} - \frac{1}{2} e^{m[AB} Q_{mD}{}^{C]} \varepsilon^D - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} e_{DE}^m P_m^{DE[AB} \varepsilon^{C]} - \frac{2}{3} e_{DE}^m P_m^{ABCD} \varepsilon^E\end{aligned}$$

To absorb non-metricity P_m^{ABCD} in these variations, must redefine SU(8) connection [GGNHS, to appear]

$$Q_{mA}{}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B \equiv Q_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B + \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B$$

where

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B = R_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B + \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B &\equiv \frac{4i}{3} (\mathcal{V}^{nBC} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{DE} P_{nACDE} + \mathcal{V}^n{}_{AC} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}DE} P_n{}^{BCDE}) \\ &\quad + \frac{20i}{27} (\mathcal{V}^{nDE} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{BC} P_{nACDE} + \mathcal{V}^n{}_{DE} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}AC} P_n{}^{BCDE}) \\ &\quad - \frac{7i}{27} \delta_A{}^B (\mathcal{V}^{nCD} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{EF} P_{nCDEF} + \mathcal{V}^n{}_{CD} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}EF} P_n{}^{CDEF}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}A}{}^B = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}CD} u^{CD,B}{}_A - \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{CD} u_{CD,A}{}^B$$

where $u^{[CD,B]}{}_A \equiv 0$, $u^{CA,B}{}_C \equiv 0$ in 1280 of SU(8).

Redefinition requires SU(8) connection components along $\mathcal{M} \neq m$!

Leads to very compact expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi_\mu^A &\propto \dots + \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}AB}\mathcal{D}_M(\mathcal{Q})_B{}^C(\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_C) \\ \delta\chi^{ABC} &\propto \dots + \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}[AB}\mathcal{D}_M(\mathcal{Q})\varepsilon^C\end{aligned}$$

Also: requires extra components \mathcal{Q}_M for $M \neq m$ and

$$\Gamma_{MN}{}^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_{MN}{}^{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \widetilde{\Gamma}_{MN}{}^{\mathcal{P}} + i(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{P}}{}_{AB}\mathcal{Q}_M{}^A{}_C\mathcal{V}_N{}^{BC} - \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{P}AB}\mathcal{Q}_{MA}{}^C\mathcal{V}_{NBC})$$

After all these operations we are left with **fully covariant and torsion-free connections and a standard GVP**

$$\partial_M\mathcal{V}_{NAB} - \widehat{\Gamma}_{MN}{}^{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}AB} + \mathcal{Q}_{M[A}\mathcal{V}_{NB]C} = 0$$

NB: absence of torsion does *not* fix affine connection uniquely, irremovable ambiguity is in 1280 of SU(8).

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram(2012); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson(2013); GGNHS(2014)]

Conclusions

- Starting from ‘old’ results [dWN(1986);GGN(2013)] one can construct generalised SU(8) and affine connections that satisfy all required covariance properties.
- These *cannot* be written in terms of just \mathcal{V} and $\partial\mathcal{V}$, unlike in General Relativity, even with zero torsion.
- SUSY theory smartly picks just the right combinations which are insensitive to ambiguities/difficulties encountered in generalised geometry constructions.
- Only in this supersymmetric context ‘old’ results agree with more recent constructions! [GGNHS(2014)]
- New theories by ω -deformations?