

# Holomorphic symplectic geometry

Arnaud Beauville

Université de Nice

Lisbon, March 2011

# I. Symplectic structure

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .
- $\iff$  locally  $\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_r \wedge dq_r$  (Darboux)

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .
- $\iff$  locally  $\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_r \wedge dq_r$  (Darboux)

Then  $(X, \varphi)$  is a **symplectic manifold**.

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .
- $\iff$  locally  $\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_r \wedge dq_r$  (Darboux)

Then  $(X, \varphi)$  is a **symplectic manifold**.

(In mechanics, typically  $q_i \leftrightarrow$  positions,  $p_i \leftrightarrow$  velocities)

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .
- $\iff$  locally  $\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_r \wedge dq_r$  (Darboux)

Then  $(X, \varphi)$  is a **symplectic manifold**.

(In mechanics, typically  $q_i \leftrightarrow$  positions,  $p_i \leftrightarrow$  velocities)

$\rightsquigarrow$  Unlike Riemannian geometry, symplectic geometry is locally trivial; the interesting problems are **global**.



# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .
- $\iff$  locally  $\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_r \wedge dq_r$  (Darboux)

Then  $(X, \varphi)$  is a **symplectic manifold**.

(In mechanics, typically  $q_i \leftrightarrow$  positions,  $p_i \leftrightarrow$  velocities)

$\rightsquigarrow$  Unlike Riemannian geometry, symplectic geometry is locally trivial; the interesting problems are **global**.

All this makes sense with  $X$  complex manifold,  $\varphi$  holomorphic.

# I. Symplectic structure

## Definition

A **symplectic form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 2-form  $\varphi$  such that:

- $d\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Alt}(T_x(X))$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ .
- $\iff$  locally  $\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_r \wedge dq_r$  (Darboux)

Then  $(X, \varphi)$  is a **symplectic manifold**.

(In mechanics, typically  $q_i \leftrightarrow$  positions,  $p_i \leftrightarrow$  velocities)

$\rightsquigarrow$  Unlike Riemannian geometry, symplectic geometry is locally trivial; the interesting problems are **global**.

All this makes sense with  $X$  complex manifold,  $\varphi$  holomorphic.

global  $\rightsquigarrow$   $X$  compact, usually projective or Kähler.

# Holomorphic symplectic manifolds

Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

## Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

- $X$  compact, Kähler, simply-connected;

## Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

- $X$  compact, Kähler, simply-connected;
- $X$  admits a (holomorphic) symplectic form, unique up to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

## Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

- $X$  compact, Kähler, simply-connected;
- $X$  admits a (holomorphic) symplectic form, unique up to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Consequences* :  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2r$ ;

## Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

- $X$  compact, Kähler, simply-connected;
- $X$  admits a (holomorphic) symplectic form, unique up to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Consequences* :  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2r$ ; the *canonical bundle*  $K_X := \Omega_X^{2r}$  is trivial, generated by  $\varphi \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi$  ( $r$  times).



## Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

- $X$  compact, Kähler, simply-connected;
- $X$  admits a (holomorphic) symplectic form, unique up to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Consequences* :  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2r$ ; the *canonical bundle*  $K_X := \Omega_X^{2r}$  is trivial, generated by  $\varphi \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi$  ( $r$  times).

(*Note* : on  $X$  compact Kähler, holomorphic forms are closed)

## Definition: holomorphic symplectic manifold

- $X$  compact, Kähler, simply-connected;
- $X$  admits a (holomorphic) symplectic form, unique up to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Consequences* :  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2r$ ; the *canonical bundle*  $K_X := \Omega_X^{2r}$  is trivial, generated by  $\varphi \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi$  ( $r$  times).

(*Note* : on  $X$  compact Kähler, holomorphic forms are closed)

Why is it interesting?

# The Decomposition theorem

# The Decomposition theorem

## Decomposition theorem

$X$  compact Kähler with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\exists \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  étale finite and

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

# The Decomposition theorem

## Decomposition theorem

$X$  compact Kähler with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\exists \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  étale finite and

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

- $T$  complex torus ( $= \mathbb{C}^g / \text{lattice}$ );

# The Decomposition theorem

## Decomposition theorem

$X$  compact Kähler with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\exists \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  étale finite and

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

- $T$  complex torus ( $= \mathbb{C}^g / \text{lattice}$ );
- $Y_i$  holomorphic symplectic manifolds;

# The Decomposition theorem

## Decomposition theorem

$X$  compact Kähler with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\exists \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  étale finite and

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

- $T$  complex torus ( $= \mathbb{C}^g / \text{lattice}$ );
- $Y_i$  holomorphic symplectic manifolds;
- $Z_j$  simply-connected, projective,  $\dim \geq 3$ ,

$H^0(Z_j, \Omega^*) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is a generator of  $K_{Z_j}$ .

