

Semantic Lego

David Espinosa
Department of Computer Science
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027
espinosa@cs.columbia.edu
March 31, 1995

Semantic Lego

SL builds interpreters from parts.

- Based on denotational semantics
- Covers all of Schmidt (1986)
- Implemented in Scheme

Why? To study

- Languages
- Semantics
- Modularity
- Extensibility

Contributions

- Re-present programming languages as ADTs.
- Re-present Moggi's theory of lifting.
- Develop two styles of hand-written modular interpreters.
- Develop theory of stratification (extends Mosses).
- Implement theory of lifting.
- Implement theory of stratification.

Outline

- * Usual interpreters aren't modular
- Modular interpreters by hand
- Modular interpreters by machine
- Technical aspects
- Conclusion

Languages as ADTs

```

compute : Exp           → Ans

%num      : Num          → Exp
%+        : Exp × Exp   → Exp

%abort    : Exp          → Exp

%var      : Name         → Exp
%lambda   : Name × Exp → Exp
%call     : Exp × Exp   → Exp

(compute
  (%call (%lambda 'x (%+ (%var 'x) (%var 'x)))
          (%abort (%num 5))))
=> 5

```

An implementation

```

;; Den  = Env -> Cont -> Ans
;; Proc = Val -> Cont -> Ans
;; Cont = Val -> Ans

(define (compute den)
  ((den (empty-env)) val->ans))

(define (((%num n) env) k)
  (k n))

(define (((%+ d1 d2) env) k)
  ((d1 env)
   (lambda (v1)
     ((d2 env)
      (lambda (v2) (k (+ v1 v2)))))))

(define (((%var name) env) k)
  (k (env-lookup env name)))

(define (((%lambda name den) env) k)
  (k (lambda (val) (den (env-extend env name val)))))

(define (((%call d1 d2) env) k)
  ((d1 env)
   (lambda (v1)
     ((d2 env)
      (lambda (v2)
        ((v1 v2) k))))))

(define (((%abort den) env) k)
  ((den env) val->ans))

```

Problems

Not modular!

- Each construct involves all modules.
- Hard to understand and reason about.
- Definitions are too specialized.

Outline

- Usual interpreters aren't modular
- * Modular interpreters by hand
- Modular interpreters by machine
- Technical aspects
- Conclusion

Computation ADTs

Split language definitions into two parts:

Computation ADT defines the “basic semantics”,
a type of denotations and operators on it.

Language ADT defines the actual constructs, as before.

Reference: Mosses

Stratification

Computation ADT is built from:

- a set of levels
- a set of lifting operators relating levels

Levels

Type constructors:

$$\begin{aligned} E(A) &= Env \rightarrow (A \rightarrow Ans) \rightarrow Ans \\ C(A) &= (A \rightarrow Ans) \rightarrow Ans \\ V(A) &= A \end{aligned}$$

Lifting operators 1

Monad = (T , `unit`, `bind`)

Type constructor T

Families of maps:

`unit` : $A \rightarrow T(A)$

`bind` : $(A \rightarrow T(B)) \rightarrow (T(A) \rightarrow T(B))$

`bind(unit)` = `id`

`bind(f) o unit` = `f`

`bind(g) o bind(f)` = `bind(bind(g) o f)`

Lifting operators 2

Monad = (T , `unit`, `join`)

Endofunctor T :

`map` : $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (T(A) \rightarrow T(B))$

Natural transformations:

`unit` : $A \rightarrow T(A)$

`join` : $T(T(A)) \rightarrow T(A)$

`join` o `unit` = `id`

`join` o `map(unit)` = `id`

`join` o `map(join)` = `join` o `join`

Monad examples 1

```
; ; T(A) = List(A)

(define (unit a)
  (list a))

(define ((map f) ta)
  (list-map f ta))

(define ((bind f) ta)
  (append-map f ta))

(define (join tta)
  (reduce append '() tta))
```

Monad examples 2

```
; ; T(A) = Env -> A

(define ((unit a) env)
  a)

(define (((map f) ta) env)
  (f (ta env)))

(define (((bind f) ta) env)
  ((f (ta env)) env))

(define ((join tta) env)
  ((tta env) env))
```

Monads relate levels

A monad T *relates* L_1 to L_2 if

$$L_2 = T \circ L_1$$

- V is related to C by the continuation monad.
- C is related to E by the environment monad.
- V is related to E by a combined monad.

