

2. Say that f is topologically continuous if pullbacks of open sets are open, and ε, δ -continuous if it satisfies the usual ε, δ -condition. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, let $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y - x\| < r\}$ denote the open ball of radius r centered at x . The ε, δ -definition of continuity of $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ can be phrased as

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \left[B(x, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}B(f(x), \varepsilon) \right] \quad (*)$$

Suppose first that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is ε, δ -continuous, and that $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is open, and that $x \in f^{-1}U$. Since U is open and $f(x) \in U$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(f(x), \varepsilon) \subseteq U$, and thus by (*) a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$x \in B(x, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}B(f(x), \varepsilon) \subseteq f^{-1}U$$

from which it is clear that $f^{-1}[U]$ is open.

Next, suppose that f is topologically continuous, that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and that $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f^{-1}B(f(x), \varepsilon)$ is open and $x \in f^{-1}B(f(x), \varepsilon)$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}B(f(x), \varepsilon)$, from which it follows that f is ε, δ -continuous (via (*)).

3. For $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, let $V_i^\pm := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \pm x_i > 0\}$, and let $U_i^\pm := V_i^\pm \cap S^n$, so that each U_i^\pm is open in S^n w.r.t. the induced topology. It is clear that the hemispheres U_i^\pm cover S^n . Define maps

$$\phi_i^\pm : U_i^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} \mapsto \underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})}_{x_i \text{ omitted}}$$

so that each ϕ_i^\pm is essentially a projection onto the n -dimensional open unit disc $D^n := \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{u}\| < 1\}$ in the coordinate plane $x_i = 0$.

Now, e.g., for $i < j$ we have $\phi_i^+ \circ (\phi_j^\pm)^{-1} : D^n \rightarrow D^n$ by

$$\phi_i^+ \circ (\phi_j^\pm)^{-1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \underbrace{(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, u_{j-1}, \pm(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, u_j, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_n)}_{u_i \text{ omitted, } \pm(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ inserted}}$$

(or something like that) which is clearly C^∞ . We do not have to consider the case were $i = j$ as $U_i^+ \cap U_i^- = \emptyset$.

(One can do this with just two charts, though obviously not fewer, as S^n is compact, but no open subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is compact.)

4. If $\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are charts for a manifold M , and $U \subseteq M$ is open, then the restrictions $\varphi_i|_{U \cap U_i}$ are charts for U .
5. Given an n -dimensional manifold M , as witnessed by charts $\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, i \in I$, and an n' -dimensional manifold M' , as witnessed by charts $\phi_{i'} : U_{i'} \rightarrow V_{i'} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n'}, i' \in I'$, the product mappings

$$\phi_i \times \phi_{i'} : U_i \times U_{i'} \rightarrow V_i \times V_{i'} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+n'} \quad (i, i') \in I \times I'$$

show that $M \times M'$ is an $(n + n')$ -dimensional manifold. Indeed,

$$(\phi_i \times \phi'_{i'}) \circ (\phi_j \times \phi'_{j'})^{-1} = (\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}) \times (\phi'_{i'} \circ \phi'_{j'}^{-1}) : \phi_j[U_i \cap U_j] \times \phi'_{j'}[U'_{i'} \times U'_{j'}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+n'}$$

is a product of differentiable maps, and hence differentiable.

6. If Φ, Φ' are atlases for two disjoint $n - -$ dimensional manifolds M, M' , the union $\Phi \cup \Phi'$ is clearly an atlas for the union $M \cup M'$.
7. By definition vector field on a manifold M is a linear map $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ satisfying the Leibniz rule. It is obvious that if $v, w \in \text{Vect}(M)$ and $h \in C^\infty(M)$, then $v + w, hv$ are linear. To verify the Leibniz rule, just observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (v + w)(f \cdot g) &= v(f \cdot g) + w(f \cdot g) = v(f) \cdot g + f \cdot v(g) + w(f) \cdot g + f \cdot w(g) \\ &= [v(f) + w(f)] \cdot g + f \cdot [v(g) + w(g)] = (v + w)(f) \cdot g + f \cdot (v + w)(g) \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$(hv)(f \cdot g) = h[v(f \cdot g)] = h[v(f) \cdot g + f \cdot v(g)] = (hv)(f) \cdot g + f \cdot (hv)(g)$$

8. Also easy.

9. Clearly for each $i \leq n$, the projection $\pi^i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (x^1, \dots, x^n) \mapsto x^i$ has $\pi^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\partial_j \pi^i = \delta_j^i$. So if $v^j \partial_j = 0$, then $v^i = v^j \partial_j \pi^i = 0$ for each $i \leq n$. Thus $\{\partial_i : i \leq n\}$ is a set of linearly independent elements of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

[To see that this set is also a basis, and not just linearly independent, note that, for fixed x_0 , a first-order Taylor expansion yields

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \partial_i f(x_0) \cdot (x^i - x_0^i) + \varepsilon_{x_0}(x) \cdot \|x - x_0\| \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_{x_0}(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow x_0$$

Now as $v(c) = 0$ when c is constant (because $v(c) = cv(1) = cv(1 \cdot 1) = 2cv(1) = 2v(c)$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v(f)(x_0) &= v(\pi^i)(x_0) \partial_i f(x_0) + v(\varepsilon_{x_0})(x_0) \cdot \|x_0 - x_0\| + \varepsilon_{x_0}(x_0) \cdot v(\|\text{id} - x_0\|)(x_0) \\ &= v(\pi^i)(x_0) \partial_i f(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$v = v(\pi^i) \partial_i$$

expresses v as a linear combination of the basis vectors ∂_i .]