(these are the **Calabi-Yau** manifolds)

# The Decomposition theorem

## Decomposition theorem

$X$  compact Kähler with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\exists \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  étale finite and

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

- $T$  complex torus ( $= \mathbb{C}^g / \text{lattice}$ );
- $Y_i$  holomorphic symplectic manifolds;
- $Z_j$  simply-connected, projective,  $\dim \geq 3$ ,

$H^0(Z_j, \Omega^*) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is a generator of  $K_{Z_j}$ .

(these are the **Calabi-Yau** manifolds)

Thus holomorphic symplectic manifolds (also called hyperkähler) are **building blocks** for manifolds with  $K$  trivial,



# The Decomposition theorem

## Decomposition theorem

$X$  compact Kähler with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\exists \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  étale finite and

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

- $T$  complex torus ( $= \mathbb{C}^g / \text{lattice}$ );
- $Y_i$  holomorphic symplectic manifolds;
- $Z_j$  simply-connected, projective,  $\dim \geq 3$ ,

$H^0(Z_j, \Omega^*) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is a generator of  $K_{Z_j}$ .

(these are the **Calabi-Yau** manifolds)

Thus holomorphic symplectic manifolds (also called hyperkähler) are **building blocks** for manifolds with  $K$  trivial, which are themselves building blocks in the classification of projective (or compact Kähler) manifolds.

# Examples?

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds,

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}} X$  **K3 surface**.

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X$  **K3 surface**.  
(Example:  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree 4, etc.)

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X$  **K3 surface**.  
(Example:  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree 4, etc.)
- dim  $> 2$ ? Idea: take  $S^r$  for  $S$  K3. Many symplectic forms:

$$\varphi = \lambda_1 p_1^* \varphi_S + \dots + \lambda_r p_r^* \varphi_S, \quad \text{with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^* .$$

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X$  **K3 surface**.  
(Example:  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree 4, etc.)
- dim  $> 2$ ? Idea: take  $S^r$  for  $S$  K3. Many symplectic forms:

$$\varphi = \lambda_1 p_1^* \varphi_S + \dots + \lambda_r p_r^* \varphi_S, \quad \text{with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^* .$$

Try to get unicity by imposing  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r$ ,



# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X$  **K3 surface**.  
(Example:  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree 4, etc.)
- dim  $> 2$ ? Idea: take  $S^r$  for  $S$  K3. Many symplectic forms:

$$\varphi = \lambda_1 p_1^* \varphi_S + \dots + \lambda_r p_r^* \varphi_S, \quad \text{with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^* .$$

Try to get unicity by imposing  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r$ , i.e.

$\varphi$  invariant under  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ ,

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X$  **K3 surface**.  
(Example:  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree 4, etc.)
- dim  $> 2$ ? Idea: take  $S^r$  for  $S$  K3. Many symplectic forms:

$$\varphi = \lambda_1 p_1^* \varphi_S + \dots + \lambda_r p_r^* \varphi_S, \quad \text{with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^* .$$

Try to get unicity by imposing  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r$ , i.e.

$\varphi$  invariant under  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  comes from  $S^{(r)} := S^r / \mathfrak{S}_r =$   
{subsets of  $r$  points of  $S$ , counted with multiplicities}

# Examples?

Many examples of Calabi-Yau manifolds, very few of holomorphic symplectic.

- dim 2:  $X$  simply-connected,  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}} X$  **K3 surface**.  
(Example:  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree 4, etc.)

- dim  $> 2$ ? Idea: take  $S^r$  for  $S$  K3. Many symplectic forms:

$$\varphi = \lambda_1 p_1^* \varphi_S + \dots + \lambda_r p_r^* \varphi_S, \quad \text{with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^* .$$

Try to get unicity by imposing  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r$ , i.e.

$\varphi$  invariant under  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  comes from  $S^{(r)} := S^r / \mathfrak{S}_r =$   
 $\{\text{subsets of } r \text{ points of } S, \text{ counted with multiplicities}\}$

- $S^{(r)}$  is singular, but admits a natural desingularization  $S^{[r]} :=$   
 $\{\text{finite analytic subspaces of } S \text{ of length } r\}$  (**Hilbert scheme**)

# Examples

## Theorem

*For  $S$  K3,  $S^{[r]}$  is holomorphic symplectic, of dimension  $2r$ .*

# Examples

## Theorem

*For  $S$  K3,  $S^{[r]}$  is holomorphic symplectic, of dimension  $2r$ .*

## Other examples

## Theorem

For  $S$  K3,  $S^{[r]}$  is holomorphic symplectic, of dimension  $2r$ .

## Other examples

- 1 Analogous construction with  $S =$  complex torus (dim. 2); gives **generalized Kummer manifold**  $K_r$  of dimension  $2r$ .

## Theorem

*For  $S$  K3,  $S^{[r]}$  is holomorphic symplectic, of dimension  $2r$ .*

## Other examples

- 1 Analogous construction with  $S =$  complex torus (dim. 2); gives **generalized Kummer manifold**  $K_r$  of dimension  $2r$ .
- 2 Two isolated examples by O'Grady, of dimension 6 and 10.