Example monads

Monad	Action $T(A) =$
Identity	A
Lists	$List(A)$
Lifting	$1 \rightarrow A$
Environments	$Env \rightarrow A$
Stores	$Sto \rightarrow A \times Sto$
Exceptions	$A + X$
Monoids	$A \times M$
Continuations	$(A \rightarrow Ans) \rightarrow Ans$
Resumptions	$fix(X)$ ($A + X$)

Monads in use

```
(define (%+ d1 d2)
  (bindVE d1
    (lambda (n1)
      (bindVE d2
        (lambda (n2)
          (unitVE (+ n1 n2)))))))
```

Computation ADT

```

;; E(A) = Env -> C(A)
;; C(A) = (A -> Ans) -> Ans
;; V(A) = A

;; unitAB : A -> B
;; bindAB : B * (A -> B) -> B

(define ((unitVC v) k)
  (k v))

(define ((unitCE c) env)
  c)

(define (unitVE v)
  (unitCE (unitVC v)))

(define ((bindVC c f) k)
  (c (lambda (v) ((f v) k)))))

(define ((bindCE e f) env)
  ((f (e env)) env))

(define ((bindVE e f) env)
  (bindVC (e env)
    (lambda (v) ((f v) env))))

```

Language ADT

```

;; Proc = V -> C

(define (compute den)
  ((den (empty-env)) val->ans))

(define (%num n) (unitVE n))

(define (%+ d1 d2)
  (bindVE d1
    (lambda (n1)
      (bindVE d2
        (lambda (n2)
          (unitVE (+ n1 n2)))))))

(define ((%var name) env)
  (unitVC (env-lookup env name)))

(define ((%lambda var den) env)
  (unitVC (lambda (val) (den (env-extend env var val)))))

(define (%call d1 d2)
  (bindVE d1
    (lambda (p)
      (bindVE d2 (lambda (a) (unitCE (p a)))))))

(define (%abort den)
  (bindCE den
    (lambda (c)
      (unitCE (lambda (k) (c val->ans))))))

```

Outline

- Usual interpreters aren't modular
- Modular interpreters by hand
- * Modular interpreters by machine
- Technical aspects
- Conclusion

Kitchen sink language

<code>compute</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Ans$
<code>%unit</code>	$: 1$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%true</code>	$: 1$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%false</code>	$: 1$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%num</code>	$: Num$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%+ , %*</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%=? , %>?</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%or , %and</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%not</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%number?</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%boolean?</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%callcc</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%amb</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%fail</code>	$: 1$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%while</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%if</code>	$: Exp \times Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%begin</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%store</code>	$: Loc \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%fetch</code>	$: Loc$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%var</code>	$: Name$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%lambda</code>	$: Name \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%let</code>	$: Name \times Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%fix</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%rec</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%letrec</code>	$: Name \times Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%write</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%error</code>	$: String$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%pair</code>	$: Exp \times Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%left</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$
<code>%right</code>	$: Exp$	$\rightarrow Exp$

Kitchen sink example program

```
(compute
(%begin
(%store 'n (%amb (%num 4) (%num 5)))
(%store 'r (%num 1))
(%callcc
(%lambda 'exit
(%letrec 'loop
(%lambda 'u
(%begin
(%if (%zero? (%fetch 'n))
(%call (%var 'exit) (%fetch 'r))
(%unit))
(%write (%pair (%fetch 'n) (%fetch 'r)))
(%store 'r (%* (%fetch 'r) (%fetch 'n)))
(%store 'n (%- (%fetch 'n) (%num 1)))
(%call (%var 'loop) (%unit))))
(%call (%var 'loop) (%unit)))))))
(value: (24 120)
output: ((pair 4 1) (pair 3 4) (pair 2 12) (pair 1 24)
(pair 5 1) (pair 4 5) (pair 3 20)
(pair 2 60) (pair 1 120)))
```

Language specifications

Input:

- A list of (predefined) semantic modules

Output:

- An interpreter

Interpreter is used to

- Execute programs
- Produce semantics via program simplifier

Kitchen sink specification

```
(define computations
  (construct-type
    cbn-environments
    lifting1
    stores
    continuations1
    lists
    output
    errors))

(show-computations)
(-> Env
  (Lift (-> Sto
    (Let A0 (+ (* (List Ans) Out) Err)
      (-> (-> (* Val Sto) A0) A0)))))

(load "error-exceptions" "numbers" "booleans" "products"
  "procedures" "environments" "begin" "stores" "while"
  "callcc" "amb" "output" "fix")
```

Generic %amb

```
(define %amb
  (let ((unit (get-unit 'lists 'top))
        (bind (get-bind 'lists 'top)))
    (lambda (x y)
      (bind x
            (lambda (x)
              (bind y
                    (lambda (y)
                      (unit (append x y))))))))))
```

Generic %callcc

```
(define %callcc
  (let ((mapC (get-map 'conts 'top))
        (mapK (get-map 'conts 'env-results))
        (iunitK (get-iunit 'conts 'env-results))
        (unitE (get-unit 'env-values 'env-results))
        (unitP (get-value-unit 'procedures 'env-values))
        (unitR (get-unit 'cont-values 'env-results))
        (bindS (get-value-bind 'procedures 'env-results)))

    (define (tilt cv f)
      (iunitK (bindS (unitR cv) f)))

    (lambda (exp)
      (mapC exp
            (lambda (cont)
              (lambda (k)

                (define (callcc-proc v)
                  (mapK (unitE v)
                        (lambda (cont)
                          (lambda (k1) (cont k)))))

                (cont
                  (lambda (cv)
                    ((tilt cv
                           (lambda (p)
                             (p (unitP callcc-proc))))
                     k))))))))
```

Simplified constructs

$$Den = Env \rightarrow (Val \rightarrow List(Ans)) \rightarrow List(Ans)$$

```
(define (%amb x y)
  (lambda (env)
    (lambda (k)
      (reduce append ()
        (map k (append ((x env) list)
                      ((y env) list)))))))

(define (%callcc den)
  (lambda (env)
    (lambda (k)
      (define (callcc-proc v)
        (lambda (k1) (k v)))
      ((den env)
        (lambda (cv)
          (if (is? 'procedures cv)
              (((value cv)
                (in 'procedures callcc-proc)) k)
              (k (in 'errors
                  (type-error
                    'procedures (type cv)))))))))))
```

Resumption example

$Den = fix(X) \ Sto \rightarrow List((Val + X) \times Sto)$

```
(define computations
  (make-computations resumptions stores lists))

(compute
  (%par (%num 1) (%num 2) (%num 3)))
  (3 3 2 2 1 1)

(compute
  (%seq
    (%store 'x (%num 1))
    (%store 'go (%true)))
  (%par
    (%store 'go (%false))
    (%while (%and (%fetch 'go)
                  (%< (%fetch 'x) (%num 7)))
      (%pause (%store 'x (%1+ (%fetch 'x)))))))
  (%fetch 'x)))

(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7)
```

Outline

- Usual interpreters aren't modular
- Modular interpreters by hand
- Modular interpreters by machine
- * Technical aspects
- Conclusion

Monads don't compose

Suppose we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unitS} &: Id \rightarrow S \\ \text{unitT} &: Id \rightarrow T \\ \text{joinS} &: SS \rightarrow S \\ \text{joinT} &: TT \rightarrow T \end{aligned}$$

We want:

$$\text{joinST} : STST \rightarrow ST$$

Can't define it!

Monad transformers

Transformer	Action $F(T)(A) =$
Identity	$T(A)$
Lists	$T(List(A))$
Lifting 1	$1 \rightarrow T(A)$
Lifting 2	$T(1 \rightarrow A)$
Environments	$Env \rightarrow T(A)$
Stores	$Sto \rightarrow T(A \times Sto)$
Exceptions	$T(A + X)$
Monoids	$T(A \times M)$
Continuations	$(A \rightarrow T(Ans)) \rightarrow T(Ans)$
Resumptions	$fix(X) \ T(A + X)$

Monad transformer types

Type	Form	Examples
Top	$F(T) = S \circ T$	Environments
Bottom	$F(T) = T \circ U$	Lists, Monoids
Around	$F(T) = S \circ T \circ U$	Stores