## Theorem

*For  $S$  K3,  $S^{[r]}$  is holomorphic symplectic, of dimension  $2r$ .*

## Other examples

- 1 Analogous construction with  $S =$  complex torus (dim. 2); gives **generalized Kummer manifold**  $K_r$  of dimension  $2r$ .
- 2 Two isolated examples by O'Grady, of dimension 6 and 10.

All other known examples belong to one of the above families!

## Theorem

For  $S$  K3,  $S^{[r]}$  is holomorphic symplectic, of dimension  $2r$ .

## Other examples

- 1 Analogous construction with  $S =$  complex torus (dim. 2); gives **generalized Kummer manifold**  $K_r$  of dimension  $2r$ .
- 2 Two isolated examples by O'Grady, of dimension 6 and 10.

All other known examples belong to one of the above families!

**Example:**  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  cubic fourfold.  $F(V) := \{\text{lines contained in } V\}$  is holomorphic symplectic, deformation of  $S^{[2]}$  with  $S$  K3.

# The period map

# The period map

A fundamental tool to study holomorphic symplectic manifolds is the **period map**, which describes the position of  $[\varphi]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

# The period map

A fundamental tool to study holomorphic symplectic manifolds is the **period map**, which describes the position of  $[\varphi]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

## Proposition

# The period map

A fundamental tool to study holomorphic symplectic manifolds is the **period map**, which describes the position of  $[\varphi]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

## Proposition

①  $\exists q : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  quadratic and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\int_X \alpha^{2r} = f q(\alpha)^r \text{ for } \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

# The period map

A fundamental tool to study holomorphic symplectic manifolds is the **period map**, which describes the position of  $[\varphi]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

## Proposition

- ①  $\exists q : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  quadratic and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\int_X \alpha^{2r} = f q(\alpha)^r \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- ② For  $L$  lattice, there exists a complex manifold  $\mathcal{M}_L$  parametrizing isomorphism classes of pairs  $(X, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda : (H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), q) \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ .

# The period map

A fundamental tool to study holomorphic symplectic manifolds is the **period map**, which describes the position of  $[\varphi]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

## Proposition

- ①  $\exists q : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  quadratic and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\int_X \alpha^{2r} = f q(\alpha)^r \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- ② For  $L$  lattice, there exists a complex manifold  $\mathcal{M}_L$  parametrizing isomorphism classes of pairs  $(X, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda : (H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), q) \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ .

(Beware that  $\mathcal{M}_L$  is **non Hausdorff** in general.)



# The period package

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

$\wp : \mathcal{M}_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the **period map**.

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

$\wp : \mathcal{M}_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the **period map**.

## Theorem

Let  $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid q(x) = 0, q(x, \bar{x}) > 0\}$ .

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

$\wp : \mathcal{M}_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the **period map**.

## Theorem

Let  $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid q(x) = 0, q(x, \bar{x}) > 0\}$ .

① (AB)  $\wp$  is a local isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \Omega$ .

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

$\wp : \mathcal{M}_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the **period map**.

## Theorem

Let  $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid q(x) = 0, q(x, \bar{x}) > 0\}$ .

- 1 (AB)  $\wp$  is a local isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \Omega$ .
- 2 (Huybrechts)  $\wp$  is surjective.

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

$\wp : \mathcal{M}_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the **period map**.

## Theorem

Let  $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid q(x) = 0, q(x, \bar{x}) > 0\}$ .

- 1 (AB)  $\wp$  is a local isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \Omega$ .
- 2 (Huybrechts)  $\wp$  is surjective.
- 3 (Verbitsky) The restriction of  $\wp$  to any connected component of  $\mathcal{M}_L$  is generically injective.

# The period package

$(X, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; put  $\wp(X, \lambda) := \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\varphi)$ .

$\wp : \mathcal{M}_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the **period map**.

## Theorem

Let  $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid q(x) = 0, q(x, \bar{x}) > 0\}$ .

- 1 (AB)  $\wp$  is a local isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \Omega$ .
- 2 (Huybrechts)  $\wp$  is surjective.
- 3 (Verbitsky) The restriction of  $\wp$  to any connected component of  $\mathcal{M}_L$  is generically injective.

Gives very precise information on the structure of  $\mathcal{M}_L$  and the geometry of  $X$ .



# Completely integrable systems

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

For  $h$  function on  $M$ ,  $X_h := \varphi^\sharp(dh)$ : **hamiltonian vector field** of  $h$ .

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

For  $h$  function on  $M$ ,  $X_h := \varphi^\sharp(dh)$ : **hamiltonian vector field** of  $h$ .

$X_h \cdot h = 0$ , i.e.  $h$  constant along trajectories of  $X_h$

(“integral of motion”)

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

For  $h$  function on  $M$ ,  $X_h := \varphi^\sharp(dh)$ : **hamiltonian vector field** of  $h$ .

$X_h \cdot h = 0$ , i.e.  $h$  constant along trajectories of  $X_h$

(“integral of motion”)

$\dim(M) = 2r$ .  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ . Suppose:

$h^{-1}(s)$  connected, smooth, **compact**, **Lagrangian** ( $\varphi|_{h^{-1}(s)} = 0$ ).