Stratified example 1

Let's build:

$$Den = Env \rightarrow Sto \rightarrow List(Val \times Sto)$$

Start with:

$$L_1(A) = A$$

$$T_{11}(A) = A$$

Stratified example 2

Apply $F(T)(A) = T(List(A))$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(A) &= List(A) \\ L_1(A) &= A \end{aligned}$$

$$T_{12}(A) = List(A)$$

Stratified example 3

Apply $F(T)(A) = Sto \rightarrow T(A \times Sto)$:

$$L_4(A) = Sto \rightarrow List(Sto \times A)$$

$$L_3(A) = List(Sto \times A)$$

$$L_2(A) = Sto \times A$$

$$L_1(A) = A$$

$$T_{34}(A) = Sto \rightarrow A$$

$$T_{23}(A) = List(A)$$

$$T_{24}(A) = Sto \rightarrow List(A)$$

$$T_{14}(A) = Sto \rightarrow List(Sto \times A)$$

Stratified example 4

Apply $F(T)(A) = Env \rightarrow T(A)$:

$$L_5(A) = Env \rightarrow Sto \rightarrow List(Sto \times A)$$

$$L_4(A) = Sto \rightarrow List(Sto \times A)$$

$$L_3(A) = List(Sto \times A)$$

$$L_2(A) = Sto \times A$$

$$L_1(A) = A$$

$$T_{45}(A) = Env \rightarrow A$$

$$T_{34}(A) = Sto \rightarrow A$$

$$T_{23}(A) = List(A)$$

$$T_{35}(A) = Env \rightarrow Sto \rightarrow A$$

$$T_{24}(A) = Sto \rightarrow List(A)$$

$$T_{25}(A) = Env \rightarrow Sto \rightarrow List(A)$$

$$T_{14}(A) = Sto \rightarrow List(Sto \times A)$$

$$T_{15}(A) = Env \rightarrow Sto \rightarrow List(Sto \times A)$$

Compatibility laws

$$ST = S \circ T$$

$$\text{map} = \text{map}_S \circ \text{map}_T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unit}_{ST} &= \text{unit}_S \circ \text{unit}_T \\ &= \text{map}_S(\text{unit}_T) \circ \text{unit}_S : \text{Id} \rightarrow ST \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{join}_{ST} \circ \text{map}_{ST}(\text{unit}_S) &= \text{map}_S(\text{join}_T) : STT \rightarrow ST \\ \text{join}_{ST} \circ \text{map}_S(\text{unit}_T) &= \text{join}_S : SST \rightarrow ST \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{join}_S \circ \text{map}_S(\text{join}_S) &= \text{join}_{ST} \circ \text{join}_S : SSTST \rightarrow ST \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{join}_{ST} \circ \text{map}_{ST}(\text{map}_S(\text{join}_T)) &= \text{map}_S(\text{join}_T) \circ \text{join}_{ST} : STSTT \rightarrow ST \end{aligned}$$

References: Beck, Barr

Stratified monads

Categories in which:

- Objects = levels (type constructors)
- Arrows = monads T with $L_2 = T \circ L_1$
- Composition respects compatibility.
- All diagrams commute.
- Distinguished levels Top and Bot that are maximal and minimal.
- Distinguished monad T from Bot to Top .

Outline

- Usual interpreters aren't modular
- Modular interpreters by hand
- Modular interpreters by machine
- Technical aspects
- * Conclusion

Limitations

SL builds basic denotational models.

- Doesn't do compilation or abstract interpretation.
- Doesn't help with static aspects (types, syntax).
- Doesn't help with non-semantic aspects (unification, constraint solving).

Future work

- Extend to do compilation and abstract interpretation.
- Develop modular systems for reasoning about programs.

Previous work 1

ADTs in semantics:

- Goguen, Thatcher, Wagner, and Wright, “Initial algebra semantics and continuous algebras”, 1977.
- Mosses, “Action Semantics”, 1983 – 92.

Monadic interpreters:

- Moggi, “Computational lambda calculus and monads”, 1989.
- Moggi, “Notions of computation and monads”, 1991.
- Wadler, “The essence of functional programming”, 1992.

Composing monads:

- Beck, “Distributive laws”, 1969.
- Barr and Wells, “Triples, Toposes, and Theories”, 1985.
- King and Wadler, “Combining monads”, 1992.
- Jones and Duponcheel, “Composing monads”, 1993.

Previous work 2

Compound interpreters:

- Moggi, “An abstract view of programming languages”, 1989.
- Moggi, “A modular approach to denotational semantics”, 1991.
- Moggi and Cenciarelli, “A syntactic approach to modularity in denotational semantics”, 1993.
- Cartwright and Felleisen, “Extensible Denotational Language Specifications”, 1994.
- Steele, “Building interpreters by composing monads”, 1994.
- Espinosa, “Semantic Lego”, 1994.
- Espinosa, “Stratified Monads”, 1994.
- Liang, Hudak, and Jones, “Monad transformers and modular interpreters”, 1995.
- Espinosa, Ph.D. thesis, 1995.