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

For  $h$  function on  $M$ ,  $X_h := \varphi^\sharp(dh)$ : **hamiltonian vector field** of  $h$ .

$X_h \cdot h = 0$ , i.e.  $h$  constant along trajectories of  $X_h$

(“integral of motion”)

$\dim(M) = 2r$ .  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ . Suppose:

$h^{-1}(s)$  connected, smooth, **compact**, **Lagrangian** ( $\varphi|_{h^{-1}(s)} = 0$ ).

## Arnold-Liouville theorem

$h^{-1}(s) \cong \mathbb{R}^r / \text{lattice}$ ;  $X_{h_i}$  tangent to  $h^{-1}(s)$ , **constant** on  $h^{-1}(s)$ .

# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

For  $h$  function on  $M$ ,  $X_h := \varphi^\sharp(dh)$ : **hamiltonian vector field** of  $h$ .

$X_h \cdot h = 0$ , i.e.  $h$  constant along trajectories of  $X_h$

(“integral of motion”)

$\dim(M) = 2r$ .  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ . Suppose:

$h^{-1}(s)$  connected, smooth, **compact, Lagrangian** ( $\varphi|_{h^{-1}(s)} = 0$ ).

## Arnold-Liouville theorem

$h^{-1}(s) \cong \mathbb{R}^r / \text{lattice}$ ;  $X_{h_i}$  tangent to  $h^{-1}(s)$ , **constant** on  $h^{-1}(s)$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  explicit solutions of the ODE  $X_{h_i}$  (e.g. in terms of  $\theta$  functions):

“**algebraically completely integrable system**”.



# Completely integrable systems

Symplectic geometry provides a set-up for the differential equations of classical mechanics:

$M$  real symplectic manifold;  $\varphi$  defines  $\varphi^\sharp : T^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(M)$ .

For  $h$  function on  $M$ ,  $X_h := \varphi^\sharp(dh)$ : **hamiltonian vector field** of  $h$ .

$X_h \cdot h = 0$ , i.e.  $h$  constant along trajectories of  $X_h$

(“integral of motion”)

$\dim(M) = 2r$ .  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ . Suppose:

$h^{-1}(s)$  connected, smooth, **compact, Lagrangian** ( $\varphi|_{h^{-1}(s)} = 0$ ).

## Arnold-Liouville theorem

$h^{-1}(s) \cong \mathbb{R}^r / \text{lattice}$ ;  $X_{h_i}$  tangent to  $h^{-1}(s)$ , **constant** on  $h^{-1}(s)$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  explicit solutions of the ODE  $X_{h_i}$  (e.g. in terms of  $\theta$  functions):

“**algebraically completely integrable system**”. Classical examples:

geodesics of the ellipsoid, Lagrange and Kovalevskaya tops, etc.

# Holomorphic set-up

# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

## Definition

$X$  holomorphic symplectic,  $\dim(X) = 2r$ . **Lagrangian fibration:**  
 $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , general fiber connected Lagrangian.

# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

## Definition

$X$  holomorphic symplectic,  $\dim(X) = 2r$ . **Lagrangian fibration:**

$h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , general fiber connected Lagrangian.

$\Rightarrow$  on  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ , Arnold-Liouville situation.

# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

## Definition

$X$  holomorphic symplectic,  $\dim(X) = 2r$ . **Lagrangian fibration:**  
 $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , general fiber connected Lagrangian.

$\Rightarrow$  on  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ , Arnold-Liouville situation.

## Theorem

$f : X \rightarrow B$  surjective with connected fibers  $\Rightarrow$

# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

## Definition

$X$  holomorphic symplectic,  $\dim(X) = 2r$ . **Lagrangian fibration:**  
 $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , general fiber connected Lagrangian.

$\Rightarrow$  on  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ , Arnold-Liouville situation.

## Theorem

$f : X \rightarrow B$  surjective with connected fibers  $\Rightarrow$

- 1  $h$  is a Lagrangian fibration (Matsushita);

# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

## Definition

$X$  holomorphic symplectic,  $\dim(X) = 2r$ . **Lagrangian fibration:**  
 $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , general fiber connected Lagrangian.

$\Rightarrow$  on  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ , Arnold-Liouville situation.

## Theorem

$f : X \rightarrow B$  surjective with connected fibers  $\Rightarrow$

- 1  $h$  is a Lagrangian fibration (Matsushita);
- 2 If  $X$  projective,  $B \cong \mathbb{P}^r$  (Hwang).



# Holomorphic set-up

No global functions  $\rightsquigarrow$  replace  $\mathbb{R}^r$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

## Definition

$X$  holomorphic symplectic,  $\dim(X) = 2r$ . **Lagrangian fibration:**  
 $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , general fiber connected Lagrangian.

$\Rightarrow$  on  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ , Arnold-Liouville situation.

## Theorem

$f : X \rightarrow B$  surjective with connected fibers  $\Rightarrow$

- 1  $h$  is a Lagrangian fibration (Matsushita);
- 2 If  $X$  projective,  $B \cong \mathbb{P}^r$  (Hwang).

Is there a simple characterization of Lagrangian fibration?

## Conjecture

$\exists X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$  Lagrangian  $\iff \exists L$  on  $X$ ,  $q(c_1(L)) = 0$ .

# An example



# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

$$\Pi = \{\text{quadrics} \supset S\} = \{\lambda P + \mu Q + \nu R\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$$

# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

$$\Pi = \{\text{quadrics } \supset S\} = \{\lambda P + \mu Q + \nu R\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$$

$\Pi^* =$  dual projective plane  $= \{\text{pencils of quadrics } \supset S\}$ .

# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

$$\Pi = \{\text{quadrics} \supset S\} = \{\lambda P + \mu Q + \nu R\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$$

$\Pi^* =$  dual projective plane  $= \{\text{pencils of quadrics} \supset S\}$ .

$$h : S^{[2]} \rightarrow \Pi^* : h(x, y) = \{\text{quadrics of } \Pi \supset \langle x, y \rangle\}.$$

# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

$$\Pi = \{\text{quadrics} \supset S\} = \{\lambda P + \mu Q + \nu R\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$$

$\Pi^* =$  dual projective plane  $= \{\text{pencils of quadrics} \supset S\}$ .

$$h : S^{[2]} \rightarrow \Pi^* : h(x, y) = \{\text{quadrics of } \Pi \supset \langle x, y \rangle\}.$$

By the theorem,  $h$  Lagrangian fibration  $\Rightarrow$



# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

$$\Pi = \{\text{quadrics} \supset S\} = \{\lambda P + \mu Q + \nu R\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$$

$\Pi^* =$  dual projective plane  $= \{\text{pencils of quadrics} \supset S\}$ .

$$h : S^{[2]} \rightarrow \Pi^* : h(x, y) = \{\text{quadrics of } \Pi \supset \langle x, y \rangle\}.$$

By the theorem,  $h$  Lagrangian fibration  $\Rightarrow$

$$h^{-1}(\langle P, Q \rangle) = \{\text{lines} \subset \{P = Q = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5\} \cong 2\text{-dim'l complex torus},$$

# An example

▶ Many examples of such systems. Here is one:

$S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  given by  $P = Q = R = 0$ ,  $P, Q, R$  quadratic  $\Rightarrow S$  K3.

$$\Pi = \{\text{quadrics} \supset S\} = \{\lambda P + \mu Q + \nu R\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$$

$\Pi^* =$  dual projective plane  $= \{\text{pencils of quadrics} \supset S\}$ .

$$h : S^{[2]} \rightarrow \Pi^* : h(x, y) = \{\text{quadrics of } \Pi \supset \langle x, y \rangle\}.$$

By the theorem,  $h$  Lagrangian fibration  $\Rightarrow$

$h^{-1}(\langle P, Q \rangle) = \{\text{lines} \subset \{P = Q = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5\} \cong 2\text{-dim'l complex torus,}$

a classical result of Kummer.

## II. Contact geometry

## II. Contact geometry

What about odd dimensions?

## II. Contact geometry

What about odd dimensions?

### Definition

A **contact form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 1-form  $\eta$  such that:

## II. Contact geometry

What about odd dimensions?

### Definition

A **contact form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 1-form  $\eta$  such that:

- $\text{Ker } \eta(x) = H_x \subsetneq T_x(X)$  and  $d\eta|_{H_x}$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ ;

## II. Contact geometry

What about odd dimensions?

### Definition

A **contact form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 1-form  $\eta$  such that:

- $\text{Ker } \eta(x) = H_x \subsetneq T_x(X)$  and  $d\eta|_{H_x}$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ ;
- $\iff$  locally  $\eta = dt + p_1 dq_1 + \dots + p_r dq_r$ .

## II. Contact geometry

What about odd dimensions?

### Definition

A **contact form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 1-form  $\eta$  such that:

- $\text{Ker } \eta(x) = H_x \subsetneq T_x(X)$  and  $d\eta|_{H_x}$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ ;
- $\iff$  locally  $\eta = dt + p_1 dq_1 + \dots + p_r dq_r$ .
- A **contact structure** on  $X$  is a family  $H_x \subsetneq T_x(X) \quad \forall x \in X$ , defined locally by a contact form.



## II. Contact geometry

What about odd dimensions?

### Definition

A **contact form** on a manifold  $X$  is a 1-form  $\eta$  such that:

- $\text{Ker } \eta(x) = H_x \subsetneq T_x(X)$  and  $d\eta|_{H_x}$  non-degenerate  $\forall x \in X$ ;
- $\iff$  locally  $\eta = dt + p_1 dq_1 + \dots + p_r dq_r$ .
- A **contact structure** on  $X$  is a family  $H_x \subsetneq T_x(X) \quad \forall x \in X$ , defined locally by a contact form.

Again the definition makes sense in the holomorphic set-up  $\rightsquigarrow$  **holomorphic contact manifold**. We will be looking for *projective* contact manifolds.

# Examples

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);
- 2  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple Lie algebra;  $\mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  unique closed adjoint orbit.

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);
- 2  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple Lie algebra;  $\mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  unique closed adjoint orbit.  
(example: rank 1 matrices in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ .)

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);
- 2  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple Lie algebra;  $\mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  unique closed adjoint orbit.  
(example: rank 1 matrices in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ .)

## Conjecture



## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);
- 2  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple Lie algebra;  $\mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  unique closed adjoint orbit.  
(example: rank 1 matrices in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ .)

## Conjecture

These are the only contact projective manifolds.

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);
- 2  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple Lie algebra;  $\mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  unique closed adjoint orbit.  
(example: rank 1 matrices in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ .)

## Conjecture

These are the only contact projective manifolds.

( $\Rightarrow$  classical conjecture in Riemannian geometry:

## Examples of contact projective manifolds

- 1  $\mathbb{P}T^*(M)$  for every projective manifold  $M$   
(=  $\{(m, H) \mid H \subset T_m(M)\}$ : “contact elements”);
- 2  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple Lie algebra;  $\mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  unique closed adjoint orbit.  
(example: rank 1 matrices in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ .)

## Conjecture

These are the only contact projective manifolds.

( $\Rightarrow$  classical conjecture in Riemannian geometry: classification of compact **quaternion-Kähler** manifolds (LeBrun, Salamon).)

# Partial results

Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.

Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.  $K_X^{-N}$  has “enough sections” for  $N \gg 0$ .

# Partial results

Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.  $K_X^{-N}$  has “enough sections” for  $N \gg 0$ .

$X$  contact manifold;  $L := T(X)/H$  line bundle; then  $K_X \cong L^{-k}$  with  $k = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(X) + 1)$ .

Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.  $K_X^{-N}$  has “enough sections” for  $N \gg 0$ .

$X$  contact manifold;  $L := T(X)/H$  line bundle; then  $K_X \cong L^{-k}$  with  $k = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(X) + 1)$ . Thus  $X$  Fano  $\iff L^N$  has enough sections for  $N \gg 0$ .



Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.  $K_X^{-N}$  has “enough sections” for  $N \gg 0$ .

$X$  contact manifold;  $L := T(X)/H$  line bundle; then  $K_X \cong L^{-k}$  with  $k = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(X) + 1)$ . Thus  $X$  Fano  $\iff L^N$  has enough sections for  $N \gg 0$ .

## Theorem

Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.  $K_X^{-N}$  has “enough sections” for  $N \gg 0$ .

$X$  contact manifold;  $L := T(X)/H$  line bundle; then  $K_X \cong L^{-k}$  with  $k = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(X) + 1)$ . Thus  $X$  Fano  $\iff L^N$  has enough sections for  $N \gg 0$ .

## Theorem

- 1 If  $X$  is **not** Fano,  $X \cong \mathbb{P}T^*(M)$   
(Kebekus, Peternell, Sommese, Wiśniewski + Demailly)

Definition : A projective manifold  $X$  is **Fano** if  $K_X$  negative, i.e.  $K_X^{-N}$  has “enough sections” for  $N \gg 0$ .

$X$  contact manifold;  $L := T(X)/H$  line bundle; then  $K_X \cong L^{-k}$  with  $k = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(X) + 1)$ . Thus  $X$  Fano  $\iff L^N$  has enough sections for  $N \gg 0$ .

## Theorem

- 1 If  $X$  is **not** Fano,  $X \cong \mathbb{P}T^*(M)$   
(Kebekus, Peternell, Sommese, Wiśniewski + Demailly)
- 2  $X$  Fano **and**  $L$  has “enough sections”  $\Rightarrow Z \cong \mathcal{O}_{min} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$   
(AB)

# III. Poisson manifolds

# III. Poisson manifolds

Few symplectic or contact manifolds  $\rightsquigarrow$  look for weaker structure.

### III. Poisson manifolds

Few symplectic or contact manifolds  $\rightsquigarrow$  look for weaker structure.

$\varphi$  symplectic  $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^\sharp : T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*(X) \rightsquigarrow \tau \in \Lambda^2 T(X) \rightsquigarrow$

### III. Poisson manifolds

Few symplectic or contact manifolds  $\rightsquigarrow$  look for weaker structure.

$\varphi$  symplectic  $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^\sharp : T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*(X) \rightsquigarrow \tau \in \Lambda^2 T(X) \rightsquigarrow$

$(f, g) \mapsto \{f, g\} := \langle \tau, df \wedge dg \rangle$  for  $f, g$  functions on  $U \subset X$ .

### III. Poisson manifolds

Few symplectic or contact manifolds  $\rightsquigarrow$  look for weaker structure.

$\varphi$  symplectic  $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^\sharp : T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*(X) \rightsquigarrow \tau \in \Lambda^2 T(X) \rightsquigarrow$

$(f, g) \mapsto \{f, g\} := \langle \tau, df \wedge dg \rangle$  for  $f, g$  functions on  $U \subset X$ .

**Fact:**  $d\varphi = 0 \iff$  Lie algebra structure (Jacobi identity).



# III. Poisson manifolds

Few symplectic or contact manifolds  $\rightsquigarrow$  look for weaker structure.

$\varphi$  symplectic  $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^\sharp : T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*(X) \rightsquigarrow \tau \in \Lambda^2 T(X) \rightsquigarrow$

$(f, g) \mapsto \{f, g\} := \langle \tau, df \wedge dg \rangle$  for  $f, g$  functions on  $U \subset X$ .

**Fact:**  $d\varphi = 0 \iff$  Lie algebra structure (Jacobi identity).

## Definition

Poisson structure on  $X$ : bivector field  $\tau : x \mapsto \tau(x) \in \Lambda^2 T_x(X)$ , such that  $(f, g) \mapsto \{f, g\}$  Lie algebra structure.

# III. Poisson manifolds

Few symplectic or contact manifolds  $\rightsquigarrow$  look for weaker structure.

$\varphi$  symplectic  $\rightsquigarrow \varphi^\sharp : T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*(X) \rightsquigarrow \tau \in \Lambda^2 T(X) \rightsquigarrow$

$(f, g) \mapsto \{f, g\} := \langle \tau, df \wedge dg \rangle$  for  $f, g$  functions on  $U \subset X$ .

**Fact:**  $d\varphi = 0 \iff$  Lie algebra structure (Jacobi identity).

## Definition

Poisson structure on  $X$ : bivector field  $\tau : x \mapsto \tau(x) \in \Lambda^2 T_x(X)$ , such that  $(f, g) \mapsto \{f, g\}$  Lie algebra structure.

Again this makes sense for  $X$  complex manifold,  $\tau$  holomorphic.

# Examples

# Examples

- ①  $\dim(X) = 2$ : any global section of  $\wedge^2 T(X) = K_X^{-1}$  is Poisson.

# Examples

- ①  $\dim(X) = 2$ : any global section of  $\wedge^2 T(X) = K_X^{-1}$  is Poisson.
- ②  $\dim(X) = 3$ ; wedge product  $\wedge^2 T(X) \otimes T(X) \rightarrow K_X^{-1}$  gives  $\wedge^2 T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1}$ . Then  $\alpha \in H^0(\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1})$  is Poisson  $\iff \alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0 \iff$  locally  $\alpha = fdg$ .

- 1  $\dim(X) = 2$ : any global section of  $\wedge^2 T(X) = K_X^{-1}$  is Poisson.
- 2  $\dim(X) = 3$ ; wedge product  $\wedge^2 T(X) \otimes T(X) \rightarrow K_X^{-1}$  gives  $\wedge^2 T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1}$ . Then  $\alpha \in H^0(\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1})$  is Poisson  $\iff \alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0 \iff$  locally  $\alpha = fdg$ .
- 3 On  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $P, Q$  quadratic  $\rightsquigarrow \alpha = PdQ - QdP \in \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(4) = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1 \otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}$  Poisson.

- 1  $\dim(X) = 2$ : any global section of  $\wedge^2 T(X) = K_X^{-1}$  is Poisson.
- 2  $\dim(X) = 3$ ; wedge product  $\wedge^2 T(X) \otimes T(X) \rightarrow K_X^{-1}$  gives  $\wedge^2 T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1}$ . Then  $\alpha \in H^0(\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1})$  is Poisson  $\iff \alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0 \iff$  locally  $\alpha = fdg$ .
- 3 On  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $P, Q$  quadratic  $\rightsquigarrow \alpha = PdQ - QdP \in \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(4) = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1 \otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}$  Poisson.
- 4 A holomorphic symplectic manifold is Poisson.



- 1  $\dim(X) = 2$ : any global section of  $\wedge^2 T(X) = K_X^{-1}$  is Poisson.
- 2  $\dim(X) = 3$ ; wedge product  $\wedge^2 T(X) \otimes T(X) \rightarrow K_X^{-1}$  gives  $\wedge^2 T(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1}$ . Then  $\alpha \in H^0(\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{-1})$  is Poisson  $\iff \alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0 \iff$  locally  $\alpha = fdg$ .
- 3 On  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $P, Q$  quadratic  $\rightsquigarrow \alpha = PdQ - QdP \in \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(4) = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1 \otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}$  Poisson.
- 4 A holomorphic symplectic manifold is Poisson.
- 5 If  $X$  is Poisson, any  $X \times Y$  is Poisson.

# The Bondal conjecture

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

## Proposition

If  $X_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\dim X_r \geq r$ .

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

## Proposition

If  $X_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\dim X_r \geq r$ .

*Proof:*  $X_r$  is a **Poisson submanifold**, i.e. at a smooth  $x \in X_r$

$$\tau_x \in \wedge^2 T_x(X_r) \subset \wedge^2 T_x(X)$$

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

## Proposition

If  $X_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\dim X_r \geq r$ .

*Proof:*  $X_r$  is a **Poisson submanifold**, i.e. at a smooth  $x \in X_r$   
 $\tau_x \in \wedge^2 T_x(X_r) \subset \wedge^2 T_x(X) \implies \text{rk}(\tau_x) \leq \dim X_r$ . ■

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

## Proposition

If  $X_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\dim X_r \geq r$ .

*Proof:*  $X_r$  is a **Poisson submanifold**, i.e. at a smooth  $x \in X_r$   
 $\tau_x \in \wedge^2 T_x(X_r) \subset \wedge^2 T_x(X) \implies \text{rk}(\tau_x) \leq \dim X_r$ . ■

## Conjecture (Bondal)

$X$  compact Poisson manifold,  $X_r \neq \emptyset \implies \dim X_r > r$ .



# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

## Proposition

If  $X_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\dim X_r \geq r$ .

*Proof:*  $X_r$  is a **Poisson submanifold**, i.e. at a smooth  $x \in X_r$   
 $\tau_x \in \wedge^2 T_x(X_r) \subset \wedge^2 T_x(X) \implies \text{rk}(\tau_x) \leq \dim X_r$ . ■

## Conjecture (Bondal)

$X$  compact Poisson manifold,  $X_r \neq \emptyset \implies \dim X_r > r$ .

Example:  $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid \tau_x = 0\}$  contains a curve.

# The Bondal conjecture

$\tau$  Poisson,  $x \in X$ .  $\tau_x : T_x^*(X) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  skew-symmetric, rk even.

$$X_r := \{x \in X \mid \text{rk}(\tau_x) = r\} \quad (r \text{ even}) \quad X = \coprod X_r$$

## Proposition

If  $X_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\dim X_r \geq r$ .

*Proof:*  $X_r$  is a **Poisson submanifold**, i.e. at a smooth  $x \in X_r$   
 $\tau_x \in \wedge^2 T_x(X_r) \subset \wedge^2 T_x(X) \implies \text{rk}(\tau_x) \leq \dim X_r$ . ■

## Conjecture (Bondal)

$X$  compact Poisson manifold,  $X_r \neq \emptyset \implies \dim X_r > r$ .

Example:  $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid \tau_x = 0\}$  contains a curve.

(e.g.: on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $PdQ - QdP$  vanishes on the curve  $P = Q = 0$ .)

# The Bondal conjecture 2

Some evidence

# The Bondal conjecture 2

## Some evidence

- 1 True for  $X$  projective threefold (Druel:  $X_0 = \emptyset$  or  $\dim \geq 1$ ).

# The Bondal conjecture 2

## Some evidence

- 1 True for  $X$  projective threefold (Druel:  $X_0 = \emptyset$  or  $\dim \geq 1$ ).
- 2  $\text{rk}(\tau_x) = r$  for  $x$  general  $\Rightarrow$  true for  $X_{r-2}$  if  $c_1(X)^q \neq 0$ ,  
 $q = \dim X - r + 1$ .

# The Bondal conjecture 2

## Some evidence

- 1 True for  $X$  projective threefold (Druel:  $X_0 = \emptyset$  or  $\dim \geq 1$ ).
- 2  $\text{rk}(\tau_x) = r$  for  $x$  general  $\Rightarrow$  true for  $X_{r-2}$  if  $c_1(X)^q \neq 0$ ,  
 $q = \dim X - r + 1$ .

## Proposition (Polishchuk)

$\tau$  Poisson on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , vanishes along smooth curve  $C$ . Then  $C$  elliptic,  $\deg(C) = 3$  or  $4$ ;

# The Bondal conjecture 2

## Some evidence

- 1 True for  $X$  projective threefold (Druel:  $X_0 = \emptyset$  or  $\dim \geq 1$ ).
- 2  $\text{rk}(\tau_x) = r$  for  $x$  general  $\Rightarrow$  true for  $X_{r-2}$  if  $c_1(X)^q \neq 0$ ,  
 $q = \dim X - r + 1$ .

## Proposition (Polishchuk)

$\tau$  Poisson on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , vanishes along smooth curve  $C$ . Then  $C$  elliptic,  $\deg(C) = 3$  or  $4$ ; if  $= 4$ ,  $\tau = PdQ - QdP$  and  $C : P = Q = 0$ .

# The Bondal conjecture 2

## Some evidence

- 1 True for  $X$  projective threefold (Druel:  $X_0 = \emptyset$  or  $\dim \geq 1$ ).
- 2  $\text{rk}(\tau_x) = r$  for  $x$  general  $\Rightarrow$  true for  $X_{r-2}$  if  $c_1(X)^q \neq 0$ ,  
 $q = \dim X - r + 1$ .

## Proposition (Polishchuk)

$\tau$  Poisson on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , vanishes along smooth curve  $C$ . Then  $C$  elliptic,  $\deg(C) = 3$  or  $4$ ; if  $= 4$ ,  $\tau = PdQ - QdP$  and  $C : P = Q = 0$ .

# THE END